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Abstract. The molecular recognition of peptides and proteins in aqueous solution by designed molecules remains an elusive goal with broad implications for basic biochemical research and for sensors and separations technologies. This paper describes the recognition of N-terminal tryptophan in aqueous solution by the synthetic host cucurbit[8]uril (Q8). Q8 is known to form 1:1:1 heteroternary complexes with methyl viologen (MV) and a second aromatic guest. Here, the complexes of Q8•MV with (i) the four natural aromatic α-amino acids, (ii) four singly charged tryptophan derivatives, and (iii) four tryptophan-containing tripeptides, were characterized by isothermal titration calorimetry, mass spectrometry, and UV-visible, fluorescence, and 1H NMR spectroscopy. We find that Q8•MV binds Trp-Gly-Gly with high affinity (K_a = 1.3 x 10^5 M^{-1}), with 6-fold specificity over Gly-Trp-Gly, and with 40-fold specificity over Gly-Gly-Trp. Analysis of the nine indole-containing compounds suggests that peptide recognition is mediated by the electrostatic charge(s) proximal to the indole, and that the mode of binding is consistent for these compounds. Complex formation is accompanied by the growth of a visible charge-transfer band and the quenching of indole fluorescence. These optical properties, combined with the stability and selectivity of this system, are promising for applications in sensing and separating specific peptides.
Introduction

The design of molecules capable of binding to predetermined sequences of amino acids in aqueous solution is an important problem in the chemical and biomedical sciences.\textsuperscript{1} An excellent body of work has been carried out in this area,\textsuperscript{1,2} and it is the surprising result of these studies that so few synthetic compounds bind peptides with equilibrium association constants (K\textsubscript{a}) greater than 10\textsuperscript{4} M\textsuperscript{-1} and/or with considerable sequence specificity in purely aqueous solution. There is also significant interest in the development of synthetic compounds capable of a change in optical activity on binding to a specific peptide.\textsuperscript{3} One host that hints of great promise in addressing these problems is cucurbit[8]uril (Q8, Figure 1),\textsuperscript{4,5} which is the topic of this paper.

Q8 is the eight-membered, expanded macrocycle of cucurbituril (Q6). Q6 was discovered in 1905,\textsuperscript{6} and more recently found to bind amines and metal cations in aqueous solution with equilibrium association constants (K\textsubscript{a’s}) in the range of 10\textsuperscript{3}-10\textsuperscript{5} M\textsuperscript{-1}.\textsuperscript{7} Q6 and the other Qn homologues\textsuperscript{4,5} are synthetically...
accessible,\textsuperscript{4,8} donut-shaped molecules with a hydrophobic cavity and constricted portals fringed with carbonyl groups. This structure promotes binding to organic amines by including the hydrophobic portion of the compound inside the cavity while forming cation-dipole interactions between the positively charged ammonium groups and the carbonyl portals. The mechanism of Q6 complexation has been well characterized,\textsuperscript{9} but the scope of possible guests for Q6 is limited by its relatively small size.

Recently, a family of Qn homologues was discovered\textsuperscript{4} by Kim and coworkers that has greatly broadened the potential for this class of molecules in supramolecular chemistry.\textsuperscript{5} Q7 has the highest aqueous solubility among the Qn homologues, and the recognition properties of Q7 for cationic, aromatic guests have been explored extensively by the groups of Kaifer,\textsuperscript{10} Kim,\textsuperscript{11} and others.\textsuperscript{12} $K_a$ values for the binding of Q7 to these guests are also in the range of $10^5$ M\textsuperscript{-1}, and although detailed mechanistic studies have not yet been carried out on Q7 or Q8, the observed patterns of binding indicate a mechanism similar to that of Q6.\textsuperscript{9}

Q8 is fundamentally different from its smaller Qn homologues in that it can bind simultaneously and selectively to \textit{two different} aromatic guests at low concentrations.\textsuperscript{13} For example, Q8 can bind to one equivalent of methyl viologen (MV), and the Q8\textbullet{}MV complex can then bind to one equivalent of 2,6-dihydroxynaphthalene (HN). Complex formation is driven by hydrophobic interactions and by the formation of a charge-transfer complex between HN and MV inside the cavity of Q8 (Figure 1).\textsuperscript{13} This system has been creatively used to
construct a broad range of unique supramolecular architectures, and to catalyze specific chemistries. Remarkably, Kim and coworkers report that Q8•MV can bind to other aromatic guests, such as tryptophan, tyrosine, and dopamine. Despite the many interesting studies involving Q8, however, no quantitative data have yet been reported on the stability of Q8•MV in complex with HN or with other guests.

Here we examine the potential for Q8•MV to recognize specific amino acids and peptides. The thermodynamic binding parameters and spectral properties were measured for twelve ternary complexes of the formula Q8•MV•X, where X is the four aromatic α-amino acids, four singly charged tryptophan derivatives, and four tryptophan-containing peptides. We find that Q8•MV binds tryptophan most stably among the aromatic α-amino acids. Analysis of the series of singly charged tryptophan derivatives suggests that a positive charge near the indole increases binding affinity, and a negative charge decreases affinity. We hypothesized that this effect could be the basis for specific peptide recognition, where Q8•MV should bind N-terminal tryptophan with higher affinity than C-terminal or internal tryptophan residues. Data on the series of tryptophan-containing peptides support this hypothesis and show that WGG is bound more tightly than GWG, followed by GGW. The spectral data consistently reveal the formation of a charge-transfer absorbance, the quenching of indole fluorescence, and the perturbation and broadening of NMR chemical shifts on binding.
Results and Discussion

**Q8 Solubility and Q8•MV Stability.** It is known that Q8 is poorly soluble in water and insoluble in organic solvents, and that Q8 binds tightly to MV in a 1:1 complex. As a quantitative basis for our studies on ternary complexes of Q8, it was important to measure the solubility Q8 and the stability of Q8•MV (Figure 2) in our solvent system, 10 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7.0. The solubility of Q8 was determined by gravimetric analysis, measuring the residual mass of a known volume of saturated Q8 solution. The average value from three experiments was 0.13 mM (±0.09). Although the solubility of Q8 is weak, it improves significantly on binding to MV. The $K_a$ value for the formation of Q8•MV at 27 °C is 8.5 (±0.3) x 10^5 M^{-1}, as determined by isothermal titration calorimetry (see Supporting Information). The high stability of the Q8•MV complex ensures that a large fraction of complex is present at μM - mM concentrations to bind to a second guest.

![Figure 2. Measured values for the solubility of Q8 and its binding to MV in 10 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7.0.](image)

**Binding of Q8•MV to the Aromatic Amino Acids.** Kim and coworkers found that Q8•MV binds to tryptophan, tyrosine, and dopamine, as observed by
the resulting changes in visible color and in their NMR spectra.\textsuperscript{5,16} As a foundation for studying peptide recognition by Q8, we wanted to confirm these findings and to quantitatively determine the thermodynamic parameters for binding. It is quite possible that Q8•MV binds to one or more of the aliphatic $\alpha$-amino acids, but we focused on the aromatic amino acids for their advantageous optical properties and for the likelihood that any binding would be similar in nature to prior studies on Q8•MV•HN, and therefore more straightforward to characterize.

We used isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC) to measure $K_a$ values (Table 1) for the binding of Q8•MV to the natural aromatic amino acids: tryptophan (Trp, 1), tyrosine (Tyr, 2), phenylalanine (Phe, 3), and histidine (His, 4). A 1:1 binding stoichiometry was observed in all experiments. The data show that Q8•MV binds Trp with highest affinity ($K_a = 4.3 \times 10^4 \text{ M}^{-1}$) and with 8-fold and 19-fold specificity over Phe and Tyr, respectively. No binding was observed for His.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Equilibrium Association Constants for Q8•MV with Aromatic Amino Acids</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Guest</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trp (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phe (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tyr (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>His (4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Mean values measured from at least three ITC experiments at $27 ^\circ \text{C}$ in 10 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7.0. Standard deviations are given in parentheses.
If the formation of a charge-transfer complex were the primary driving force for binding, then one would expect tyrosine to bind more tightly than phenylalanine to Q8•MV on the basis of the more electron-rich phenol ring. This result was not observed. The data are more consistent with a model in which the relative binding affinity is governed by the hydrophobicity of the second guest molecule as it sheds some of its hydration shell on entering the Q8 cavity. In such a model, one would expect tyrosine and histidine to bind more poorly than tryptophan or phenylalanine.

**Effects of Electrostatic Charge on Tryptophan Binding.** The high binding affinity and optical properties of tryptophan make it an attractive target for detailed studies of molecular recognition by Q8•MV. While the size, shape, and hydrophobicity of the indole side-chain of tryptophan are similar to that of HN, tryptophan is zwitterionic at pH 7.0 and, thus, can have two proximal charges that may influence complex formation. One might expect the negative charge on tryptophan to attract to MV but repel from the carbonyl portal of Q8. Conversely, a positive charge could repel from MV but attract to the carbonyl portal of Q8.
In order to assess the effects of electrostatic charge on the binding of tryptophan by Q8•MV, we studied a series of tryptophan derivatives (Figure 3a) that have in common the indole ring and the alpha and beta carbons of tryptophan, but that vary in the number, type, and location of electrostatic charges. Tryptophan methyl ester (Trp-OMe, 5) and tryptamine (TrpA, 6) lack a
negative charge. N-Acetyl tryptophan (N-AcTrp, 7) and indole priopionic acid (IPA, 8) lack a positive charge. TrpA and IPA are electrostatically analogous to Trp-OMe and N-AcTrp, respectively, but have less steric bulk.

ITC was used to determine the thermodynamic parameters (Table 2) for the binding of Q8•MV to Trp and its derivatives 5 - 8. A 1:1 binding stoichiometry was observed in all experiments. The ITC data show that Q8•MV binds Trp, Trp-OMe, and TrpA with $K_a \sim 5 \times 10^4 \text{M}^{-1}$ and with approximately 20-fold selectivity over N-AcTrp ($K_a = 3.1 \times 10^3 \text{M}^{-1}$) and IPA ($K_a = 2.3 \times 10^3 \text{M}^{-1}$). The presence of each ternary complex was confirmed by electrospray mass spectrometry (ESI-MS). All binding experiments were also carried out in the absence of Q8, and no indication of binding was observed; this result shows that binding affinity in the absence of Q8 is $< 10^3 \text{M}^{-1}$. The binding data suggest that removal of the positive charge on Trp weakens its complex with Q8•MV.

Table 2. Thermodynamic Binding Data for Q8•MV with Derivatives of Tryptophan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Guest</th>
<th>$K_a^a$ (M$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$\Delta G^b$ (kcal/mol)</th>
<th>$\Delta H^c$ (kcal/mol)</th>
<th>$-\Delta S^d$ (kcal/mol)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trp</td>
<td>(1) 4.3 (±0.3) x 10$^4$</td>
<td>-6.4 (±0.1)</td>
<td>-10.6 (±0.1)</td>
<td>4.2 (±0.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trp-OMe</td>
<td>(5) 6.3 (±1.5) x 10$^4$</td>
<td>-6.6 (±0.2)</td>
<td>-10.7 (±0.2)</td>
<td>4.1 (±0.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TrpA</td>
<td>(6) 5.4 (±0.2) x 10$^4$</td>
<td>-6.5 (±0.1)</td>
<td>-12.2 (±0.3)</td>
<td>5.7 (±0.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-AcTrp</td>
<td>(7) 3.1 (±0.1) x 10$^3$</td>
<td>-4.8 (±0.1)</td>
<td>-11.1 (±0.1)</td>
<td>6.2 (±0.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPA</td>
<td>(8) 2.3 (±0.4) x 10$^3$</td>
<td>-4.6 (±0.2)</td>
<td>-12.7 (±0.4)</td>
<td>8.0 (±0.5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Analysis of enthalpy and entropy values in this series (Table 2) reveals that complex formation is enthalpically driven (|ΔH| > |TΔS|) and entropically unfavorable (-TΔS > 0). Comparison of charge-analogs of similar structure (e.g., Trp-OMe vs. N-AcTrp and TrpA vs. IPA) reveals that differences in free energy are due mostly to differences in the entropy of binding. For example, TrpA and IPA have statistically identical enthalpies of binding, but their entropies vary significantly and account for most of the difference in free energy. The same is true for Trp-OMe and N-AcTrp. This result is likely due to the influence of solvent on binding.

**Charge-Mediated Peptide Recognition.** The additional stability observed for the positively charged tryptophan derivatives led us to hypothesize that Q8•MV should bind to N-terminal tryptophan residues with higher affinity than C-terminal or internal tryptophan residues, thereby providing a basis for the recognition of specific peptides. To test this hypothesis, we studied a series of four tryptophan-containing peptides (Figure 3b): WGG (9), GWG (10), GGW (11), and GGWGG (12). WGG, with an N-terminal tryptophan residue, has a positive charge adjacent to the indole and is therefore analogous to Trp-OMe and TrpA. GGW, with a C-terminal tryptophan residue, has a negative charge.
adjacent to the indole and is therefore analogous to N-AcTrp and IPA. GWG places tryptophan at the internal position of the tripeptide. GGWGG serves as a control for GWG by separating the charges from the indole at distances that are more closely analogous to the distal charges on GGW and WGG.

Figure 4. ITC data for the complexation of Q8•MV with GGW (left), GWG (center), and WGG (right) at 27 °C in 10 mM sodium phosphate buffer, pH 7.0. WGG and GGW were titrated at 5 mM into a 0.5 mM solution of Q8•MV. GWG was titrated at 2 mM into a 0.2 mM solution of Q8•MV. The top plot shows the raw data for power applied as a function of time. The integrated enthalpy values are plotted at bottom as a function of the molar ratio of peptide:Q8•MV.

ITC was used to determine the thermodynamic parameters (Table 3) for the binding of Q8•MV to tryptophan-containing peptides 9 - 12. A 1:1 binding stoichiometry was observed in all experiments. The ITC data (Figure 4) show that Q8•MV binds to WGG with the highest affinity ($K_a = 1.3 \times 10^5 \text{ M}^{-1}$), with ~6-fold selectivity over GWG ($K_a = 2.1 \times 10^4 \text{ M}^{-1}$) and GGWGG ($K_a = 2.5 \times 10^4 \text{ M}^{-1}$),
and with ~40-fold specificity over GGW (Kₐ = 3.1 x 10³ M⁻¹).²⁰ The presence of each ternary complex was confirmed by ESI-MS. All binding experiments were also carried out in the absence of Q8, and no indication of binding was observed; this result shows that binding affinity in the absence of Q8 is < 10³ M⁻¹. It should be noted that the high stability of the Q8•MV•WGG complex (-7 kcal/mol) is rare for a synthetic host in aqueous solution,²¹ and further supports the study of Q8 as a model for biomolecular receptors.

### Table 3. Thermodynamic Binding Data for Q8•MV with Peptides of Tryptophan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Guest</th>
<th>Kₐᵃ</th>
<th>ΔGᵇ</th>
<th>ΔHᶜ</th>
<th>-TΔSᵈ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WGG</td>
<td>1.3 (±0.3) x 10⁵</td>
<td>-7.0 (±0.2)</td>
<td>-14.8 (±0.5)</td>
<td>7.8 (±0.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GWG</td>
<td>2.1 (±0.1) x 10⁴</td>
<td>-5.9 (±0.1)</td>
<td>-11.4 (±0.7)</td>
<td>5.5 (±0.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GGW</td>
<td>3.1 (±0.4) x 10³</td>
<td>-4.8 (±0.1)</td>
<td>-8.8 (±1.3)</td>
<td>4.0 (±1.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GGWGG</td>
<td>2.5 (±0.2) x 10⁴</td>
<td>-6.0 (±0.1)</td>
<td>-12.1 (±0.1)</td>
<td>6.1 (±0.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ᵃ Mean values measured from at least three ITC experiments at 27 °C in 10 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7.0. Standard deviations are given in parentheses. ᵃ Gibb's free energy values calculated from Kₐ values. Standard deviations for ΔG values were calculated as the relative error observed in Kₐ, due to their relationship by a natural logarithm. ᵃ Enthalpy values measured by ITC. ᵃ Entropic contributions to ΔG calculated from Kₐ and ΔH values.

As observed for the monomeric tryptophan derivatives, the peptide with a positive charge near the indole (WGG) binds Q8•MV with higher affinity than the peptide with a negative charge near the indole (GGW). In this series, however, the affinity of GWG for Q8•MV is approximately halfway between that of WGG and GGW. Table 4 ranks the binding constants for Q8•MV with all indole compounds and lists the specificities relative to GWG. Collectively, the data suggest that electrostatic charge near the indole is the key structural feature that governs recognition, thus supporting our hypothesis for charge-mediated peptide
recognition. Moreover, within each group of positively or negatively charged guests, a second trend is apparent: Increasing molecular size increases complex stability. This trend is observed for both groups, albeit to a lesser extent for the negatively charged guests GGW, N-AcTrp, and IPA, and is likely related to hydrophobic interactions.

Table 4. Charge-Mediated Specificity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Guest</th>
<th>Chargea</th>
<th>log K_a</th>
<th>Δ(log K_a)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WGG</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trp-OMe</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TrpA</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trp</td>
<td>+ -</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GGWGG</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GWG</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GGVW</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>-0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-AcTrp</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>-0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPA</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>-0.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Denotes formal charges immediately proximal to the indole ring.

Analysis of enthalpy and entropy values for the series (Table 3) of peptides shows again that binding is enthalpically driven and entropically unfavorable. Moreover, the data reveal that the enthalpy and entropy of binding increase in magnitude for a positive charge near the indole and decrease for a negative charge. This result could be explained by enthalpy-entropy compensation, in which an increased attraction between binding partners reduces their freedom of movement and results in a more favorable enthalpy at the cost of entropy. A binding model in which the positive charge on WGG interacts favorably with Q8•MV (perhaps at the carbonyl portal) and the
negative charge on GGW interacts unfavorably with Q8•MV would be consistent with this data. We cannot, however, determine the mechanism of binding on the basis of this data alone.

**Spectroscopic Studies.** In addition to the calorimetric studies described above, complex formation was also examined by changes in the UV-visible, fluorescence, and NMR spectra. These results are presented here, using WGG as an example.

UV-visible absorption spectra (at 25 °C in 10 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7.0) were obtained for compounds 1 and 5 – 12 in the absence and presence of MV and Q8•MV. Figure 5 shows a representative overlay of the three spectra for WGG at 0.025 and 1.0 mM concentrations. The characteristic $\pi - \pi^*$ transition for the indole group blue-shifts by ~20 nm in the presence of MV or Q8•MV. What is more interesting is the growth of a new absorbance band centered at ~350 nm in the presence of MV and at ~420 nm in the presence of Q8•MV. As observed by Kim and coworkers for Q8•MV•HN, these new transitions indicate the formation of a charge-transfer complex between the indole and MV. The red-shift of the charge-transfer band in the presence of Q8•MV versus MV alone shows that Q8 enhances the charge-transfer interaction.
We measured values for the wavelength of maximum absorbance ($\lambda_{\text{max}}$) and the molar absorptivity ($\varepsilon_{\text{max}}$) at this wavelength for the charge-transfer band of each Q8•MV•X complex, taking into account the fraction of ternary complex available at the working concentration (500 – 600 µM) using the equilibrium association constants for the formation of Q8•MV and Q8•MV•X. The energy and intensity of the charge-transfer transition in each ternary complex was relatively consistent among all indole derivatives in this study ($\lambda_{\text{max}} = 420 – 450$ nm; $\varepsilon_{\text{max}} = 300 – 600$ cm$^{-1}$ M$^{-1}$). This result supports a consistent mechanism of binding where the indole group and MV are stacked in a charge-transfer complex that is stabilized by Q8. These results are consistent with data from Kim and coworkers,$^{13}$ who showed that the binding of HN to Q8•MV is accompanied by the appearance of a charge-transfer band in the visible spectrum, and that the HN and MV rings are stacked face-to-face inside the Q8 cavity. The increase in

**Figure 5.** UV-visible spectra of WGG unbound (red) and in the presence of one equivalent of MV (purple) or Q8•MV (blue) at 25 °C in 10 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7.0. Data were obtained at concentrations of 0.025 mM and 1.0 mM (inset) in order to display all relevant peaks within the linear dynamic range of the spectrophotometer. At 1.0 mM, new charge-transfer bands are apparent at 350 nm for WGG + MV.
visible absorbance on binding provides an excellent handle for the development of optical sensors for specific peptides.

Fluorescence emission spectra (at 25 °C in 10 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7.0) were obtained for all indole compounds in the absence and presence of MV and Q8•MV. Figure 6 shows a representative overlay of the three spectra for WGG at 12.5 μM concentration. As expected for a charge-transfer interaction, the presence of the acceptor reduces the fluorescence intensity of the donor. At 12.5 μM, the presence of MV alone has a significant influence and quenches indole fluorescence by 14%. In the presence of Q8•MV, however, the effect is much greater, and fluorescence is quenched by 60%. A similar result was observed by Kim and coworkers for the Q8•MV•HN system.13

Figure 6. Fluorescence emission spectra for WGG unbound (red) and in the presence of one equivalent of MV (purple) or Q8•MV (blue). All spectra were obtained with excitation at 279 nm at 25 °C at a concentration of 12.5 μM in 10 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7.0. Significant quenching of indole fluorescence is apparent in the
We plotted the quenching induced by Q8•MV (% quenched) as a function of the fraction of complex available (% bound) at 50 µM for all indole derivatives studied here (Figure 7). The data fit reasonably well to a straight line, showing a consistent degree of quenching among the various compounds. The y-intercept in this plot is, however, substantially greater than 0. Figure 5 shows that there is significant absorbance in the 300 – 500 nm region, which is the range of the spectrum monitored for fluorescence excitation and emission. This overlap suggests that the additional quenching we observe is due to additional absorption of the excitation and emission light by molecules in the sample. The consistency in fluorescence data observed among the various indole derivatives further supports a common mode of binding, whereby Q8 promotes close interaction between indole and MV. The quenching of fluorescence on binding provides an additional handle for the development of optical sensors for specific peptides.
1H NMR spectra in unbuffered deuterium oxide were obtained at 25 °C for each indole derivative by itself and in the presence of an equivalent of MV or Q8•MV. Representative spectra for WGG are shown in Figure 8. It is clear that the presence of MV alone does not alter the spectrum of WGG, but the presence of Q8•MV changes the spectrum of WGG considerably. The extensive broadening and change in chemical shift of the WGG and MV peaks in the presence of Q8, combined with the knowledge that a charge-transfer interaction is present between the two aromatic guests, again suggests that the MV and indole groups are bound inside the cavity of Q8, as in the case of the Q8•MV•HN system. This result is consistent among the indole-based compounds in this study (see Supporting Information). Peak broadening combined with the presence of only one set of peaks at various stoichiometric ratios (data not shown) indicates a rapid exchange process that would

Figure 7. Plot of the extent of fluorescence quenching observed at 50 μM in the presence of Q8•MV for indole derivatives 1 and 5 - 12. Data were obtained as described in Figure 6. %Bound was calculated as the fraction of ternary complex present at 50 μM based on the equilibrium association constants determined by ITC. The linear fit observed suggests a common mode of quenching and, thus, binding among the indole derivatives; this result further suggests that fluorescence quenching is a promising handle for the
complicate the study of the intermolecular interactions under these conditions by multidimensional NMR methods.

Collectively, the thermodynamic and spectroscopic data presented here, as well as prior work by Kim and coworkers,\textsuperscript{13} support a model for binding in which the MV and indole rings bind face-to-face inside the cavity of Q8, and in which the ternary complex is stabilized by a charge-transfer interaction between indole and MV, and by hydrophobic interactions. The similarities observed for UV-visible absorptivity, absorbance wavelength, extent of fluorescence quenching, and NMR peak broadening strongly support a similar mode of binding for all indole derivatives. Given the consistent inclusion of indole within

\textbf{Figure 8.} \textsuperscript{1}H NMR spectra at 25 °C in deuterium oxide for various combinations of WGG, MV, and Q8. Mixtures are equimolar, and concentrations were 1 - 3 mM. The strong correlation between peaks in the WGG and MV WGG spectra show that MV does not significantly bind to WGG at these concentrations. The peaks of WGG and MV shift by up to 2 ppm in the presence of Q8, \textit{indicating the close interaction of MV and WGG with Q8}. The poor resolution of the Q8•MV•WGG spectrum makes it difficult to assign all protons unambiguously.
the Q8 cavity, it is plausible that Q8•MV recognizes WGG > GWG > GGW primarily on the basis of electrostatic charge. A detailed explanation of these phenomena awaits high-resolution structural information.

Conclusions

This paper examines the potential for the Q8•MV complex to recognize specific amino acids and peptides in aqueous solution. Using a combination of calorimetric and spectroscopic methods, we have shown that the Q8•MV complex is an effective host for high-affinity, selective peptide recognition in aqueous solution, and that selectivity is mediated by electrostatic charge. This host system is important and, in fact, rare because it can bind to biochemically relevant guests with high affinity in a biochemically relevant environment. In addition, these studies provide quantitative thermodynamic data for the reversible binding processes of Q8 that support the many ongoing studies of Q8 as a tool in supramolecular chemistry and as a model for biomolecular receptors.

Experimental Details

Materials. The following commercial reagents of analytical or higher purity grade were used without further purification: deuterium oxide (Cambridge Isotope Laboratories); H-Trp-Gly-Gly-OH (WGG), H-Gly-Trp-Gly-
OH (GWG), and H-Gly-Gly-Trp-OH (GGW) (Bachem); sodium phosphate (monobasic and dibasic), L-tryptophan (Trp), N-acetyl-L-tryptophan (N-AcTrp) (Sigma); 3-indolepropionic acid (IPA), tryptamine hydrochloride (TrpA), methyl viologen dichloride hydrate (MV), L-tryptophan methyl ester hydrochloride (Trp-OMe) (Aldrich); Cucurbit[8]uril (Q8) was synthesized by the group of Dr. Anthony Day (University of New South Wales, Australia) and purchased from Unisearch. Water was obtained from a Barnstead Nanopure Infinity Ultrapure water system (18 MΩ-cm).

A stock solution of 1.0 M sodium phosphate buffer was adjusted to pH 7.0 and sterile filtered. The pH was checked periodically. With the exception of the NMR experiments, which were run in deuterium oxide, all binding experiments described here were carried out in 10 mM phosphate buffer, which was made as needed by diluting the 1 M stock. Fresh analyte solutions were prepared every couple of days and were thoroughly dissolved by heating at 60 °C and, if necessary, by ultrasonication. All analytes were massed to ±0.1 mg with an accuracy of at least three significant digits. The purities of Q8 and MV were determined by 1H NMR using freshly distilled tert-butyl alcohol as reference. Purities of other analytical reagents were determined by titration.

**Isothermal Titration Calorimetry (ITC).** Titration experiments were carried out in 10 mM sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.0) at 27 °C on a VP-ITC calorimeter from Microcal, Inc (http://www.microcalorimetry.com). In a typical
experiment, the Q8•MV was in the sample cell at a concentration of 0.1 – 1.0 mM, and the guest was in the injection syringe at a concentration of 1.0 – 10 mM. The titration schedule consisted of 28-40 consecutive injection of 2-10 µL with at least a 200 s interval between injections. Heats of dilution, measured by titrating beyond saturation, were subtracted from each data set. All solutions were degassed prior to titration. The data were analyzed using Origin software and fit well to the 1:1 binding model supplied with the software.

It is important to note that the initial concentration of Q8•MV in a titration is influenced by equilibrium constant for the formation of Q8•MV and by the working concentration. For example, at 0.5 mM, the fraction of Q8•MV present is 95.3%. By increasing the concentration of MV by 10-fold, however, the fraction of Q8•MV increases to 99.9%. The dynamic effect of this equilibrium would significantly complicate a rigorous analysis of the ITC data, but should, at the most, effect the results by <5%. To examine the actual effect, we carried out ITC titrations with a 10-fold excess of MV and found that the values obtained overlapped to within 5% of those obtained at a 1:1 Q8•MV ratio. Therefore, we ignore this effect for the data presented here.

**Spectroscopy.** ^1^H NMR spectra were collected in deuterium oxide on a Varian Inova 400 MHz spectrometer at 25 °C using a presaturation pulse to suppress the signal from residual protiated solvent. UV-visible spectra were obtained at 25 °C for all samples at a concentration of 0.5 – 0.6 mM in 10 mM
sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.0) using Varian Cary 100 Bio and Hitachi U-3000 spectrophotometers. Molar absorptivities for the charge-transfer band in the Q8•MV•X complexes were determined at the wavelength of maximum absorbance, using the equilibrium constant determined by ITC to account for the mole fraction of complex present at the working concentration. Fluorescence emission spectra were obtained at 25 °C in 10 mM sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.0) with a PTI QM-4 spectrofluorometer equipped with a Xe arc lamp and photomultiplier tube, exciting at 279 nm, with 3 and 4 nm slit widths for the excitation and emission monochrometers, respectively, and a step size of 2 nm. Fluorescence intensities were determined by integration.
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(18) This value is significantly higher than that reported by Kim and coworkers (1.1 x 10^5 M^-1,17). The basis for this discrepancy is unclear.


(20) We also studied at GGG as a control for the presence of a tryptophan and, as expected, observed no binding to Q8•MV by ITC.


GRAPHICAL ABSTRACT

\[ X = \text{Gly-Gly} > \text{Gly-Gly} > \text{Gly-Gly} \]

\[ + X \xrightarrow{K = 10^7-10^9 \text{M}^{-1}} \text{H}_2\text{O, pH 7.0} \]