Trinity University [Digital Commons @ Trinity](https://digitalcommons.trinity.edu/)

[Physics and Astronomy Faculty Research](https://digitalcommons.trinity.edu/physics_faculty) Physics and Astronomy Department

1998

Magnetospheric Response Times Following Southward IMF **Turnings**

Niescja E. Turner Trinity University, nturner1@trinity.edu

D N. Baker

T I. Pulkkinen

H J. Singer

F Mozer

Follow this and additional works at: [https://digitalcommons.trinity.edu/physics_faculty](https://digitalcommons.trinity.edu/physics_faculty?utm_source=digitalcommons.trinity.edu%2Fphysics_faculty%2F27&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

Part of the Astrophysics and Astronomy Commons

Repository Citation

Turner, N. E., Baker, D. N., Pulkkinen, T. I., Singer, H. J., & Mozer, F. (1998). Magnetospheric response times following southward IMF turnings. In S. Kokubun & Y. Kamide (Ed.), Substorms-4: International Conference on Substorms-4, Lake Hamana, Japan, March 9-13, 1998 (pp. 711-714). Terra Scientific.

This Contribution to Book is brought to you for free and open access by the Physics and Astronomy Department at Digital Commons @ Trinity. It has been accepted for inclusion in Physics and Astronomy Faculty Research by an authorized administrator of Digital Commons @ Trinity. For more information, please contact jcostanz@trinity.edu.

N. E. Turner and D. N. Baker LASP, University of Colorado Boulder, CO

T. I. Pulkkinen Finnish Meteorological Institute Helsinki, Finland

H J Singer NOAA SEC, Boulder, CO

F. Mozer

U. California, Berkeley, CA

Abstract. We analyze the response times of various regions of the magnetosphere-ionosphere system to sudden southward turnings of the IMF. Our data set consists of $-$ - consists α and α and α and α α component was observed by WIND to change from a steady northward field to a southward field, which subsequently led to a substorm. The magnetospheric response to such IMF southward turnings is examined us ing data from the POLAR EFI experiment, the GOES 9 magnetometer, and ground magnetometers. The POLAR/EFI was used to investigate changes in the polar cap electric field which occurred in response to the changing interplanetary electric field, and these results are compared with response timings derived from high-latitude ground magnetometers. POLAR/EFI data show responses in the polar cap about 15 minutes after the arrival of the IMF change at the mag netopause Auroral zone magnetograms and geosyn chronous spacecraft measurements are utilized to eval uate the response timing within the closed field line region. In one event examined in detail, the start of a substorm growth phase was observed by GOES in the midnight sector of geosynchronous orbit about two minutes before POLAR observed a response in the po lar cap. Using superposed epoch analysis, we calculate typical response times in the polar cap in the night side plasma sheet, and in the ionosphere in order to discuss the various suggested mechanisms for informa tion propagation from the subsolar magnetopause into the magnetosphere. We find that for the set of ten events for which GOES 9 and the CANOPUS array are in the midnight sector, the field at geosynchronous as measured by GOES responds at or before the time of response in the polar cap as measured by POLAR suggesting di erent methods of information propaga tion

1. Introduction

Southward turnings of the IMF are known to initi ate the transfer of energy from the solar wind into the magnetosphere that culminates in substorms $\int Baker$

 $u \iota$, 1990, and references thereing. While the entire magnetosphere reacts to these events, the methods of information propagation from the reconnec tion site throughout the magnetosphere are not well established. This study uses satellite and ground-based monitors to investigate the propagation of information into the polar cap and geosynchronous orbit regions as well as the auroral ionosphere

Much work has been done on the response of the polar ionosphere to changes in the IMF. For example, C *umnock et al.* [1994] investigated the polar cap response to a B_Y rotation in one particular event and μ and μ and μ is a single three-day period during which there were five IMF B_Z southward turnings. Hairston and Heelis used DMSP data to obtain polar cap convection patterns and determined that the response time of the polar ionosphere to the IMF reversals was about
 to - minutes This lag was in terpreted as an inertial response time of the ionosphere to IMF changes

Work by $Ridley$ et al. [1997] focused on the ionospheric response to southward IMF turnings for six events. Ridley et al. used the Assimilative Mapping of Ionospheric Electrodynamics (AMIE) technique to investigate polar cap convection patterns in response to IMF B_Z reversals. They determined that the ionospheric convection changes grew linearly with time and were linearly proportional to the change observed in the IMF B_Y or B_Z components. Further work by Rid- α α α . These the same technique to investigate 65 events for a statistical study. They found that reconfiguration of the polar convection patterns started about the solar minutes after the solar wind change of the solar wind change reached the magnetopause and continued to reconfigure for about 13 minutes until it reached a steady state.

Turner et al. (1990) showed that the ineall of the polar cap electric field at altitudes of $5-9$ R_E typically changes within 15 minutes of an IMF southward turning. They also presented a single event where the GOES 9 magnetometer, near midnight local time, responded to the IMF before the polar cap response was measured

In this study, we investigate the absolute and relative timings of responses seen in the polar cap and geosynchronous orbit and on the ground after sharp IMF changes to determine the response times of the various regions to changing solar wind conditions In particular which the IMF at \sim B_Z component changed from northward to southward (corresponding to interplanetary E_Y changing from negative to positive) after at least an hour of steady, northward IMF conditions. We also conduct a superposed epoch analysis of the electric field measured by POLAR as well as the magnetic fields measured by GOES 9 and the CANOPUS magnetometer array for all ten events for which GOES 9 was near midnight in its geosynchronous orbit

Several spacecraft, both inside and outside the magnetosphere, were used in this study. The WIND spacecraft was used to provide magnetic field and solar wind data which were then used to identify events. Inside the magnetosphere were GOES 9 and POLAR. GOES 9 is in a geosynchronous orbit and was used for magnetic field measurements, both to identify substorm timings and to obtain information about the inner magnetosphere dynamics and response. The CANO-PUS array of ground-based magnetometers was used to provide information about the timing of the responses observed on the ground

The POLAR spacecraft is in a highly elliptical orbit which reaches apogee at about 9 R_E over the north pole and perigee at 1.8 R_E over the south pole. The EFI instrument on POLAR was used to obtain elec tric field data in the northern polar cap. The threedimensional field measurements were taken by three pairs of booms two wire boom pairs in the spin plane of the spacecraft and one rigid stacer pair on the spin axis. The sensors on the spin-plane booms are about 130 meters apart, while the spin-axis sensors are only meters apart This discusses apart This discusses apart This discusses apart This discusses apart This discusse the spin plane can be held away from the spacecraft centrifugally, while the on-axis booms must be rigid and are therefore shorter $[Harvey$ et al., 1995]. Because the on-axis booms are closer to the spacecraft, they are more sensitive to the spacecraft potential and the plasma environment around the satellite. To avoid contamination difficulties with the shorter booms, only the spin-plane measurements are used in this study.

3. Observations and Data Analysis

Event Selection

Sudden changes in the IMF B_Z from northward to southward which followed an hour or more of relatively stable IMF conditions were examined. These event identifications were made using data from June, 1996 through April, 1997 from the WIND MFI magnetometer. Selections were further narrowed by choosing only those events for which POLAR was in the northern po

Figure 1: Data from the June 17, 1996 event

lar cap. The northern cap is preferable to the southern cap because POLAR apogee is over the northern cap. Thus, the spacecraft spends a much longer time in the northern than the southern polar region, making it easier to separate temporal from spatial e ects The events were then examined to find times when GOES 9 and the CANOPUS chain were in the midnight sector of geosynchronous orbit, leaving ten of the events used for the electric field superposition that could be studied using GOES 9 and the CANOPUS magnetometer array as well

\mathcal{L} -for the state \mathcal{L} -form \mathcal{L} -form \mathcal{L}

Turner et al. (1990) studied all event which occurred on June 17, 1996 which showed a response at geosynchronous orbit before the response measured by POLAR. In this event, the arrival time of the discontinuity at the magnetopause was estimated to be 0712 UT. The POLAR spacecraft was located in the polar cap, almost at apogee, at the predicted arrival time of the discontinuity at Earth At Γ the Eelding at Earth At Γ Figure panel - underwent a sudden state change The measured E-field was observed to change from a widely varying and rapidly fluctuating character to relatively smooth, steady values in addition to a sudden change in the mean of the field.

At
- UT GOES which was located near local midnight in geosynchronous orbit, observed Hp (Figure 1, panel 4), the magnetic field component parallel to the Earth's spin axis, to start decreasing. This decrease indicated field line stretching in the tail, and was the start of the substorm growth phase $[e.g., Baker\ et$ u_L , 1990 and *Singer and Wolf*, 1992). Note that this

Figure - Superpositions of solar wind BZ and polar cap electric electric electric and and the third panels of the third panels. shows individual traces of the electric field data. (from I urner et al. $|1330|$

growth phase began just before POLAR detected an E field change. The growth phase continued for about an hour and a half, and then substorm onset occurred at 0856 UT, as indicated by the dipolarization of the magnetic field observed by GOES 9. Further, the E-field state change seen by POLAR reversed after - meter - meter and 51 minutes, which was the same duration that the interplanetary B_Z was predominantly southward.

4. Superposed Epoch Studies

a superposition was conducted of all \sim and \sim the expectation that random changes would tend to average away, highlighting only consistent IMF-driven responses (requesting super and the second superposition was conducted for the ten events for which GOES 9 was in the midnight sector in order to determine whether or not the field at geosynchronous orbit typically responds before the polar cap (Figure 3).

In the case of the electric field superposition, polar cap electric field data were aligned according to the solar wind arrival time at the magnetopause so that time zero coincided with the arrival of the solar wind change. Additionally, because only the $change$ in the electric field was of interest, the signal mean before the arrival of the solar wind change was subtracted from each signal, so E-field measurements had a zero mean before the solar wind change. Finally, because there is no directional information in the spin-plane components alone [*Turner et al.*, 1998], changes in the mean of the electric field were defined as positive $(e.g.,$ if the measured spin plane electric field decreased after the solar wind change, the entire signal for that event

Figure 3: Superpositions of polar cap electric field data geosynchronous magnetometer data and ground magnetometer data for ten events

was multiplied by -1).

As shown in Figure - the electric eld superposition in Figure - the electric eld superposition in \mathbb{R}^n for all events reveals a clear change in the mean polar cap electric field. Time zero is defined as the arrival time of the solar wind change at the magnetopause, and times are plotted in hours The data show that the signal started to change by around 10 minutes and a change in the mean of about 0.5 mV/m occurred consistently by about 15 minutes after the solar wind changes reached the magnetosphere and after 30 min utes the change was on average about 0.8 mV/m , with individual events as in programs as a shows a show a show when \mathbf{r}_i all of the individual traces used in the study plotted together. From this figure it is evident that the change in the EFI signals shown in the superposition was the characteristic response for most events, and thus no single event overwhelmed the superposition

Figure 3 shows the results of the ten-event superposition of electric and magnetic field data. Panel 1 shows the solar wind B_Z as measured by the WIND spacecraft Panel - shows the response measured by POLAR over the northern polar cap. Note that in this ten-event subset, it is more difficult to discern the exact response time due to fluctuations in the signal prior to time zero. This may simply be due to statistical fluctuations. Panel 3 shows the responses measured by the GOES 9 magnetometer. The superposition of GOES 9 data in this plot shows a very rapid response which is approximately the same time as that of the electric field data. Ground responses observed by the CANOPUS array are shown in panel 4. This superposition indicates a much longer response time, nearly 30 minutes.

Discussion

The results presented here provide important infor mation about how the magnetosphere begins to pre pare for substorm energy unloading. They also speak to the speed at which information about a solar wind change can be propagated throughout the magneto sphere

In the June 17 event, GOES 9 data showed a response to the IMF change before POLAR data re sponded, indicating that the electrodynamic coupling for the low-latitude magnetosphere was evidenced faster than over the polar cap. Prior work done on information propagation throughout the magnetosphere $\lceil \mathfrak{c}_i, q_i \rceil$, with $\mathfrak{c}_i, \mathfrak{c}_i, \mathfrak{$ gests that wave propagation from the dayside magne topause to the nightside magnetosphere near geosyn chronous orbit probably travels as a fast mode com pression wave The fact that information about the IMF change reached GOES before it reached PO LAR implies that the signal propagation method into the polar cap region is a slower mode of transport, perhaps at Alfven speeds. This result is supported by the superposition of the GOES 9 data, which showed that for the ten events studied, GOES 9 observed a response in the magnetic field on about the same timescale as POLAR This is also indicative of di erent propagation speeds and mechanisms

The superposed epoch results indicate a consistent response in the polar cap electric field data. This -event superposition study showed that the mean electric field began to change, on average, about 10 minutes after a southward turning reached the mag netopause. After 15 minutes, the change was typically around 0.5 mV/m , and it reached a maximum of about 0.8 mV/m after 30 minutes of southward IMF.

Turner et al. (1990) suggested that because the response time for the polar cap electric field did not appear to vary over the polar cap regions surveyed by POLAR that the electric field was likely imposed rapidly over a wide region. This result is consistent with work by *Ridley et al.* [1998], who found that the reconfiguration of the polar cap ionospheric convection patterns began in all regions of the polar cap nearly si multaneously $-$ over a period of less than one minute. They concluded that the electric field applied across the cusp must control the entire dayside convection pattern, consistent with the description by *Lockwood* α α . (1990). Additionally, the timescales in the Rid- ι e y et at. \lvert 1990) study are a few minutes shorter than the timescales derived from POLAR data for the high altitude cap. This seems to suggest that once the electric eld is imposed via the cusp it a ects the polar ionosphere, and then reaches the POLAR spacecraft (at 5-9 R_E) via an Alfven wave from the ionosphere. This is consistent with the aforementioned ionospheric convection studies and would explain the lack of a sub stantial delay seen by POLAR in the nightside cap rel ative to the dayside cap At the same time information appears to travel to geosynchronous orbit at a faster

rate, possibly via a fast mode compression wave.

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to acknowledge R. P. Lepping and K. Ogilvie for providing WIND data and G Rostoker for assistance in in terpreting the CANOPUS magnetometer data The CANOPUS instrument array was constructed and is operated by the Canadian Space Agency Addition ally, the authors would like to acknowledge the former P. R. Nelson. The work of H. Singer was supported in part by NASA Interagency Order No. S-67019-F. Work at CU/LASP was supported by grants from the NASA ISTP program

References

- Baker, D. N., T. I. Pulkkinen, V. Angelopoulos, W. Baumjohann, and R. L. McPherron, Neutral line model of substorms: past results and present view, $J. Geophys. Res.,$ -
- Coroniti, F. V., Explosive tail reconnection: the growth and expansion phases of magnetic substorms, $J. Geophys. Res.,$ -
- Cumnock, J. A., R. A. Heelis, and M. R. Hairston, Response of the ionospheric convection pattern to a rotation of the IMF on January 14, 1988, J. Geophys. Res., 97, 19,449-19,460, 1992.
- Hairston, M. R. and R. A. Heelis, Response time of the polar ionospheric convection pattern to changes in the northsouth direction of the IMF, Geophys. Hes. Lett., 22, 051-----------
- Harvey, P., F. S. Mozer, D. Pankow, J. Wygant, N. C. Maynard, H. Singer, W. Sullivan, P. B. Anderson, T. Aggson, A Pedersen C-G Falthammar and P Tanskannen The electric field instrument on the POLAR satellite, $Space\, Sci.$ 1.00001 , 1.10000 , 1.0000 , 1.0000 , 1.0000
- Lockwood, M., S. W. H. Cowley, and M. P. Freeman, The excitation of plasma convection in the high-latitude ionosphere, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 99, 1901–1914, 1990.
- Ridley, A. J., G. Lu, C. R. Clauer, and V. O. Papitashvili, Ionospheric convection during nonsteady IMF conditions J. Geophys. Hes., 102, 14,000-14,019, 1991.
- Ridley, A. J., G. Lu, C. R. Clauer, and V. O. Papitashvili, A statistical study of the ionospheric convection response to changing interplanetary magnetic field conditions using the assimilative mapping of ionospheric electrodynamics tech $mque$, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 105, 4025, 1990.
- Singer, H. and R. Wolf, Report of the working group on magnetotail/plasma sheet dynamics, Outstanding Questions in Geotail and Substorm Physics, Report of the GEM Workshop on the Physics of the Tail and Substorms, 51-50, 1992. $\,$
- Turner, N. E., D. N. Baker, T. I. Pulkkinen, H. J. Singer, F. Mozer, and R. P. Lepping, High altitude polar cap responses to southward turnings of the IMF, submitted to: $J. Geophys. Res., 1998.$
- Wilken, B., C. K. Goertz, D. N. Baker, P. R. Higbie, and T. A. Fritz, The SSC on July 29, 1977 and its propagation within the magnetosphere, $J. Geophys. Res., 87, 5901-$ 5910, 1982.

Correspondence to near in Indianal promise coor is a community of the second second second second second second Niescja.Turner@lasp.colorado.edu)