

Trinity University

Digital Commons @ Trinity

Psychology Faculty Research

Psychology Department

8-2017

From Efficacy to Effectiveness to Broad Implementation: Evolution of the *Body Project*

Carolyn Becker

Trinity University, cbecker@trinity.edu

Eric Stice

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.trinity.edu/psych_faculty



Part of the [Psychology Commons](#)

Publication Details

Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology

Repository Citation

Becker, C. B., & Stice, E. (2017). From efficacy to effectiveness to broad implementation: Evolution of the *body project*. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 85(8), 767-782. doi: 10.1037/ccp0000204

This Post-Print is brought to you for free and open access by the Psychology Department at Digital Commons @ Trinity. It has been accepted for inclusion in Psychology Faculty Research by an authorized administrator of Digital Commons @ Trinity. For more information, please contact jcostanz@trinity.edu.



Published in final edited form as:

J Consult Clin Psychol. 2017 August ; 85(8): 767–782. doi:10.1037/ccp0000204.

From Efficacy to Effectiveness to Broad Implementation: Evolution of the *Body Project*

Carolyn B. Becker^a and Eric Stice^b

^aTrinity University

^bOregon Research Institute

Abstract

Objective—At the turn of the millennium, eating disorders (ED) prevention was largely non-existent. No program had reduced future onset of EDs in even a single trial and most had not reduced ED symptoms. Sixteen years later, the ED prevention field has translated basic risk factor research into interventions with demonstrated efficacy and effectiveness in reducing ED risk factors and symptoms, as well as future ED onset in some trials. This paper reviews the aforementioned progress focusing on a model intervention (i.e., the *Body Project (BP)*).

Method—The paper is a qualitative review of the existing *BP* literature.

Results—Although clinical psychology has struggled with bridging the research practice gap and translating efficacy and effectiveness research into clinical implementation, researchers, clinicians, and community stakeholders working with the *BP* have made significant progress in addressing barriers to scalability, large-scale implementation, and sustainability, reaching 3.5 million girls and young women in 125 countries.

Conclusion—The successful evolution of the *BP* from basic research to traversing the efficacy-to-effectiveness-to-dissemination/implementation continuum has yielded significant public health impact and is thus a fitting case for this special issue commemorating the 125th anniversary of the American Psychological Association. The *BP* example may help others broadly implement efficacious interventions for other mental health problems.

Public Health Impact—The *Body Project (BP)* has reduced eating disorder (ED) risk factors, symptoms, and future ED onset, though the latter effects were only observed in some trials; research also indicates that the *BP* can be broadly implemented using scalable strategies. To date, the *BP* has impacted the lives of over 3.5 million girls and young women in 125 countries thanks to strategic partnerships between researchers, clinicians, and community stakeholders.

Keywords

eating disorders; prevention; cognitive dissonance; risk factor; body dissatisfaction

Eating disorders (EDs) affect 13-15% of females and are marked by chronicity, relapse, distress, impairment, and increased risk for future obesity, depression, suicide, and mortality (Allen, Byrne, Oddy, & Crosby, 2013; Arcelus, Mitchell, Wales, & Nielsen, 2011; Stice, Marti, & Rohde, 2013). Unfortunately, 80% of individuals with EDs do not receive treatment (Swanson, Crow, Le Grange, Swendsen, & Merikangas, 2011), treatment only results in lasting symptom remission for 27-45% of patients (Agras, Walsh, Fairburn, Wilson, & Kraemer, 2000; Grilo, Crosby, Wilson, & Masheb, 2012; Lock et al., 2010) and treatment typically is less effective when delivered in real-world clinical settings (Weersing & Weisz, 2002) where dropout is often even higher (Merrill, Tolbert, & Wade, 2003). Thus, a public health priority is the development of effective ED prevention programs that can be broadly implemented (i.e., are cost-effective, palatable to community stakeholders, and scalable).

In 2000, the National Institute of Mental Health organized a roundtable to review the state of science regarding the reduction of ED risk factors (e.g., body dissatisfaction) and prevention of ED onset. In a summary of the findings, Pearson, Goldklang, and Striegel-Moore (2002) noted that no prevention program had reduced ED symptoms or prevented future onset of EDs. Sixteen years later, the state of the science in the ED prevention and body image field has radically changed (Watson et al., 2016). We now have programs that reduce ED risk factors and early stage ED pathology (Watson et al., 2016). We also have three programs that have significantly reduced future ED onset in at least one trial (Martinsen et al., 2014; Stice, Marti, Spoor, Presnell, & Shaw, 2008; Stice, Rohde, Shaw, & Gau, 2016; Stice, Rohde, Shaw, & Marti, 2013). In addition, although reviews suggest that many findings reported in psychology and other sciences fail to replicate (Ioannidis, Munafò, Fusar-Poli, Nosek, & David, 2014), most effects of the *Body Project (BP)* have generally replicated in most published studies. Finally, although the clinical psychology field often has struggled to translate efficacy/effectiveness research into large scale clinical implementation of empirically supported interventions (Kazdin, 2008), researchers working with the *BP* have made headway in addressing barriers to program scalability and global implementation with over 3.5 million girls/young women reached to date in 125 countries. As such, we consider a review of the successful evolution of the *BP* across the efficacy-to-effectiveness-to-dissemination/ implementation continuum over the past 16 years a fitting contribution to this special issue commemorating the 125th anniversary of the American Psychological Association (APA). In addition to highlighting the specific successes associated with the *BP*, we believe there are general lessons that can be gleaned by other teams interested in translating clinical science into clinical impact.

This paper reviews five domains of success focusing on factors we believe facilitated progress as well areas where we see room for improvement. We first describe the development of the *BP* and review the empirical evidence base for the *BP* generated by the research team that developed it. We focus on the empirical support in reducing ED risk factors and ED onset, but also include basic risk factor research underpinning development of the *BP* and tests of the intervention theory. Next, we detail independent research from multiple teams that replicated findings in both efficacy and effectiveness trials. The subsequent two sections review two critical developments that allowed scale-up implementation of the *BP*, namely the adoption of task-shifting delivery of the program and

development of a train-the-trainers approach. Last, we describe examples of the broad implementation of the *BP* highlighting the importance of using community participatory approaches to create novel partnerships aimed at dissemination and implementation. Figure 1 provides an overview of the milestones in this research program.

It is important to note that one of the most robust ED risk factors, body dissatisfaction, is both a primary target of the *BP* in and of itself, as well a means to the end of reducing ED onset. Because body dissatisfaction is significantly more common than clinical EDs and associated with negative sequelae and distress even in those who do not develop EDs (e.g., depression, suicidality after controlling for depression, unhealthy weight control behaviors and decreased quality of life: Bearman, Presnell, Martinez, & Stice, 2006; Crow, Eisenberg, Story, & Neumark-Stzainer, 2008; Jacobi & Fittig, 2010; Quick, Eisenberg, Bucchianeri, & Neumark-Stzainer, 2013; Wilson, Latner, & Hayashi, 2013), many implementation partners are more interested in reducing body dissatisfaction than preventing EDs. We include implementation efforts that are aimed at either or both body dissatisfaction and ED prevention because many public health initiatives capitalize on community stakeholders' multiple motivations for wanting to reduce a given risk factor (e.g., smoking) rather than just a single endpoint disorder (e.g., lung cancer).

The Body Project: Foundational Empirical Support

As noted, the state of the science in ED prevention was severely lacking 16 years ago. No program had yielded significant reductions in ED symptoms or future onset of EDs, two critical outcomes for ED prevention. Thus, researchers began to directly target empirically established risk factors found to predict future onset of ED symptoms/syndromes. If such risk factors truly were causal, programs that reduced said risk factors should result in a consequent reduction in ED onset. A handful of prospective studies at that time implicated the following factors as increasing risk for onset of binge eating, compensatory behaviors, and bulimia nervosa (BN): pressure to be thin, internalization of the thin-ideal standard of female beauty, body dissatisfaction, dieting, and negative affect (Killen et al., 1996; Patton, Johnson-Sabine, Wood, Mann, & Wakeling, 1990; Patton, Selzer, Coffey, Carlin, & Wolfe, 1999; Santonastaso, Friederici, & Favaro, 1999; Stice, Killen, Hayward, & Taylor, 1998; Stice & Agras, 1998).

Little consensus existed, however, regarding which risk factors were germinal versus resulting from upstream risk factors. Stice and colleagues, however, had conducted a) prospective studies investigating which risk factors predicted future increases in other risk factors (e.g., Stice, Mazotti, Krebs, & Martin, 1998) and b) randomized experiments that manipulated risk factors so as to examine effects on downstream risk factors and identify factors that amplified other risk factor effects (e.g., Stice & Shaw, 1994). This research suggested that sociocultural pressure for thinness promoted thin-ideal internalization, which in turn increased body dissatisfaction and consequent unhealthy weight control behaviors and negative affect. These, in turn, increased risk for emergence of ED symptoms, as proposed in the dual pathway model of ED development (Stice, 1994). A key implication of these findings was that it might be most fruitful to focus on reducing thin-ideal

internalization, which was at the headwaters of the above risk factor cascade, and might be easier to change than sociocultural pressure for thinness.

Given the limited effects of first generation educational ED prevention programs, Stice decided to try non-educational approach; clinical experience suggested one promising avenue. After spending five sessions attempting to convince a client with anorexia nervosa (AN) to not engage in extreme dietary restriction without success, out of desperation, Stice switched roles with the client; he asked her to talk him out of having AN, parroting back arguments the client had provided to justify her ED. This exercise appeared useful because the client argued against herself, which seemed to reduce pursuit of thinness. Based on this experience, Stice created a dissonance-based ED prevention program wherein young women engaged in a series of verbal, written, and behavioral exercises aimed at exploring the negative effects of pursuing the thin ideal of female beauty. For instance, participants generate costs associated with pursuing the thin ideal, complete role-plays in which they talk intervention facilitators out of pursuing the thin ideal, and engage in acts of body activism that challenged the thin ideal (placing post-its saying “you are beautiful – no need to change your appearance” in diet books at a bookstore chain). These exercises putatively generate cognitive dissonance that prompts participants to reduce their pursuit of this unrealistic ideal because people are motivated to align their attitudes with their publically displayed behaviors (Festinger, 1957). According to the dual pathway model (Stice, 2001), reduced internalization of the thin ideal should decrease body dissatisfaction, unhealthy weight control behaviors, negative affect, and ED symptoms, as well future ED onset.

Three preliminary trials provided evidence that the dissonance-based program (i.e., the *BP*) produced significantly greater reductions in thin-ideal internalization, body dissatisfaction, dieting, negative affect, and ED symptoms relative to assessment-only/waitlist control conditions through 6-month follow-up, and in some cases relative to a credible comparison program that promoted healthy lifestyle modifications aimed at balancing energy intake and expenditure (Stice, Chase, Stormer, & Appel, 2001; Stice, Mazotti, Weibel, & Agras, 2000; Stice, Trost, & Chase, 2003). Based on these findings, Stice and colleagues initiated a fully powered, large-scale efficacy randomized controlled trial (RCT) in which young women with body image concerns were randomized to the *BP*, a refined version of the *Healthy Weight* program (*HW*), an expressive writing control group, or an assessment-only control group. Participants in the *BP* showed greater reductions in thin-ideal internalization, body dissatisfaction, dieting, negative affect, and ED symptoms relative to *HW*, expressive writing, and assessment-only controls by posttest, with many effects persisting through 1-, 2-, and 3-year follow-up (Stice et al., 2008; Stice, Shaw, Burton, & Wade, 2006). It is important to note that although the *BP* significantly outperformed the *HW* program acutely, the latter program produced significant reductions in ED risk factors and symptoms relative to the control conditions over longer-term follow-up. Both active groups yielded significantly lower onset of binge eating and compensatory behaviors relative to assessment-only, and significantly lower obesity onset over 1-year follow-up than expressive writing and assessment-only (Stice et al., 2006). The *HW* program may take longer to produce effects than the *BP* because participants make small refinements in diet and exercise and it takes time for these behavioral changes to impact ED risk factors.

Critically, among participants free of threshold or subthreshold EDs at baseline, onset of threshold/ subthreshold EDs over 3-year follow-up was 6% in the *BP* condition and 6.5% in the *HW* condition, versus 15% in the assessment-only condition; this translates into a statistically significant 60% reduction in future ED onset respectively for both programs (Stice et al., 2008). Onset of EDs in the expressive writing control was 8%, which was not significantly different compared to either intervention. Overall, findings were notable because the *BP* appeared to be the first prevention program to reduce a composite measure of ED symptoms and future onset of EDs. It also was the first to produce stronger reductions in some outcomes relative to alternative interventions, which is important for establishing that the apparent intervention effects were not simply due to expectancy effects, demand characteristics, or non-specific effects inherent to RCTs.

A subsequent effectiveness trial found that the *BP* reduced ED risk factors and symptoms relative to educational brochure controls when clinicians at high schools recruited at-risk female students and delivered the *BP* under ecologically valid conditions (Stice, Rohde, Gau & Shaw, 2009; Stice, Rohde, Shaw, & Gau, 2011). A follow-up effectiveness trial also found that the *BP* reduced risk factors, ED symptoms, and functional impairment when college clinicians recruited students and delivered the *BP*, effects were 83% larger than in the high school effectiveness trial (Stice, Butryn, Rohde, Shaw, & Marti, 2013; Stice, Rohde, Butryn, Shaw, & Marti, 2015). The larger effects appeared to emerge because of use of an enhanced-dissonance version of the *BP* and improved procedures for selecting, training, and supervising clinicians. However, the *BP* did not significantly reduce future ED onset in these effectiveness trials, potentially because the facilitators only implemented the *BP* a few times compared to the facilitators in the efficacy trial.

In support of the intervention theory, reductions in thin-ideal internalization mediate the effects of the *BP* on symptom reductions (Stice, Marti, Rohde, & Shaw, 2011; Stice, Presnell, Gau, & Shaw, 2007). In support of the theory that dissonance-induction contributes to *BP* effects, participants assigned to high-dissonance versus low-dissonance versions of the *BP*, which contained the same content, showed greater reductions in ED symptoms (McMillan, Stice, & Rohde, 2011). For participants with initial elevations in thin-ideal internalization, the *BP* also produced larger effects, consistent with the thesis that they should experience the greatest dissonance induction (Stice, Marti, Shaw, & O'Neil, 2008). In addition, the *BP* reduced the risk conveyed by the most potent ED risk factor in one trial - denial of the costs of pursuing the thin-ideal (Stice, Rohde, Gau, & Shaw, 2012): among participants who denied these costs at pretest, those who completed the *BP* showed an ED incidence of 0% over 3-year follow-up. Finally, research indicates that the *BP* alters neural response to the ubiquitous thin-ideal stimuli thought to contribute to EDs: *BP* participants showed greater pre-post reduction in caudate (a key reward valuation region) responsivity to images of thin models relative to changes observed in educational brochure controls, implying that participants may not perceive the thin ideal as a desirable goal after completing the *BP* (Stice, Yokum, & Waters, 2015).

The Body Project and Independent Replication

Scholars have recently highlighted a “crisis” of replication within the field of psychology and other sciences (Ioannidis et al., 2014). Although clinical psychology intervention research maybe somewhat shielded against this secondary to the standard for independent replication in order to label an intervention as empirically supported, most ED prevention programs lack significant independent replication (c.f., Bird, Halliwell, Diedrichs, & Harcourt, 2013; Richardson & Paxton, 2010). Indeed, compared to other ED prevention programs, the *BP* has amassed the largest volume of independent research supporting its efficacy and effectiveness in reducing both ED risk factors and ED symptoms.

Matusek, Wendt, & Wiseman (2004) published the first independent replication only 4 years after Stice et al.'s initial publication. In this trial, Matusek et al. shortened the *BP* and *HW* scripts to one session and compared them to a waitlist control. Both interventions outperformed the control at one month in reducing ED risk factors. The following year, Becker, Smith and Ciao (2005) published a trial comparing the *BP* to a media advocacy intervention and waitlist control. Importantly, Becker et al. had no contact with Stice et al. prior to conducting this trial and developed their own intervention manual based on the *BP* description in Stice et al.'s earliest publication (2000), thus making this study as independent as possible. Both interventions significantly reduced body dissatisfaction, dieting and ED symptoms relatively to waitlist at 1-month follow-up; only the *BP* reduced thin-ideal internalization relative to waitlist. In the third study, Green, Scott, Diyankova, Gasser, and Pederson (2005) conducted an innovative trial that investigated whether dissonance played a role in intervention effects; they found that participants in the high-dissonance condition showed significantly lower ED symptoms than participants in the low-dissonance condition at posttest. They also found evidence that other elements of the program (e.g., content) contributed to effects. The short time period between the publication of the earliest Stice et al. papers and the emergence of independent trials is noteworthy as this was the first time an ED prevention program garnered independent replication support. It is likely that the rapid progression of the *BP* along the efficacy-effectiveness-dissemination/ implementation continuum over the past 16 years was influenced by the relatively quick emergence of independent replication. In addition, although follow-up times were short, all trials had follow-up, used RCT design and had reasonable sample sizes (N range = 84-149). Further, Stice played no role in designing or running these trials, making them truly independent

Since these early replication studies, other published trials have supported the efficacy and effectiveness of the *BP*. For instance, Becker and colleagues conducted four additional trials with follow-ups of 8-14 months supporting the effectiveness of the *BP* when using community providers. Because these trials address scalability of the *BP*, in addition to the effectiveness of the *BP* when implemented by lay providers, they are described in detail below. Mitchell, Mazzeo, Rausch, & Cooke (2007) found that the *BP* produced greater reductions at post-intervention in body dissatisfaction, ED symptoms, drive for thinness, alexithymia and anxiety compared to both no-intervention and yoga control conditions. Seidel, Presnell, & Rosenfield (2009) replicated evidence that reductions in thin-ideal internalization mediated *BP* effects on symptom reductions. Halliwell & Deidrichs (2014) found that the *BP* not only reduced self-reported body dissatisfaction and thin-ideal

internalization in adolescent girls, but also eliminated the negative effect of supermodel exposure on body dissatisfaction observed in controls; the latter effects emerged in a trial that was ostensibly independent, making them unusually immune to demand characteristics. Other research groups also have conducted independent trials investigating various aspects and extensions of the *BP* (e.g., Cruwys, Haslam, Fox, & McMahon, 2015; Roehrig, Thompson, Brannick, & van den Berg, 2006; Serdar et al., 2014).

With regard to quantitative effects across trials, Table 1 describes studies that have evaluated variations of the *BP* in female-only samples along with effect sizes ranges. A description of the conservative approach used to calculate effect sizes is also described in Table 1. It should be noted that many of the studies that produced smaller effect sizes did not implement the Stice et al. (2000) version of the *BP*, but rather adapted versions that included only a subset of the dissonance-inducing exercises. In addition, some trials were effectiveness versus efficacy trials. Effectiveness trials often produce lower effect sizes due to their increased focus on external versus internal validity. For instance, in prevention effectiveness trials, less stringent enrollment criteria may result in lower baseline scores on relevant risk factors, which in turn increases floor effects. One trial (Atkinson & Wade, 2015) failed to find any significant effects for the *BP* for almost all ED risk factors. Four factors may account for these results. First, participant groups were markedly larger (i.e., 20-25) than is recommended (i.e., 6-10). Second, the program was implemented universally versus selectively. Although some other groups also have implemented on a more universal basis, this appears to result in lower effect sizes. Third, the intervention manual was adapted and made less scripted. Fourth, training in this trial was much lower than in other trials. Collectively, these factors may highlight what not to do when implementing the *BP*. With regards to reviews by other research groups, a recent independent meta-analytic review found that, averaging across all trials, dissonance-based programs produced the largest reductions in ED symptoms versus any other type of selective ED prevention program evaluated to date, with an average $d = 1.06$ when compared against assessment-only control conditions and an average $d = .30$ when compared against non-specific control conditions (Watson et al., 2016).

Addressing the Scalability Problem: Task-shifting to Peer-Leaders

Scalability refers to the degree that an intervention can be scaled-up to reach larger numbers of individuals without sacrificing effectiveness. Researchers encountered the scalability problem very early with the *BP*, when sororities at one university wanted to run all new members through the program at one time (Becker, Smith & Ciao, 2006). Although the actual scale of the plan was not large by many standards (i.e., the sororities wanted approximately 12 groups run simultaneously), because a) the university did not have a graduate psychology program, b) the research was not grant funded, and c) only one clinical psychologist was involved, the request produced the same problem encountered in many other global scalability conundrums (Kazdin & Blase, 2011). Specifically, Becker et al. lacked sufficient providers to deliver the program as requested by community stakeholders cost-effectively. Connecting this to the larger field of clinical psychology, Kazdin and Blase (2011) have noted that even if every licensed clinician delivered the most efficacious psychological treatment possible to each patient, we would still fail to adequately reduce the

global burden of mental illness because we will never have enough licensed (i.e., expensive) providers for everyone who could use treatment. Although this is a significant problem in the United States (US: Kazdin & Blase, 2011), the scalability problem is even more glaring in resource poor countries (Fairburn & Patel, 2014). In sum, scarcity demand at a small university pushed *BP* researchers to confront the same scalability issue faced by researchers working quite different settings, and to confront it early in the testing of the *BP*.

To address the scalability problem, Becker et al. (2006) tested whether *BP* delivery could be task-shifted to undergraduate peers, who were abundant and inexpensive. Task-shifting has been used elsewhere in healthcare to address scaling problems (e.g., providing mental health services and HIV treatment in resource poor countries: Patel, Chowdhary, Rahman & Verdelli, 2011; Vamos et al., 2014), but had not been used previously with the *BP* or any similar program. Several studies supported task-shifting delivery of the *BP* (Becker et al., 2006; Becker, Bull, Schaumberg, Cauble, & Franco, 2008; Becker et al., 2010), with 8- and 14-month within-group effect sizes for ED risk factors and symptoms being similar in magnitude to those reported in the Stice et al. (2006; 2008) trials using benchmarking (McFall, 1996). Importantly, using RCT methodology, Becker et al. (2006) also found that peer-led *BP* outperformed a peer-led media advocacy intervention in reducing dieting, thin-ideal internalization, and body dissatisfaction at 8-month follow-up; both interventions reduced ED symptoms. This supported the contention that effects for the peer-led *BP* were not merely due to expectancy effects, demand characteristics, or non-specific factors. Further, Becker et al. (2010) found a similar pattern of findings to Stice et al.'s (2006) clinician-led study; in a RCT, peer-led *BP* outperformed peer-led *HW* at post-test, although both programs yielded similar significant decreases in outcomes by 14 months. Perez, Becker & Ramirez (2010) also found that effects at 5-month follow-up largely held when the task-shifting model was transported to a different campus with a different trainer. Combined, these results laid the foundation of empirical support for task-shifting *BP* delivery to peers and provided additional evidence for the effectiveness of the *BP* with longer follow-ups.

Subsequent research by Stice and colleagues also provided support for task shifting to peer-leaders as long as materials and training were sufficiently adapted to meet peers more limited facilitator skills. Without such support, peers yielded somewhat smaller effects than clinicians (Stice, Rohde, Durant, Shaw, & Wade, 2013). More recently, in the largest *BP* trial ($N = 680$), adolescent girls and young women were randomly assigned to clinician- or peer-led *BP* groups, an Internet-delivered dissonance-based intervention, or an ED education video condition (Stice, Rohde, Shaw & Gau, 2016). Both clinicians and peers produced similar effects, again supporting task-shifting. Both clinicians- and peers also outperformed the video control yielding greater reductions in thin-ideal internalization, body dissatisfaction, dieting, and ED symptoms at post, with some effects persisting through 7-month follow-up. Finally, among participants free of threshold/subthreshold EDs at baseline, 2.2% of those in peer-led groups showed future onset of a threshold/subthreshold ED at 7-months compared to 8.4% of those in the Internet condition, which translated into a significant 74% reduction in future ED onset. There was a 64% reduction in future ED onset in peer-led groups compared to the video control, but this effect did not reach significance ($p = .115$). This trial is important for several reasons. First, it provides randomized evidence that *BP* delivery can be task-shifted to peers without loss of effectiveness relative to

clinicians. Second, although Becker provided some early consultation on Stice et al.'s first peer-led trial, the second peer-led trial was run completely independent from the developer of the peer-led approach (i.e., Becker) providing independent replication for task shifting. Third, this trial provides the first evidence that peer delivery not only reduces ED risk factors including body dissatisfaction and early ED pathology, but also can reduce future onset of EDs. Finally, the fact that effects were stronger for participants in the group-based *BP* conditions versus the Internet condition suggests that the group-based nature of *BP* contributes to its effects, possibly because the public accountability afforded by the group promotes greater dissonance-induction and because participants provide social support for each other (Shaw, Rohde, & Stice, 2016).

As highlighted below, development of the task-shifting approach to delivery of the *BP* has played a critical role in successful dissemination and implementation of the *BP* on a large scale throughout the US. Over 135 universities have implemented the *BP* since 2008 with the vast majority utilizing task-shifting. Universities have indicated they prefer task-shifted *BP* delivery for two primary reasons. First, task shifting addresses the fact that most college counseling centers do not have enough staff to implement prevention programs, and instead have to focus on crisis management (Gallager, 2014). Thus, a typical university cannot afford to scale-up the *BP* by relying on clinician delivery of the program. Second, task-shifting facilitates the creation of leadership opportunities for undergraduates, also filling part of the educational mandate of universities. This allows *BP* implementation teams to tap into financial resources from non-counseling center departments (e.g., student affairs, wellness centers, peer educator programs).

Scaling-up Further: Development of a Train-the-Trainers Model

In 2005, the Tri Delta national sorority contacted Becker after learning about her work with the *BP* and sororities at Trinity University. Tri Delta was interested in the existing evidence-based for the *BP*, its focus on body image, and the task-shifting approach. Tri Delta was drawn to task-shifting for two reasons. First, consistent with other university-based partners, Tri Delta staff knew they would be facing issues of scalability given that they typically approximate 13,500 collegiate members distributed over 130+ university chapters. Second, task-shifting fit their values. The four pillars of sorority life include service, leadership, scholarship and sisterhood. Thus, the peer-led approach resonated with Tri Delta's core values in providing leadership opportunities via a program that had the potential to enhance sisterhood by addressing a problem (i.e., body dissatisfaction) that was viewed as decreasing quality of life, increasing risk for mental illness (i.e., depression and EDs), and causing competition between some members.

After two years of collaborative pilot testing, Tri Delta and Becker embarked on an unprecedented implementation effort for that time: they set the goal of reaching 20,000 young women with the *BP* over 5-year period. After re-branding the peer-led *BP* as the *Reflections: Body Image Program™*, Tri Delta and Becker launched their plan in 2008 in conjunction with a marketing advocacy campaign called *Fat Talk Free Week™*. Between 2008 and 2012, 15,000 workbooks were distributed and *Reflections* was implemented at approximately 100 university campuses. As the *BP* is the most commonly used name for the

dissonance-based intervention, for the remainder of this paper we use the term the *BP* instead of *Reflections*.

During the development of the Tri Delta implementation plan, however, it became apparent that task-shifting alone was insufficient to scale up delivery as envisioned. Specifically, although Becker identified three additional peer-leader trainers, all four had regular jobs and did not have sufficient time to reach all of the universities targeted by Tri Delta. To resolve this scalability problem, Becker and colleagues turned to a train-the-trainer (TTT) approach to enhance reach. Again, scalability concerns for the *BP* mirrored those that have emerged elsewhere in clinical psychology. Specifically, lack of clinical trainers/supervisors has been identified as a key barrier to scalability of psychological treatments (Fairburn & Patel, 2014).

Becker and colleagues conducted two studies investigating the blending of the TTT approach with task-shifting. Kilpela et al. (2014) randomly assigned participants to the *BP* delivered by peer-leaders who either had been trained by Becker or by undergraduate RAs who had been trained to train their fellow peers as peer-leaders. At 14-month follow-up few differences emerged between groups providing support for the TTT approach.

Because the specific TTT model used by Tri Delta relied on an intensive 2-day training model, Greif, Becker, & Hildebrandt (2015) explored whether a graduate student could be trained to train peer leaders in that condensed 2-day time period in an open trial that benchmarked findings back to previous peer-led trials. Results indicated that peer-led *BP* produced similar and significant within-group reductions in ED symptoms, body dissatisfaction and thin-ideal internalization at 5-month follow-up using the 2-day TTT training.

Global Implementation Examples

A global community of researchers, clinicians, community stakeholders currently supports implementation of the *BP*. Dating back to the early work by Becker and colleagues with sororities, the *BP* community heavily relies on principles drawn from community participatory research methods (CPR: see Becker, Stice, Shaw, & Woda, 2009). We use principles from CPR to inform how we approach all partnerships regardless of whether or not implementation efforts include research. Some common components of CPR methods include a reliance on sharing decision making and power, building on partner strengths, striving to create equitable and collaborative partnerships; promoting capacity building and co-learning for all; balancing the joint aims of research with intervention implementation; using a collaborative, cyclical and iterative process; sharing results in a way that respects all parties; and developing a commitment to sustainability, partners, and the project (Israel, Eng, Schulz, & Parker, 2005). In this section we describe four ongoing implementation initiatives: two based in the US and Canada, one is based in Mexico with expansion to other parts of Latin America, and one global.

Eating Recovery Foundation (ERF)

ERF is the non-profit arm of the for-profit residential Eating Recovery Center, which treats EDs. This partnership piloted in 2013. The ERF sought to partner with the *BP* for two reasons. First, the *BP*'s strong empirical base and scalable delivery models suggested the donated financial resources would not be wasted and would yield a positive and significant impact. Second, it appeared that, pursuant to reason one, the *BP* had the potential to increase the brand equity for both the non-profit foundation and the for-profit company.

The ERF partnership targets task-shifted implementation at the university-level in both the US and Canada by providing universities with grants to cover the majority of the cost of a 2-day, on-site, TTT training. These trainings can train approximately 12 peer-leaders and 4-6 staff trainers. During the training a starter cohort of peer-leaders is trained simultaneously in conjunction with staff, who then are positioned to sustainably train subsequent generations of peer-leaders. Thus, use of the TTT model means that a university does not need to pay for repeat trainings as long as at least one trained staff person remains, improving cost efficiency.

The initial 18-month, 2-phase pilot facilitated expansion of the *BP* to 10 new universities. At the end of the pilot, the ERF agreed to fund 85 new grants over a 5-year period. To date, across both the pilot and first year of the 5-year period, 355 student peer-leaders and 223 staff from 41 universities have been trained to implement the *BP* at their respective universities. Data collection regarding numbers of participants actually reached is ongoing as is a study investigating potential benefits of completing the program training with both staff and peer-leaders. Qualitative feedback gathered at trainings indicate overwhelmingly positive responses. It is important to note that the ERF grants facilitate dissemination of the *BP* to universities that either lack financial resources for the initial training or for whom the training costs reduce enthusiasm for adoption. Many other universities have both the financial resources and motivation to absorb the initial training expense.

National Eating Disorders Association (NEDA)

NEDA is the largest grassroots ED association in the US. The partnership with NEDA launched in 2012 with the aim of using NEDA's existing infrastructure to facilitate implementation of the *BP*. NEDA was attracted to the *BP* secondary to a longstanding goal of advancing prevention and because it believes that the *BP* can help create more pro-recovery environments for people with EDs. To reduce long term costs for NEDA, the TTT approach was adapted to train not only facilitator trainers but also two NEDA "master trainers," who in turn could train more trainers. To date, NEDA has trained 165 undergraduate peer leaders to implement the *BP* in low SES high schools throughout the state of New York. One indication of program acceptability in this population is the fact that the students have asked for both a longer version of the program and follow-up activities for monthly booster meetings. NEDA is currently piloting the use of both. NEDA also offers the *BP* trainings (both regular trainings for clinicians and the TTT training for those who want to train peer-leaders) prior to the start of their annual convention. This has increased the national profile of the *BP* to the point that new partnerships are starting to arise via clinicians trained by NEDA.

Comenzar de Nuevo (CdN)

CdN is a non-profit residential ED treatment program located in Mexico. In 2014, CdN decided to spearhead dissemination of the *BP* throughout Mexico and other parts of Latin America. They also translated the manual into the variant of Spanish commonly used in Mexico. In January 2015, they invested in 5 days of training with Becker and Perez with the aim of building marked depth in terms of trainers and group facilitators. The 5-day training also was used to train three master trainers. The goal in providing knowledgeable organizational partners (e.g., NEDA and CdN) with master trainers is to help them become self-sufficient in scaling up the *BP*. This is important for two reasons. First, the more organizations can effectively scale up the *BP* on their own, the greater the global reach of the program. Second, by not requiring partner organizations to constantly pay developers for more training, we demonstrate that our joint goal is greater implementation of the *BP*, versus greater income. Multiple partners have stated that by focusing on financially sustainable (versus highly profitable) training models, they feel more motivated to invest resources in helping to disseminate and implement the *BP*.

In total, CdN started with almost 30 group facilitators, 5 trainers and 3 master trainers. They spent the first 6-9 months after training developing comprehensive business and marketing plans. This was key because CdN recognized that many schools in Latin America would not be able to pay a training fee, yet CdN needed a sustainable business model. To date, CdN has launched a website (over 1,300,000 hits to date) as well as social media campaigns aimed at building interest in both the program and fundraising initiatives; their Facebook page has over 35,000 likes and currently is adding approximately 2000 per month. CdN has trained 2 self-paying private universities (25 group facilitators and 8 trainers). Both universities agreed to collect effectiveness data, and this is ongoing. The two universities each aim to reach 300 students per year. In addition, CdN trained facilitators and trainers at a public university via their “Godfather Program” which allows businesses to sponsor less financially able institutions. Via this funding program, CdN has arranged for an additional 5 university trainings. CdN is also outreaching to area high schools. Finally, they have conducted a training in Chile and the Dominican Republic; trainings are scheduled in 4 new cities in Mexico, as well as Argentina, Ecuador, San Salvador.

Dove and the World Association of Girl Guides and Girl Scouts

The Dove Self Esteem Project's global social mission to promote body confidence in girls was launched in 2006. In 2012, Dove began partnering with the Center for Appearance Research at the University of West England. A key component of this partnership was Dove's desire to strongly pivot towards an evidence-based approach, using an empirically supported body image intervention as the foundation for its body image efforts. Spearheaded by Diedrichs, and in partnership with the World Association of Girl Guides and Girl Scouts (WAGGGS), Dove commissioned the adaptation of the *BP* into a manualized intervention that could be delivered by troop leaders. Developed by Diedrichs, Stice and Becker in collaboration with WAGGGS staff, *Free Being Me* (<http://www.free-being-me.com/downloads/>) was created with the aim of bringing the *BP* to millions of girls globally with delivery task-shifted to existing WAGGGS troop leaders. *Free Being Me* also uses the TTT

model. In sum, this global endeavor relied heavily on previous research supporting the efficacy, effectiveness, and scalability of the *BP*.

Free Being Me truly represents the three-legged stool of evidence-based psychological practice (Spring, 2007) applied globally. With respect to the first leg, which many people argue is the most important (Lilienfeld, Ritschel, Lynn, Cautin, Latzman, 2013), *Free Being Me* is, at its core, simply an adaptation of the empirically supported *BP*. Pursuant to the second leg, clinical expertise, Diedrichs, Stice and Becker relied on thousands of hours of experience implementing the *BP* in providing advice to WAGGGS and Dove regarding which elements of the manual could be adapted and which could not. Becker and Diedrichs also brought their training experience to the table in helping WAGGGS host the first global *Free Being Me* training summit at their world headquarters in the United Kingdom. Finally, regarding the third leg, client values, WAGGGS collaborators ensured that *Free Being Me* “looked like a WAGGGS program” so that it would be better embraced by its member organizations (national Guiding/Scouting organizations). WAGGGS also worked with Becker and Diedrichs in designing the plan for adapting the TTT model to their organization.

To date, WAGGGS and Dove report reaching 3.5 million girls in 125 countries with the *Free Being Me* over the first 3 years of implementation. *Free Being Me* has been translated into over 19 languages and implemented in countries previously overlooked in academic body image research (e.g., Rwanda). Diedrichs and Stice are currently collaborating with WAGGGS and Dove to evaluate the adoption process, with the goal of improving future implementation efforts, and the effectiveness of *Free Being Me* when implemented on this unprecedented scale. Preliminary results indicate that virtually all Member Organizations (e.g., Girl Guide Chapters) in the 55 countries evaluated were able to complete all stages of the implementation process, which included committing to implement *Free Being Me*, holding national leader trainings, conducting local trainings, implementing *Free Being Me* to girl guides/scouts, and discussing expansion and sustainability plans. Equally important, data collected from girl guides/scouts in 12 countries from an ongoing study has confirmed that *Free Being Me* is producing significant reductions in thin-ideal internalization, negative mood, self-esteem, body dissatisfaction, disordered eating, avoidance of life activities because of body image concerns, and significant improvements in social adjustment from pre-to-post compared to waitlist control girls, though effects vary by country (Stice & Diedrichs, 2016). Based on these positive findings, Dove and WAGGGS has committed to implementing *Free Being Me* for another 4 years.

Critical Lessons

It is our impression that our experiences may offer learning opportunities of value for researchers interested in translating clinical science into clinical impact. First, “giving away” the intervention script for free to other researchers and clinicians was critical in promoting broad *BP* implementation and in encouraging independent replication. Second, training people in other organizations to sustainably train facilitators and trainers, a practice which ultimately cuts us out of the financial loop, appears to have been key. Third, the use of rigorous research methods, such as random assignment, comparing the *BP* to other active

interventions, use of diagnostic interviews and objective outcomes, and long-term follow-up used in several trials created a strong evidence-base, which has promoted adoption and implementation. Fourth, in line with CPR tenets, we have solicited input from *BP* group leaders and participants to continuously refine and improve the *BP* over the past 16 years, which has played a key role in the acceptability and efficacy of this program. Another part of CPR entailed soliciting input from implementation partners regarding how best to scale up delivery. Fifth, encouraging tailored adaptations of the *BP* for targeted populations, such as sorority members and WAGGGS, has facilitated broad implementation. Importantly, all of these adaptations are made available for free via a website. Providing materials in this manner allows the development of as many iterations as our community partners feel they need and shows that we are responsive to requests for tailored materials. It also overcomes language barriers. Fifth, identifying ways in which the *BP* could address multiple goals (e.g., reducing body dissatisfaction *and* provide leadership opportunities) appears to help partners access more resources and enhances motivation for implementation. Finally, it is commonly argued that technology offers the best path forward in dissemination and implementation (e.g., Fairburn & Patel, 2014). Yet our experiences with the *BP* suggest that the combined benefit of task-shifting and the TTT approach may be underappreciated. Our community partners also regularly tell us that they appreciate the low tech group approach, which brings people together face-to-face.

Limitations, Unanswered Questions, and Future Directions

There are several limitations with the evidence-based reviewed above. First, replication trials conducted by independent groups often do not include long-term follow-ups and were not designed to detect reductions in future ED onset. It would be useful for future studies to use follow-up periods longer than 3-years, which is the longest follow-up to date. Second, it is unclear why the *BP* significantly reduced future ED onset in the large efficacy and implementation trials, but not in the two large effectiveness trials. One possible explanation is that the facilitators in the effectiveness trials did not develop deep expertise in implementing the *BP* because they implemented it fewer times than the facilitators in the other trials. Third, the vast majority of *BP* trials have not included objective biological measures, which is needed to rule out the possibility that demand characteristics were responsible for intervention effects on the self-report measures. Fortunately, the fact that the *BP* reduced obesity onset (Stice et al., 2006), fMRI-assessed reward region response to thin models (Stice, Yokum, & Waters, 2015) and EKG-assessed markers of cardiac abnormalities (Green et al., 2016) provides some evidence that the *BP* affects objective outcome biological outcomes. It is important to note, however, that the Green et al. EKG trial was conceived as indicated not selective prevention. Third, most of the broad implementation efforts are not collecting data that would allow them to confirm if the implemented variants of the *BP* are producing all of the intervention effects observed in highly controlled trials, such as a reduction in future ED onset. One barrier to this is that some of our implementation partners do not view reduction of future ED onset a major goal (i.e., they care more about reducing body dissatisfaction), and detecting reductions in ED onset requires more expensive studies with control groups, longer follow-ups, and substantial research funding.

In terms of unanswered questions, although the *BP* has been compared to several alternative interventions (e.g., *HW* and media advocacy), it has yet to be compared to cognitive behavioral therapy-based ED prevention programs, which also have a broad evidence-base. Further, it would also be useful for future trials to evaluate alterations that might increase the efficacy of the *BP*, such as adding on intervention elements that focus on reducing negative affect, as this risk factor is not directly addressed in the current version of the *BP*.

With regard to future directions, more robust testing of the *BP* during large scale implementation is needed, with longer follow-ups. Also, prevention programs that expressly target AN are needed, as the risk factors targeted in the *BP* predict future onset of BN, binge eating disorder, and purging disorder, but not future onset of AN (Stice, Gau, Rohde, & Shaw, 2016). Another direction would be to design programs that target trans-diagnostic risk factors that predict onset of AN and other EDs, such as impaired psychosocial functioning (Stice, Gau et al., 2016). Finally, it might be useful to design dissonance-based interventions for other health and mental health problems, as this modality appears to produce robust and enduring effects.

Conclusion

The past 16 years have proven to be remarkable ones for the ED prevention and body image fields. Building on basic risk factor research, researchers have now identified strategies that effectively and reliably reduce ED risk factors, including body dissatisfaction and early stage ED symptoms, and have prevented the onset of EDs in some trials. Moving from basic research to traversing the efficacy to effectiveness continuum alone would have been a significant accomplishment in less than 2 decades. Yet, by working collaboratively towards a common goal with a single intervention, a global community of researchers, clinicians, community stakeholders also demonstrated, in the same time period, that it is possible to translate clinical psychological science into tremendous clinical impact by attending to issues of scalability and CPR methods. Indeed, it is even possible that engaging entire organizations/communities in these implementation projects has increased awareness that narrowly defined beauty ideas contribute to body dissatisfaction and EDs across a much wider spectrum of individuals than just the participants who have completed variants of the *BP*. This evolving implementation story provides evidence that clinical psychology can overcome the research practice gap, produce effects that are replicable, and even enact global-level evidence-based practice, but we will need many more partners at the table to do so. With that in mind, we want to end by thanking everyone, from the *BP* participants and group leaders to the funders, visionaries, scholars and clinicians, who made this journey possible. Everything that has been accomplished and described in this paper belongs to and exists because of the entire the *BP* community.

Acknowledgments

This program of research has been supported by NIH grants K01MH01708, R01MH/DK061957, R01MH070699, R01MH086582, R01MH097720, funding from the Hogg Foundation, Dove/World Association of Girl Guides and Girl Scouts, The Eating Recovery Foundation, Comenzar de Nuevo, Delta Delta Delta Fraternity, National Eating Disorders Association, New York State, Stavros Niarchos Foundation. The authors would also like to thank all members of the *Body Project* community described in this paper.

References

- Agras WS, Walsh BT, Fairburn CG, Wilson GT, Kraemer HC. A multicenter comparison of cognitive-behavioral therapy and interpersonal therapy for bulimia nervosa. *Archives of General Psychiatry*. 2000; 57:459–466. [PubMed: 10807486]
- Allen KL, Byrne SM, Oddy WH, Crosby RD. DSM-IV-TR and DSM-5 eating disorders in adolescents: prevalence, stability, and psychosocial correlates in a population-based sample of male and female adolescents. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*. 2013; 122:720–732. [PubMed: 24016012]
- Arcelus J, Mitchell A, Wales J, Nielsen S. Mortality rates in patients with anorexia nervosa and other eating disorders: A meta-analysis of 36 studies. *Archives of General Psychiatry*. 2011; 68:724–731. DOI: 10.1001/archgenpsychiatry.2011.74 [PubMed: 21727255]
- Atkinson MJ, Wade TD. Mindfulness-based prevention for eating disorders: A school-based cluster randomized controlled study. *International Journal of Eating Disorders*. 2015; 48(7):1024–1037. [PubMed: 26052831]
- Bearman SK, Presnell K, Martinez E, Stice E. The skinny on body dissatisfaction: A longitudinal study of adolescent girls and boys. *Journal of Youth Adolescence*. 2006; 35:217–229. [PubMed: 16912810]
- Becker BJ. Synthesizing standardized mean-change measures. *British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology*. 1988; 41:257–278. DOI: 10.1111/j.2044-8317.1988.tb00901.x
- Becker CB, Bull S, Schaumberg K, Cauble A, Franco A. Effectiveness of peer-facilitated eating disorders prevention: A replication trial. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*. 2008; 76:347–354. [PubMed: 18377130]
- Becker CB, Smith LM, Ciao AC. Reducing eating disorder risk factors in sorority members: A randomized trial. *Behavior Therapy*. 2005; 36:245–253.
- Becker CB, Smith LM, Ciao AC. Peer-facilitated eating disorder prevention: A randomized effectiveness trial of cognitive dissonance and media advocacy. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*. 2006; 53:550–555.
- Becker CB, Stice E, Shaw H, Woda S. Use of empirically-supported interventions for psychopathology: Can the participatory approach move us beyond the research-to-practice gap? *Behaviour Research and Therapy*. 2009; 47:265–274. [PubMed: 19281965]
- Becker CB, Wilson C, Williams A, Kelly M, McDaniel L, Elmquist J. Peer-facilitated cognitive dissonance versus healthy weight eating disorders prevention: A randomized comparison. *Body Image*. 2010; 7:280–288. [PubMed: 20638351]
- Bird E, Halliwell E, Diedrichs P, Harcourt D. Happy Being Me in the UK: A controlled evaluation of a school-based body image intervention with pre-adolescent children. *Body Image*. 2013; 10:326–334. [PubMed: 23562583]
- Ciao A, Latner J, Brown K, Ebneter D, Becker C. Effectiveness of a peer-delivered dissonance-based program in reducing eating disorder risk factors in high school girls. *International Journal of Eating Disorders*. 2015; 48:779–784. [PubMed: 25959408]
- Corning AF, Gondoli DM, Bucchianeri MM, Salafia E. Preventing the development of body issues in adolescent girls through intervention with their mothers. *Body Image*. 2010; 7:289–295. [PubMed: 20833596]
- Crow S, Eisenberg ME, Story M, Neumark-Sztainer D. Suicidal behavior in adolescents: Relationship to weight status, weight control behaviors, and body dissatisfaction. *International Journal of Eating Disorders*. 2008; 41:82–87. [PubMed: 17922538]
- Cruwys T, Haslam SA, Fox NE, McMahon H. “That’s not what we do”: evidence that normative change is a mechanism of action in group interventions. *Behaviour research and therapy*. 2015; 65:11–17. [PubMed: 25544661]
- Danielsdottir, S., Agustsdottir, EB., Thorsdottir, F., Jonsson, FH. Eating Disorder Prevention in Iceland: The Body Project. Oral Research Presentation at the Nordic Eating Disorder Society's 9th Congress on Eating Disorders; Copenhagen. September 2012; 2012.
- Fairburn CG, Patel V. The global dissemination of psychological treatments: A road map for research and practice. *The American Journal of Psychiatry*. 2014; 171:495–498. [PubMed: 24788281]

- Fairburn CG, Patel V. The global dissemination of psychological treatments: A road map for research and practice. *The American Journal of Psychiatry*. 2014; 171:495–498. [PubMed: 24788281]
- Festinger, L. A theory of cognitive dissonance. Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press; 1957.
- Gallagher, R. National Survey of College Counseling Center, 2014. 2014. [Online]. Available at: <http://www.collegecounseling.org/wp-content/uploads/Survey-2013-4-yr-Directors-1.pdf>
- Green M, Scott N, Diyankova I, Gasser C, Pederson E. Eating disorder prevention: An experimental comparison of high level dissonance, low level dissonance, and no-treatment control. *Eating Disorders*. 2005; 13:157–169. [PubMed: 16864339]
- Green M, Willis M, Fernandez-Kong K, Reyes S, Linkhart R, Johnson M, et al. Dissonance-based eating disorder program reduces cardiac risk: A preliminary trial. *Health Psychology*. 2016
- Greif R, Becker CB, Hildebrandt T. Reducing eating disorder risk factors: A pilot effectiveness trial of a train-the-trainer approach to dissemination and implementation. *International Journal of Eating Disorders*. 2015; 48:1122–1131. [PubMed: 26281792]
- Grilo CM, Crosby RD, Wilson GT, Masheb RM. 12-month follow-up of fluoxetine and cognitive behavioral therapy for binge eating disorder. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*. 2012; 80:1108–1113. [PubMed: 22985205]
- Halliwel E, Diedrichs P. Testing a dissonance body image intervention among young girls. *Healthy Psychology*. 2014; 33:201–204.
- Ioannidis JPA, Munafò MR, Fusar-Poli P, Nosek BA, David SP. Publication and other reporting biases in cognitive sciences: detection, prevalence and prevention. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*. 2014; 18:235–241. [PubMed: 24656991]
- Israel, B., Eng, E., Shulz, A., Parker, E. Introduction to methods in community-based participatory research for health In Israel. In: B. A.Eng, E.Shulz, AJ., Parker, EA., editors. *Methods in community-based participatory research for health*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass; 2005. p. 3–26.
- Jacobi, C., Fittig, E. Psychosocial risk factors for eating disorders. In: Agras, WS., editor. *The Oxford Handbook of Eating Disorders*. USA: Oxford University Press; 2010.
- Kazdin AE. Evidence-based treatment and practice: new opportunities to bridge clinical research and practice, enhance the knowledge base, and improve patient care. *American psychologist*. 2008; 63:146. [PubMed: 18377105]
- Kazdin AE, Blase SL. Rebooting psychotherapy research and practice to reduce the burden of mental illness. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*. 2011; 6:21–37. [PubMed: 26162113]
- Killen JD, Taylor CB, Hayward C, Haydel KF, Wilson DM, Hammer L, et al. Strachowski D. Weight concerns influence the development of eating disorders: a 4- year prospective study. *J Consult Clin Psychol*. 1996; 64:936–40. [PubMed: 8916622]
- Kilpela LS, DeBoer LB, Alley MC, Presnell K, McGinley JW, Becker CB. Distributed and condensed versions of a cognitive dissonance programme: comparative effects on eating disorder risk factors and symptoms. *Advances in Eating Disorders: Theory, Research and Practice*. 2015; 3:34–47.
- Kilpela LS, Hill K, Kelly MC, Elmquist J, Ottoson P, Keith D, et al. Becker CB. Reducing eating disorder risk factors: A controlled investigation of a blended task- shifting/train-the-trainer approach to dissemination and implementation. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*. 2014; 63:70–82. [PubMed: 25305538]
- Lilienfeld SO, Ritschel LA, Lynn SJ, Cautin RL, Litzman RD. Why many clinical psychologists are resistant to evidence-based practice: Root causes and constructive remedies. *Clinical Psychology Review*. 2013; 33:883–900. [PubMed: 23647856]
- Linville D, Cobb E, Lenee-Bluhm T, López-Zerón G, Gau JM, Stice E. Effectiveness of an eating disorder preventative intervention in primary care medical settings. *Behaviour research and therapy*. 2015; 75:32–39. [PubMed: 26523886]
- Lock J, le Grange D, Agras S, Moye A, Bryson S, Jo B. Randomized clinical trial comparing family-based treatment with adolescent-focused individual therapy for adolescents with anorexia nervosa. *Archives of General Psychiatry*. 2010; 67:1025–1032. [PubMed: 20921118]
- Martinsen M, Bahr R, Borresen R, Holme I, Pensgaard A, Sundgot-Borden J. Preventing eating disorders among young elite athletes: A randomized controlled trial. *Medicine and Science in Sports & Exercise*. 2014; 46:435–447. [PubMed: 24549033]

- Matusek JA, Wendt SJ, Wiseman CV. Dissonance thin-ideal and didactic healthy behavior eating disorder prevention programs: Results from a controlled trial. *International Journal of Eating Disorders*. 2004; 36:376–388. [PubMed: 15558649]
- McFall, RM. Consumer satisfaction as a way of evaluating psychotherapy: Ecological validity and all that versus the good old randomized trial (panel discussion). 6th Annual Convention of the American Association of Applied and Preventative Psychology; 1996; San Francisco. 1996.
- McMillan W, Stice E, Rohde P. High- and low-level dissonance-based eating disorder prevention programs with young women with body image concerns: An experimental trial. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*. 2011; 79:129–134. [PubMed: 21261438]
- Merrill K, Tolbert V, Wade W. Effectiveness of cognitive therapy for depression in a community mental health center: A benchmarking study. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*. 2003; 71:404–409. [PubMed: 12699035]
- Mitchell KS, Mazzeo SE, Rausch SM, Cooke KL. Innovative interventions for disordered eating: Evaluating dissonance-based and yoga interventions. *International Journal of Eating Disorders*. 2007; 40:120–128. [PubMed: 17089413]
- Morris SB, DeShon RP. Combining effect size estimates in meta-analysis with repeated measures and independent-groups designs. *Psychological Methods*. 2002; 7:105. doi: 10.1037//1082-989x.7.1.105 [PubMed: 11928886]
- Patel V, Chowdhary N, Rahman A, Verdeli H. Improving access to psychological treatments: Lessons from developing countries. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*. 2011; 49:523–528. [PubMed: 21788012]
- Patton GC, Johnson-Sabine E, Wood K, Mann AH, Wakeling A. Abnormal eating attitudes in London schoolgirls—a prospective epidemiological study: outcome at twelve month follow-up. *Psychological medicine*. 1990; 20:383–394. [PubMed: 2356264]
- Patton GC, Selzer R, Coffey C, C JB, Carlin JB, Wolfe R. Onset of adolescent eating disorders: population based cohort study over 3 years. *BMJ*. 1999; 318:765–768. [PubMed: 10082698]
- Pearson J, Goldklang D, Striegel-Moore RH. Prevention of eating disorders: Challenges and opportunities. *International Journal of Eating Disorders*. 2002; 31:233–239. [PubMed: 11920984]
- Perez M, Becker CB, Ramirez A. Transportability of an empirically supported dissonance-based prevention program for eating disorders. *Body Image*. 2010; 7:179–186. [PubMed: 20335084]
- Presnell, K., Seidel, A., Madeley, MC. Comparison of a dissonance-only vs dissonance plus healthy weight management eating disorder prevention interventions. Poster presented at the annual meeting of the Association for Cognitive and Behavioral Therapies; Orlando, FL. 2008.
- Quick V, Eisenberg ME, Bucchianeri MM, Neumark-Sztainer D. Prospective predictors of body dissatisfaction in young adults 10-year longitudinal findings. *Emerging Adulthood*. 2013 2167696813485738.
- Ramirez AL, Perez M, Taylor A. Preliminary examination of a couple-based eating disorder prevention program. *Body Image*. 2012; 9:324–333. [PubMed: 22633843]
- Richardson SM, Paxton SJ. An evaluation of a body image intervention based on risk factors for body dissatisfaction: A controlled study with adolescent girls. *International Journal of Eating Disorders*. 2010; 43:112–122. [PubMed: 19350648]
- Roehrig M, Thompson JK, Brannick M, van den Berg P. Dissonance-based eating disorder prevention program: A preliminary dismantling investigation. *International Journal of Eating Disorders*. 2006; 39:1–10. [PubMed: 16254869]
- Rohde P, Auslander BA, Shaw H, Raineri KM, Gau JM, Stice E. Dissonance-based prevention of eating disorder risk factors in middle school girls: Results from two pilot trials. *International Journal of Eating Disorders*. 2014; 47(5):483–494. [PubMed: 24590419]
- Santonastaso P, Friederici S, Favaro A. Full and partial syndromes in eating disorders: A 1-year prospective study of risk factors among female students. *Psychopathology*. 1999; 32:50–56. [PubMed: 9885401]
- Seidel A, Presnell K, Rosenfield D. Mediators in the dissonance eating disorder prevention program. *Behaviour research and therapy*. 2009; 47(8):645–653. [PubMed: 19457474]

- Serdar K, Kelly N, Palmberg A, Lydecker J, Thornton L, Tully C, Mazzeo A. Comparing online and face-to-face dissonance-based eating disorder prevention. *Eating Disorders*. 2014; 22:244–260. [PubMed: 24456277]
- Shaw H, Rohde P, Stice E. Participant feedback from peer-led, clinician-led, and Internet-delivered eating disorder prevention interventions. *International Journal of Eating Disorders*. 2016; doi: 10.1002/eat.22605
- Spring B. Evidence-based practice in clinical psychology: what it is, why it matters; what you need to know. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*. 2007; 63:611–631. [PubMed: 17551934]
- Stice E, Agras WS. Predicting onset and cessation of bulimic behaviors during adolescence: A longitudinal grouping analysis. *Behavior Therapy*. 1998; 29:257–76.
- Stice E. A review of the evidence for a sociocultural model of bulimia nervosa and an exploration of the mechanisms of action. *Clinical Psychology Review*. 1994; 14:633–661.
- Stice E. A prospective test of the dual-pathway model of bulimic pathology: mediating effects of dieting and negative affect. *Journal of abnormal psychology*. 2001; 110:124. [PubMed: 11261386]
- Stice E, Butryn M, Rohde P, Shaw H, Marti N. An effectiveness trial of a new enhanced dissonance eating disorder prevention program among female college students. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*. 2013; 51:862–871. [PubMed: 24189570]
- Stice E, Chase A, Stormer S, Appel A. A randomized trial of a dissonance-based eating disorder prevention program. *International Journal of Eating Disorders*. 2001; 29:247–262. [PubMed: 11262503]
- Stice E, Diedrichs P. Global implementation of a dissonance-based eating disorder prevention program. 2016 Talk at the Eating Disorder Research Society meeting in New York.
- Stice E, Durant S, Rohde P, Shaw H. Effects of a Prototype Internet Dissonance-Based Eating Disorder Prevention Program at 1- and 2-Year Follow-Up. *Health Psychology*. 2014; 33:1558–1567. [PubMed: 25020152]
- Stice E, Gau J, Rohde P, Shaw H. Risk factors that predict future onset of each DSM-5 eating disorder: Predictive specificity in high-risk adolescent females. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*. 2016
- Stice E, Killen JD, Hayward C, Taylor CB. Age of onset for binge eating and purging during adolescence: A four-year survival analysis. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*. 1998; 107:671–675. [PubMed: 9830254]
- Stice E, Marti N, Rohde P. Prevalence, incidence, impairment, and course of the proposed DSM-5 eating disorder diagnoses in an 8-year prospective community study of young women. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*. 2013; 122:445–457. [PubMed: 23148784]
- Stice E, Marti N, Rohde P, Shaw H. Testing mediators hypothesized to account for the effects of a dissonance eating disorder prevention program over longer-term follow-up. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*. 2011; 79:398–405. [PubMed: 21500884]
- Stice E, Marti N, Shaw H, O'Neil K. General and program-specific moderators of two eating disorder prevention programs. *International Journal of Eating Disorders*. 2008; 41(7):611–617. [PubMed: 18528875]
- Stice E, Marti N, Spoor S, Presnell K, Shaw H. Dissonance and healthy weight eating disorder prevention programs: Long-term effects from a randomized efficacy trial. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*. 2008; 76:329–340. [PubMed: 18377128]
- Stice E, Mazotti L, Krebs M, Martin S. Predictors of adolescent dieting behaviors: A longitudinal study. *Psychology of Addictive Behaviors*. 1998; 12:195–205.
- Stice E, Mazotti L, Weibel D, Agras WS. Dissonance prevention program decreases thin-ideal internalization, body dissatisfaction, dieting, negative affect, and bulimic symptoms: A preliminary experiment. *International Journal of Eating Disorders*. 2000; 27:206–217. [PubMed: 10657894]
- Stice E, Presnell K, Gau J, Shaw H. Testing mediators of intervention effects in randomized controlled trials: An evaluation of two eating disorder prevention programs. *Journal of consulting and clinical psychology*. 2007; 75(1):20. [PubMed: 17295560]
- Stice E, Rohde P, Gau J, Shaw H. An effectiveness trial of a dissonance-based eating disorder prevention program for high-risk adolescent girls. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*. 2009; 77:825–834. [PubMed: 19803563]

- Stice E, Rohde P, Butryn M, Shaw H, Marti N. Effectiveness trial of a selected dissonance-based eating disorder prevention program with female college students: Effects at 2- and 3-year follow-up. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*. 2015; 71:20–26. [PubMed: 26056749]
- Stice E, Rohde P, Durant S, Shaw H. A preliminary trial of a prototype Internet dissonance-based eating disorder prevention program for young women with body image concerns. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*. 2012; 80:907–916. [PubMed: 22506791]
- Stice E, Rohde P, Durant S, Shaw H, Wade E. Effectiveness of Peer-Led Dissonance-Based Eating Disorder Prevention Groups: Results from Two Randomized Pilot Trials. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*. 2013; 51:197–206. [PubMed: 23419888]
- Stice E, Rohde P, Gau J, Shaw H. Effect of a dissonance-based prevention program on risk for eating disorder onset in the context of eating disorder risk factors. *Prevention Science*. 2012; 13:129–139. [PubMed: 21975593]
- Stice E, Rohde P, Shaw H, Gau J. An effectiveness trial of a selected dissonance-based eating disorder prevention program for female high school students: Long-term effects. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*. 2011; 79:500–508. [PubMed: 21707136]
- Stice, E., Rohde, P., Shaw, H., Gau, J. Implementation trial of clinician-led, peer-led, and Internet-delivered dissonance-based eating disorder prevention programs. Poster presented at the Association for Behavioral and Cognitive Therapies; New York, NY. 2016.
- Stice E, Rohde P, Shaw H, Marti N. Efficacy trial of a selective prevention program targeting both eating disorders and obesity among female college students: 1- and 2-year follow-up effects. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*. 2013; 81:183–189. [PubMed: 23231574]
- Stice E, Shaw H. Adverse effects of the media portrayed thin-ideal on women, and linkages to bulimic symptomatology. *Journal of Social and Clinical Psychology*. 1994; 13:288–308.
- Stice E, Shaw H, Burton E, Wade E. Dissonance and healthy weight eating disorder prevention programs: a randomized efficacy trial. *Journal of consulting and clinical psychology*. 2006; 74(2): 263. [PubMed: 16649871]
- Stice E, Trost A, Chase A. Healthy weight control and dissonance-based eating disorder prevention programs: Results from a controlled trial. *International Journal of Eating Disorders*. 2003; 33:10–21. [PubMed: 12474195]
- Stice E, Yokum S, Waters A. Dissonance-based eating disorder prevention program reduces reward region response to thin models: How actions shape valuation. *PLoS ONE*. 2015; 10:12–e0144530. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0144530
- Swanson S, Crow S, Le Grange D, Swendsen J, Merikangas K. Prevalence and correlates of eating disorders in adolescents: Results from the national comorbidity survey replication adolescent supplement. *Archives of General Psychiatry*. 2011; 68:714–723. DOI: 10.1001/archgenpsychiatry.2011.22 [PubMed: 21383252]
- Vamos S, Mumbi M, Cook R, Chitalu N, Weiss S, Jones D. Translation and sustainability of an HIV prevention intervention in Lusaka, Zambia. *Translational Behavioral Medicine*. 2014; 4:141–8. [PubMed: 24904697]
- Van Diest AMK, Perez M. Exploring the integration of thin-ideal internalization and self-objectification in the prevention of eating disorders. *Body Image*. 2013; 10(1):16–25. [PubMed: 23182310]
- Wade T, George W, Atkinson M. A randomized controlled trial of brief interventions for body dissatisfaction. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*. 2009; 77:845–854. [PubMed: 19803565]
- Watson HJ, Joyce T, French E, Willan V, Kane RT, Tanner-Smith E, et al. Egan SJ. Prevention of eating disorders: A systematic Review of randomized, controlled trials. *International Journal of Eating Disorders*. 2016; 49:833–862. DOI: 10.1002/eat.22577 [PubMed: 27425572]
- Weersing VR, Weisz JR. Community clinic treatment of depressed youth: Benchmarking usual care against CBT clinical trials. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*. 2002; 70:299–310. [PubMed: 11952188]
- Wilson RE, Latner JD, Hayashi K. More than just body weight: The role of body image in psychological and physical functioning. *Body Image*. 2013; 10:644–647. [PubMed: 23726517]




Figure 1. Timeline of the Development of the Body Project

Table 1
Summary of the Sample, Intervention Content, and Findings from Female-Only
Dissonance-Based Program Trials with Effect Sizes*

Study	Sample	Intervention	Findings & Effect Sizes (Cohen's <i>d</i>)
Atkinson & Wade (2016)	347 adolescent girls	Randomized trial comparing 3-session dissonance-based intervention (DBI) to mindfulness-based intervention or control	DBI showed significant reductions in sociocultural pressures compared to mindfulness-based intervention and an assessment only control (effects collapsed at all time points) DBI vs control post $d = -.06-.32$; 1-mo $d = -.19-.31$; 6-mo $d = -.03-.41$; DBI vs mindfulness intervention post $d = -.13-.26$; 1-mo $d = -.09-.33$; 6-mo $d = -.20-.03$.
Becker, Smith, & Ciao (2006)	90 sorority members	RCT comparing a 4 hr. peer-led DBI to a MA intervention	DBI participants showed greater reductions in TII, BD, and dieting, but not ED symptoms, than media advocacy participants at 7-wk and 8-mo follow-up. Post $d = -.07-.36$; 1.75-mo $d = .08-.50$
Becker, Bull et al. (2008)	188 sorority members	RCT comparing a 4 hr. peer-led version of DBI to MA intervention	DBI participants did not show greater reductions in TII, BD, dieting, or ED symptoms than MA participants. Post $d = .02-.19$; 1.75-mo $d = -.02-.11$; 8-mo $d = -.03-.17$.
Becker, Wilson et al., (2010)	106 sorority members	RCT comparing a 3.5 hr. peer-led version of the DBI to a modified version of the Healthy Weight (HW) management intervention	DBI showed greater pre-post reductions in TII, negative affect, and ED symptoms, but not BD and dieting, than modified HW participants, but no between group effects persisted through 8-wk, 8-mo, or 14-mo FU. Post $d = .19-.44$; 2-mo $d = .06-.13$; 8-mo $d = -.04-.36$; 14-mo $d = .08-.30$
Becker, McDaniel, et al. (2012)	157 female athletes	RCT that compared the 4 hr. peer-led version of the DBI to an athlete-modified version of the HW intervention	DBI participants did not show greater reductions in TII, BS, dieting, negative affect, or ED symptoms than HW participants. Post $d = .13-.21$; 2-mo $d = .06-.13$; 8-mo $d = -.04-.36$; 14-mo $d = .08-.30$
Ciao et al. (2015)	51 high school girls	Non-randomized trial comparing a 3 hr. peer-led version of DBI to a waitlist control with high school girls participating on a mandatory basis within school curriculum	DBI participants did not show significantly greater reductions in outcomes than waitlist controls, though this study was only powered to detect large effects. Between group post $d = .08-.26$; within group 3 mo follow-up $d = .02-.15$
Corning et al. (2010)	31 middle school girls and their mothers	RCT comparing 6 hr. version of DBI based on Trost (2006) with a waitlist control with middle school girls with body image disturbance and their mothers	DBI did not show significantly greater reductions in outcomes than waitlist control, though this study was only powered to detect large effects. Post $d = .17-.32$; within group 3-mo $d = .48-.55$
Cruwys et al. (2015)	112 girls and women	Uncontrolled study examining mechanism of action in group therapy via a test of the DBI	DBI participants showed a significant pre-post decrease TII, BD, and dieting. Within group post $d = .68-.144$
Danielsdottir et al. 2012	62 high school girls	RCT comparing the 4 hr Body Project DBI (2007) with the Reflections DBI (2011)	Both DBI conditions showed significant reductions in TII, BD, dieting, and ED symptoms; effects did not differ between groups. Study 1 within group post $d = .21-.72$; study 2 post $d = .04-.81$
Green et al. (2005)	155 college females	RCT comparing 4 hr. high-dissonance DBI to a low-dissonance DBI and control condition	High dissonance DBI showed lower ED symptoms compared to low-dissonance DBI at post. Post $d = .15$; 1-mo $d = .17$

Study	Sample	Intervention	Findings & Effect Sizes (Cohen's <i>d</i>)
Greif et al. (2015)	64 sorority members	Uncontrolled study examining effects of a 4 hr. peer-led DBI	DBI showed significant reductions in TII, BD, and ED symptoms at post, significant reductions in TII and ED symptoms at 5-months, no improvements in negative affect. Post $d = .17-.93$; 5-mo $d = -.43-.39$.
Halliwell & Diedrichs(2014)	106 middle school girls	Non-randomized trial comparing a 1.3 hr. version of the DBI to a waitlist control condition	DBI showed greater reductions in TII and BD, but not dieting, than controls at post-test, and less negative effects of exposure to thin models relative to control at 1-mo follow-up. 1-mo $d = .14-.55$
Kilpela, DeBoer et al. (2015)	73 female undergraduates	Uncontrolled trial comparing 4 1hr session over 4 week version of peer-led DBI to two 2 hr session over 2 week version of peer-led DBI	Participants in both DBIs showed similar rates of improvement in ED risk factors and symptoms through 12 mo-follow-up except for TII, where participants in 4 week version had lower scores at 6-and 12-mo-follow-ups. 4 1hr sessions; within group post $d = .04-.56$; 6-mo $d = .07-.51$; 12-mo $d = -.05-.80$; 2 2-hr sessions; within group post $d = .25-.41$; 6-mo $d = .03-.62$; 6-mo $d = .12-.61$.
Kilpela, Hill et al. (2014)	285 sorority members	RCT tested if undergraduate facilitators trained by other undergraduates to lead DBI produced weaker effects than facilitators trained by doctoral-level trainer.	Participants in both DBI conditions showed significant reductions in TII, BD, dieting, negative affect, and ED symptoms; effects did not differ between groups. Within group post $d = .15-.72$; 2-mo FU $d = .09-.39$; 8-mo $d = .12-.25$; 14-mo $d = .14-.40$.
Linville, Cobb, Bluhm, et al. (2015)	66 females w/ body image concerns (BIC)	Randomized effectiveness trial comparing 4 hr. version of DBI to educational video control condition in 2 primary care clinics	DBI participants showed significantly greater reductions in TII, pressure to be thin, dieting, ED symptoms, body dissatisfaction, and negative affect at posttest and 3-moth follow-up. Post $d = .45-.82$; 3-mo $d = .18-.75$.
Matusek et al. (2004)	84 females w/ body image concerns	RCT comparing a 2 hr. version of a DBI to a psychoeducational or waitlist control condition	DBI participants showed greater decreases in TII and ED symptoms, but not BD, than psychoeducational and waitlist control participants. Waitlist 1-mo FU $d = .18-.67$; psychoeducation 1-mo $d = -.02-.33$
McMillan et al. (2011)	124 female students w/ BIC	RCT comparing a 4 hr. high-dissonance to a low-dissonance version of the DBI and a waitlist control	High-dissonance showed greater reductions in TII, BD, dieting, and ED symptoms than control at post; low-dissonance showed greater reductions in TII, BD, and dieting, but no ED symptoms than control; high-dissonance produced showed greater reductions in ED symptoms than low-dissonance. Post $d = .28-1.08$; 3-mo $d = .05-.81$
Mitchell et al. (2007)	93 female students w/ BIC	RCT comparing a 4.5 hr. version of DBI to a yoga and control condition	DBI showed greater reductions in TII, BD, ED symptoms, alexithymia, and anxiety than yoga and control groups. Control condition post $d = -.01-.94$; yoga condition post $d = -.12-.39$
Perez et al. (2010)	182 sorority members	Non-randomized study examining effects of 4-hr peer-led version of DBI on ED risk factors	DBI participants showed reductions in BD, TII, dieting, media use as source of beauty information at post-test, 5-mo and 1-yr follow-up. Post $d = .08-.44$; 5-mo $d = -.09-.18$; 12-mo $d = -.02-.51$
Presnell et al. (2008)	133 females w/ BIC	RCT comparing a 4 hr. DBI with an assessment-only control condition	DBI participants showed greater reductions in BD, dieting, and negative affect, but not TII and ED symptoms than controls. Post $d = .07-.20$; 3-mo $d = -.02-.37$
Ramirez et al. (2012)	209 dating couples	Non-randomized trial of a 2 hr. couples-based DBI compared to an assessment-only control condition	Dissonance group reduced pressures to be thin, thin and athletic ideal internalization, BD, and actual-ideal body discrepancy. Post $d = .03-.59$; 1-mo $d = .04-.17$

Study	Sample	Intervention	Findings & Effect Sizes (Cohen's <i>d</i>)
Roehrig, et al. (2006)	78 at-risk college women	RCT comparing the 3 hr. version of the DBI with a dismantled version of the DBI with only pure dissonance induction activities	Participants in both DBI conditions showed significant reductions in TII, BD, dieting, and ED symptoms; effects did not differ between groups. Within group post $d = .38-.79$; 1-mo $d = .49-.79$
Rohde et al., (2014)	81 (study 1) and 52 (study 2) middle school girls	Randomized pilot trials testing 4.5 hr version of DBI versus a psychoeducational control condition for middle school girls with BIC	DBI participants showed greater pre-post reductions in pressure to be thin TII, BD, dieting, negative affect, and ED symptoms than educational brochure controls in the two trials, but effects did not persist through 3-mo follow-up in either trial. Study 1 post $d = .17-.89$; study 2 post $d = .24-.87$; Study 2 3-mo $d = -.23-.33$
Serdar (2006)	343 college women	RCT comparing 3-hr version of DBI to 3 hr. internet version of the DBI and assessment-only control group	Participants in both DBI variants showed significant reductions in BD than controls, but no differences for TII, dieting, negative affect, and ED symptoms; effects did not differ between the two DBI conditions. Group DBI post $d = .09-.28$; Internet DBI post $d = .00-.17$
Stice, Butryn et al. (2013); Stice, Butryn et al. (2015)	408 college women with BIC	RCT comparing enhanced 4-hr version of DBI to educational brochure control condition	DBI participants showed significantly greater decreases in TII, BD, dieting, negative affect, and ED symptoms than controls at posttest, and at 1-, 2-, and 3-year FU. Post $d = .42-.78$; 12-mo $d = .15-.55$
Stice, Chase, et al. (2001)	87 college females w/ BIC	RCT comparing 3 hr. DBI to HW	DBI participants showed greater reductions in TII and BS, but not dieting, negative affect, or ED symptoms than controls. Post $d = .03-.62$; 1-mo $d = -.05-.91$
Stice, Mazotti, et al. (2000)	30 college females w/ BIC	Non-randomized pilot study comparing 3 hr. DBI to delayed-intervention control condition	DBI showed greater pre-post reductions in TII, BS, negative affect, and ES symptoms, but not dieting, than waitlist controls; most effects persisted at 1-month follow-up. Post $d = .22-.50$; 1-mo $d = .14-.67$
Stice, Rohde, Durant, & Shaw (2012); Stice, Durant, Rohde, Shaw (2014)	107 college females w/ BIC	RCT comparing a prototype internet (I) version of the DBI with a group (G) intervention, educational video condition, or educational brochure condition	Participants in both the Internet and group DBI interventions showed greater pre-post reductions in TII, BD, dieting, negative affect, and ED symptoms than educational video and educational brochure controls. G DBI vs brochure control post $d = .61-.97$; 12-mo $d = .51-.75$; 24-mo $d = .19-.71$; I DBI vs brochure post $d = .41-.80$; 12-mo $d = .12-.79$; 24-mo $d = -.37-.61$; G DBI vs video control post $d = .35-.54$; 12-mo $d = .25-.62$; 24-mo $d = .27-.58$; I DBI vs video post $d = .14-.53$; 12-mo $d = -.12-.47$; 24-mo $d = -.20-.60$
Stice, Rohde, et al. (2009); Stice, Rohde, et al. (2011)	306 high school females w/ BIC	Randomized effectiveness trial comparing 4 hr. DBI to psychoeducational brochure control condition	DBI participants showed greater pre-post decreases in TII, BD, dieting, and ED symptoms than controls; certain effects remained significant at 1-, 2-, and 3-yr follow-up. Post $d = .21-.54$; 6-mo $d = .02-.33$; 12-mo $d = .04-.32$; 24-mo $d = -.15-.33$; 36-mo $d = .00-.32$
Stice, Rohde et al. 2016	680 females	Randomized effectiveness trial testing an Internet (I) version of a dissonance intervention to a peer-led (PL) and clinician-led (CL) versions, compared to an educational video control condition	All DBI conditions showed greater reductions in TII, BS, dieting, negative affect, and ED symptoms than educational video control at post with most effects holding for CL and PL at FU. At post, CL and PL showed greater reductions on some variables compared to internet DBI; this held for TII and BD (PL only) at FU. Reference group is first group for effect sizes (i.e., negative effects means first group yielded greater effects). CL vs control post $d = -.38-.70$; 6-mo $d = -.20-.43$; PL vs control post $d = -.35-.71$; 6-mo $d = -.17-.52$; I vs control post $d = -.27-.45$; 6-mo $d = -.07-.26$; CL vs I post $d = -.16-.30$; 6-mo $d =$

Study	Sample	Intervention	Findings & Effect Sizes (Cohen's <i>d</i>)
			-.13- -.22; PL vs I post $d = -.02- -.31$; 6-mo $d = -.10- -.28$; CL vs PL post $d = -.02- -.15$; 6-mo $d = -.07- .11$
Stice, Shaw, et al., (2006); Stice, Marti, et al. (2008)	481 female adolescents w/ BIC	RCT comparing 3 hr. DBI to HW, expressive writing (EW), or assessment (AO) control group	DBI showed greater decreases in TII, BD, negative affect, ED symptoms, and psychosocial impairment and lower risk for ED onset through 3-yr follow up than assessment only control, with some effects also emerging compared to HW and expressive writing participants. DBI vs AO post $d = .28-.84$; 6-mo $d = .23-.53$; 12-mo $d = .16-.34$; 24-mo $d = .09-.38$; 36-mo $d = .11-.39$; DBI vs EW post $d = .40-.73$; 6-mo $d = .11-.49$; 12-mo $d = .09-.24$; 24-mo $d = -.04-.36$; 36-mo $d = -.02-.29$; DBI vs HW post $d = .16-.38$; 6-mo $d = .00-.27$; 12-mo $d = -.13-.22$; 24-mo $d = -.11-.18$; 36-mo $d = -.21-.15$
Stice, Rohde et al. (2013)	171 in study 1 & 148 in study 2; college females w/ BIC	RCT comparing DBI's led by either peer-led or clinician-led groups to an educational brochure control condition (Study 1), and comparing an immediate peer-led group to a waitlist control condition (Study 2)	Peer and clinician-led DBIs showed significantly greater pre-post reductions in TII, BD, dieting, negative affect, and ED symptoms than control (Study 1); peer-led DBI showed greater pre-post reductions in all outcomes than waitlist (Study 2). Study 1: CL vs control post $d = .55- 1.07$; 12-mo $d = .40-.53$; PL vs control post $d = .25-.87$; 12-mo $d = -.21-.41$. Study 2: PL vs control post $d = .38-1.12$
Stice, Trost, & Chase (2003)	148 college females w/ BIC	RCT comparing 3 hr. DBI to HW and waitlist control groups	DBI showed greater reductions in TII, negative affect and ED symptoms, but not BD and dieting, than controls; DBI did not show greater reductions in outcomes than HW. DBI vs control post $d = -.23-.62$; 1-mo $d = -.06-.23$; 3-mo $d = .10-.78$; DBI vs HW post $d = -.39-.12$; 1-mo $d = -.06-.23$; 3-mo $d = -.09-.32$; 6-mo $d = -.08-.16$
van Diest & Perez (2013)	177 sorority members	Uncontrolled trial examining the effects of a 4-hr. peer-led DBI.	DBI participants showed reductions in TII, self-objectification, BD, and ED symptoms, with most effects persisting through 1-yr. follow-up. Post $d = .21-.67$; 5-mo $d = .08-.19$; 12-mo $d = .09-.91$
Wade, George, & Adkinson (2009)	100 college females	Randomized trial comparing DBI to control, ruminative attention control, acceptance and distraction conditions	DBI, acceptance, and distraction conditions were superior to both control groups in increasing weight satisfaction and to the basic control group for appearance satisfaction. DBI versus control post $d = .35-.40$; DBI vs rumination control post $d = .37-.43$; DBI vs acceptance post $d = -.24-.01$; DBI vs distraction post $d = .70-.23$.

* Note: Only published or conference presented studies with at least 15 participants per cell included. Effect sizes are between group unless there was no control group, in which case effect sizes are within group and corrected (see below). Per Morris and DeShon (2002) recommendations for computing effect sizes for meta-analyses that combine repeated measure studies, independent-groups, and independent-group repeated measures designs, we computed effect sizes in a raw-score metric (i.e., standard deviations [*SD*] based on raw scores as opposed to change scores). Effect sizes were adjusted with a bias-correction function (Hedges, 1982) using the appropriate degrees of freedom (Morris & DeShon, 2002). Sampling variances for effect sizes based on repeated measures requires a pretest-posttest correlation that is typically unreported. Thus, we estimated pretest-posttest correlations based on raw data from two studies conducted Stice et al. (Stice, Rohde, Butryn et al., 2015; Stice, Rohde, Shaw et al., 2016) that was used for all repeated measures studies. Studies with a single-group repeated measures design (i.e., all participants received the intervention and no control group) potentially overestimate effect size because the effect does not contain an adjustment for longitudinal change that would have occurred in the control group. We followed Becker (1988) recommendations in which single-group repeated measures effect sizes are adjusted by first conducting a meta-analysis using only control groups, then subtracting the average control change from single-group repeated measures effect sizes. Mo = Month; FU = Follow-up; TII = Thin-ideal internalization; BD/BS = Body (Dis) Satisfaction; ED = Eating Disorder