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On a separate piece of paper, provide a summary of the previous group’s findings. Use your own words to summarize the articles that were presented, indicating what you understand about the purpose of each study, the methods used, and the implications of the results. Indicate what remains unclear to you. Note that it is perfectly acceptable to not understand every aspect of every article. Recognizing what you don’t understand is quite useful. 500-1000 words.

**EVALUATION.** Briefly answer each of the following:

1a. Do the students make use of appropriate bibliographic sources?
   - Not At All
   - Inconsistencies/Oversights
   - Superior Job
   - 1
   - 2
   - 3
   - 4
   - 5

1b. Do the students employ proper documentation citation practice?
   - Not At All
   - Inconsistencies/Oversights
   - Superior Job
   - 1
   - 2
   - 3
   - 4
   - 5

2. Are there a variety of sources presented, or is a single set of related authors given?
   - Not At All
   - Inconsistencies/Oversights
   - Superior Job
   - 1
   - 2
   - 3
   - 4
   - 5

3. How do the students convey their findings to you? Do they employ clear communication?
   - Not At All
   - Inconsistencies/Oversights
   - Superior Job
   - 1
   - 2
   - 3
   - 4
   - 5

4. Is there substantial findings presented about the given topic?
   - Not At All
   - Inconsistencies/Oversights
   - Superior Job
   - 1
   - 2
   - 3
   - 4
   - 5

5. Do the submitted findings draw a conclusion as to where the study should continue?
   - Not At All
   - Inconsistencies/Oversights
   - Superior Job
   - 1
   - 2
   - 3
   - 4
   - 5