Trinity University Digital Commons @ Trinity

Information Literacy Resources for Curriculum Development

Information Literacy Committee

Fall 2011

Peer Evaluation Worksheet

Nicholas J. Kuklinski Trinity University

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.trinity.edu/infolit grantdocs

Repository Citation

 $Kuklinski, Nicholas\ J., "Peer\ Evaluation\ Worksheet"\ (2011).\ Information\ Literacy\ Resources\ for\ Curriculum\ Development.\ 24. \\ https://digitalcommons.trinity.edu/infolit_grantdocs/24$

This Instructional Material is brought to you for free and open access by the Information Literacy Committee at Digital Commons @ Trinity. It has been accepted for inclusion in Information Literacy Resources for Curriculum Development by an authorized administrator of Digital Commons @ Trinity. For more information, please contact jcostanz@trinity.edu.

CHEM 3432. Fa	ll 2011. Pe	er Evaluation.
---------------	-------------	----------------

Name:	Date:		
_	·		

On a separate piece of paper, provide a summary of the previous group's findings. Use your own words to summarize the articles that were presented, indicating what you understand about the purpose of each study, the methods used, and the implications of the results. Indicate what remains unclear to you. Note that it is perfectly acceptable to not understand every aspect of every article. Recognizing what you don't understand is quite useful. 500-1000 words.

EVALUATION. Briefly answer each of the following:

1

1a. Do the students Not At All 1		opriate bibliographic encies/Oversight 3		Superior Job 5
1b. Do the students Not At All 1		ocumentation citation encies/Oversight 3		Superior Job 5
2. Are there a varietNot At All1	•	ented, or is a single s encies/Oversight 3		outhors given? Superior Job 5
3. How do the stude Not At All	•	findings to you? Do encies/Oversight		clear communication? Superior Job 5
4. Is there substantian Not At All		nted about the given t encies/Oversight 3	_	Superior Job 5
5. Do the submitted Not At All	_	conclusion as to whe encies/Oversight	_	hould continue? Superior Job

4