Trinity University [Digital Commons @ Trinity](https://digitalcommons.trinity.edu/)

[Physics and Astronomy Faculty Research](https://digitalcommons.trinity.edu/physics_faculty) [Physics and Astronomy Department](https://digitalcommons.trinity.edu/physics)

3-2018

4,5-Dimethoxy-2-nitrobenzohydrazides and 1-(1-Benzylpiperidin-4-yl)ethan-1-ones as Potential Antioxidant/ Cholinergic Endowed Small Molecule Leads

Rukhsar Banu

Jason Gerding

Cynthia Franklin

Donald Sikazwe

William Horton

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: [https://digitalcommons.trinity.edu/physics_faculty](https://digitalcommons.trinity.edu/physics_faculty?utm_source=digitalcommons.trinity.edu%2Fphysics_faculty%2F62&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

Part of the [Physics Commons](http://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/193?utm_source=digitalcommons.trinity.edu%2Fphysics_faculty%2F62&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

Repository Citation

Banu, R., Gerding, J., Franklin, C., Sikazwe, D., Horton, W., Török, M., Davis, J., ... & Mochona, B. (2018). 4,5-dimethoxy-2-nitrobenzohydrazides and 1-(1-benzylpiperidin-4-yl)ethan-1-ones as potential antioxidant/ cholinergic endowed small molecule leads. Scientia Pharmaceutica, 86(1), 1-9. doi: 10.3390/ scipharm86010002

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Physics and Astronomy Department at Digital Commons @ Trinity. It has been accepted for inclusion in Physics and Astronomy Faculty Research by an authorized administrator of Digital Commons @ Trinity. For more information, please contact jcostanz@trinity.edu.

Authors

Rukhsar Banu, Jason Gerding, Cynthia Franklin, Donald Sikazwe, William Horton, Marianna Török, Julian Davis, Kwan H. Cheng, Muziya Nakazwe, and Bereket Mochona

4,5-Dimethoxy-2-nitrobenzohydrazides and 1-(1-Benzylpiperidin-4-yl)ethan-1-ones as Potential Antioxidant/Cholinergic Endowed Small Molecule Leads

Rukhsar Banu ¹ , Jason Gerding ¹ , Cynthia Franklin ¹ , Donald Sikazwe 1,*, William Horton ² , Marianna Török ² [ID](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2343-1984) , Julian Davis ³ , Kwan H. Cheng ⁴ , Muziya Nakazwe ⁵ and Bereket Mochona ⁶

- ¹ Pharmaceutical Sciences Department, Feik School of Pharmacy, University of the Incarnate Word, San Antonio, TX 78209, USA; banu@student.uiwtx.edu (R.B.); gerding@uiwtx.edu (J.G.); cefrankl@uiwtx.edu (C.F.)
- ² Department of Chemistry, University of Massachusetts Boston, Boston, MA 02125, USA; william.horton001@umb.edu (W.H.); marianna.torok@umb.edu (M.T.)
- ³ Chemistry Department, School of Mathematics, Science and Engineering, University of the Incarnate Word, San Antonio, TX 78209, USA; judavis@uiwtx.edu
- ⁴ Department of Physics and Astronomy and Neuroscience Program, Trinity University, San Antonio, TX 78212, USA; kcheng1@trinity.edu
- ⁵ Anatomy and Physiology Department, School of Osteopathic Medicine, University of the Incarnate Word, San Antonio, TX 78209, USA; nakazwe@uiwtx.edu
- ⁶ Department of Chemistry, Florida A&M University, Tallahassee, FL 32307, USA; mochonab@yahoo.com
- ***** Correspondence: sikazwe@uiwtx.edu

Received: 12 October 2017; Accepted: 13 December 2017; Published: 21 December 2017

Abstract: The objective of this research is to generate leads for developing our ultimate *poly-active* molecules with utility in central nervous system (CNS) diseases. Indeed, poly-active molecules capable of mitigating brain free radical damage while enhancing acetylcholine signaling (via cholinesterase inhibition) are still being sought for combating Alzheimer's disease (AD). We differentiate "poly-active" agents from "multi-target" ones by defining them as single molecular entities designed to target only specific contributory synergistic pharmacologies in a disease. For instance, in AD, free radicals either initiate or act in synergy with other pharmacologies, leading to disease worsening. For this preliminary report, a total of 14 (i.e., 4,5-dimethoxy-2-nitrobenzohydrazide plus 1-(1-benzylpiperidin-4-yl)ethan-1-one) derivatives were synthesized and screened, in silico and in vitro, for their ability to scavenge free radicals and inhibit acetylcholinesterase (AChE)/butyrylcholinesterase (BuChE) enzymes. Overall, six derivatives (**4a**, **4d**, **4e**, **4f**, **4g**, **9b**) exhibited potent (>30%) antioxidant properties in the oxygen radical absorbance capacity (ORAC) assay. The antioxidant values were either comparable or more potent than the comparator molecules (ascorbic acid, resveratrol, and trolox). Only three compounds (**4d**, **9a**, **9c**) yielded modest AChE/BuChE inhibitions (>10%). Please note that a SciFinder substance data base search confirmed that most of the compounds reported herein are new, except **9a** and **9c** which are also commercially available.

Keywords: poly-active; antioxidant; hydrazones; isonipecotates; cholinesterase; in silico

1. Introduction

Disease-modifying, anti-Alzheimer's disease (AD) molecules continue to elude both big and small pharma discovery approaches. Part of the problem is that AD pathology is underpinned by

ÖSTERREICHISCHE
PHARMAZEUTISCHE
GESELLSCHAFT

errant synergistic or intertwined pharmacologies. Our inclination is that small molecules capable of modulating disease synergistic or intertwined contributory pharmacologies could more effectively *Sci. Pharm.* **2017**, *86*, 2 2 of 9 modify multi-factorial diseases like AD and slow its progression.

Our initial search for potentially poly-active small (Formular Weight (FW) < 500) molecule leads **1. Introduction** possessing free radical, acetylcholinesterase (AChE) suppressive activities has thus far produced compounds with potent radical scavenging capabilities and modest acetylcholine potentiating properties. The pharmacophores utilized (i.e., 4,5-dimethoxy-2-nitrobenzohydrazide or hydrazones and 1-(1-benzylpiperidin-4-yl)ethan-1-one or isonipecotates) were pursued due to their reported multi-pronged attributes, that is, their abilities to donate or accept hydrogen and exert their own biological effects [\[1–](#page-9-0)[5\]](#page-9-1). The fact that they can be readily derivatized with diverse hetero-/non-hetero aromatic groups to afford new chemical entities possessing advantageous pharmacological profiles is a bonus $[6-9]$ $[6-9]$. errant synergies intertwined pharmacologies. Our includes that such seculies capabilities capable of the small models are small models and small models are small models. $\frac{1}{2}$ properties. The pharmacophores utilized (i.e., $\frac{1}{2}$, α_1 - α_2 .

The above molecules were designed to structurally contain at least two hetero-aryl functionalities spaced by a 2 to 3 carbonylated atom linker and electrostatically mimic donepezil (Do, an AChE inhibitor with clinical utility in all phases of AD) [10–12]. They were synthesized in a parallel approach, and tested for their radical and AChE inhibitory extents. Antioxidant or radical scavenging capacities were desired in our molecules because excess reactive oxygen species (ROS), directly (e.g., via protein and lipid oxidations) and indirectly (e.g., via apoptotic or ß-amyloid mechanisms), ravage neurons in AD [\[13](#page-9-6)[–15\]](#page-9-7). Enzyme inhibition tests were conducted, both in silico (predictively for AChE only) and in vitro (to confirm for AChE/butyrylcholinesterase (BuChE)). Interestingly, cholinesterases continue to be drug design targets in this arena, even though their sole role in AD remains somewhat unclear. For instance, while their inhibition improves the acetylcholine (ACh) signaling for memory/cognition, the two enzymes also contribute to plaque assembly in AD [\[12](#page-9-5)[,16\]](#page-9-8). e above molecules were designed to structurally contain at least two netero-aryl functio functional interactional spaced at 2 to 3 careful atom lines and electrostatically (c.g., the chargines also contribute to phaque assembly in ΔD $\left[12,10\right]$.

2. Materials and Methods remains somewhat unclear. For instance, while their inhibition improves the acetylcholine (ACh) signalities for memory contribution, the two enzymes also contribute to plants to plants to plants to plants to plants the two ends of plants of plants

2.1. Synthesis

Hydrazone synthesis occurred in two steps (Scheme [1\)](#page-3-0) [\[6](#page-9-2)[–8\]](#page-9-9). Step one involved refluxing methyl *2.1. Synthesis* 4,5-dimethoxy-2-nitrobenzoate **1** and excess hydrazine monohydrate in absolute ethanol, and afforded intermediate 2 in yields of 60–70%. In step two, intermediate 2 was condensed with a variety of aromatic aldehydes 3a–k to form arylated hydrazones 4a–k as solids (Figure [1,](#page-4-0) 70%-quantitative yields). Exploratory arylated isonipecotates 9a–c were prepared beginning with the trimethylamine-facilitated N-benzylation of isonipecotate ethyl ester 5 in toluene (Scheme 2) [\[9\]](#page-9-3). Reaction yields of the benzylated intermediate 6 were >90%. Ester hydrolysis, under basic reflux, led to the carboxylic acid intermediate, which was promptly converted to the acyl chloride 7 via the dropwise addition of SOCl₂. Finally, acyl chloride treatment with appropriate amines 8a–c, in step three (Scheme [2\)](#page-4-1), afforded target products **9a–c** (60–75% overall yields). afforded intermediate **2** in yields of 60–70%. In step two, intermediate **2** was condensed with a variety Social distribution with appropriate amines **8a**–c, in step times (Scheme 2), amorated target pr

Scheme 1. Reagents and conditions: (i) NH₂NH₂, EtOH, 60 °C, 60-70%; (ii) Hydrazide, dihydrobenzofuran-6-carbaldehyde, EtOH, HCl, room temperature, 70%—quantitative. 2,3-dihydrobenzofuran-6-carbaldehyde, EtOH, HCl, room temperature, 70%—quantitative.

Figure 1. Structures of the synthesized hydrazones (4a–k) and isonipecotates (9a–c). Note that FW means Formula Weight and MP denotes Melting Point. means Formula Weight and MP denotes Melting Point. *Sci. Pharm.* **2017**, *86*, 2 3 of 9

2.2. Oxygen Radical Absorbance Capacity (ORAC) Assay We needed to screen our compounds for their direct radical scavenging capabilities in both lipid and aqueous environments. To that end, we utilized the oxygen radical absorbance capacity (**ORAC**)

Scheme 2. Reactions and conditions: (i) Benzyl chloride, Et₃N, toluene, room temperature, > 90%; (iia) NaOH, H₂O, CH₃OH, reflux, not isolated; (iib) CH₂Cl₂, SOCl₂, reflux, not isolated; (iiia) Et₃N, NH₂-Ar, dioxane or CH₂Cl₂, reflux, 60–75%; (iiib) HCl-ether, >80%.

$s_{\rm 1000}$ $B_{\rm 200}$ and $A_{\rm 200}$ for $a_{\rm 200}$ for $a_{\rm 37}$ for $a_{\rm 150}$ for $a_{\rm 200}$ fresh 153 mM AAPH solution in the said buffer was prepared, kept on ice, and used for 4 h at the *2.2. Oxygen Radical Absorbance Capacity (ORAC) Assay*

We needed to screen our compounds for their direct radical scavenging capabilities in both lipid and aqueous environments. To that end, we utilized the oxygen radical absorbance capacity (**ORAC**) ethanol. Subsequently, 25 μ of each discrete stock solution or 25 μ of ethanol with μ . assay, which measures peroxyl radical scavenging via hydrogen atom transfer (HAT) or electron
assay, which measures peroxyl radical scavenging via hydrogen atom transfer (HAT) or electron transfer (ET) to the existing or pre-formed radical. Assays details are well reported [\[17,](#page-9-10)[18\]](#page-9-11). Briefly, we utilized known conditions for our assay and 2,2'-azobis(2-amidino-propane) dihydrochloride (AAPH) as the oxidant or peroxyl radical ROS generator [19–21]. In our hands, 4.19 µM fluorescein stock solution was prepared in 75 mM phosphate buffer (pH = 7.4, kept at 4 $°C$), diluted with the same buffer to a concentration of 0.0816 µM, and incubated at 37 °C for 15 min before assaying. A fresh 153 mM AAPH solution in the said buffer was prepared, kept on ice, and used for 4 h at the most. Then, 10 mM solutions of trolox in ethanol, 10 mM solutions of ascorbic acid in water, and 50 mM stock solutions of test compounds in DMSO were prepared, and each was diluted to 80 µM with ethanol. Subsequently, 25 µL of each diluted stock solution or 25 µL of ethanol with 0.16% DMSO in case of control (no test or reference compound) was plated with 150 μ L of fluorescein solution, and 25 μ L of the above AAPH solution was added to all wells except those for maximum fluorescence control. Thus, the test compounds (**4a**–**k**, **9a**–**c**, and donepezil or **Do**) and the reference compounds (ascorbic acid, resveratrol, and trolox) were all tested at final concentrations of 10 μ M in the assay.

with SoftMax Pro 6.5.1 (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA, USA) software at an emission wavelength of 520 nm with a preset excitation wavelength of 485 nm. Measurements were taken kinetically every 2 min for 60 min at a constant temperature of 37 °C. Plates were shaken for 5 s before each The maximal fluorescence intensity was obtained by a SpectraMax i3x microplate reader equipped reading. Measurements were run on multiple plates in triplicate sets. Plates were sealed with a transparent cover to prevent evaporation. Background of the AAPH solution with appropriate amount of DMSO, ethanol, and buffer, but no fluorescein was taken in every plate and used as a blank for all the wells tested. Percent radical scavenging activity was calculated using the expression: $[(AUC_t - AUC_c)/AUC_{f_max}] \times 100\%$, where AUC_t is the net area under the fluorescence curve obtained in the presence of the test/reference compounds, AUC_c is the net area under the fluorescence curve obtained for the control sample that contained no antioxidant (no test/reference compound), and AUC_{f_max} is the net area under the fluorescence curve obtained for the maximum fluorescence control sample that contained no radical and thus had the maximum amount of fluorescein dye. The net area (AUC) under the fluorescence curves was determined using the following equation: **[(***AUC^t* **−** *AUCc***)/***AUCf_max***] ×100%**, where *AUC^t* is the net area under the fluorescence curve obtained

$$
Net AUC = 0.5 + \sum_{0-29} \frac{f_i}{f_0} + \left(0.5 * \frac{f_{30}}{f_0}\right)
$$

where f_0 is the measured fluorescence intensity at time 0 and f_i is the measured fluorescence intensity at time *i*. The ORAC assay percent radical scavenging activities are reported in Figure [2.](#page-5-0) at time *i*. The ORAC assay percent radical scavenging activities are reported in Figure 2.

Figure 2. The percentage (%) of radical scavenging of three reference antioxidants (ascorbic acid, resveratrol, and trolox), hydrazones (4a–k), isonipecotates (9a–c), and donepezil (Do) determined in the oxygen radical absorbance capacity (ORAC) assay after 60 min. Data are expressed as means of the % radical scavenging \pm standard deviation (SD), where the number of independent repeats is n = 3 [\[22\]](#page-10-1).

2.3. In Silico AChE Inhibition 2.3. In Silico AChE Inhibition

Since the said compounds were designed to mimic **Do**'s structural/electronic and therefore Since the said compounds were designed to mimic **Do**'s structural/electronic and therefore pharmacologic behaviors, molecular dockings of all fourteen ligands were conducted against AChE pharmacologic behaviors, molecular dockings of all fourteen ligands were conducted against AChE only. The crystal structure of AChE was derived from the Protein Data Bank database (PDB ID: 1EVE) [\[11\]](#page-9-13). Before docking, water molecules and the embedded Do ligand were removed from the AChE protein structure. Non-polar hydrogens were added to the protein using AutoDock Tools (Version 1.5.6) software and the correct protonation state of each ligand was determined at pH 7.4 $M_{\rm F}$ in Sketch (Version 17.2.27 ChemAxon, Cambridge, MA, USA) [23]. To create the optimized using MarvinSketch (Version 17.2.27 ChemAxon, Cambridge, MA, USA) [\[23\]](#page-10-2). To create the optimized

22 3D structures, we used obconformer—a molecular mechanics modeling program based on the force field MMFF94 from Open Babel [\[24\]](#page-10-3). Docking of the ligands to AChE was performed using AutoDock Vina (Version 1.1.2) [\[25\]](#page-10-4). Flexible ligand conformations were used in all dockings. For search space, a rectangular box of size 28.5 \times 18.75 \times 18.75 Å³ with its geometrical center set to that of the originally embedded **Do** was used.

Nine different conformations (1 to 9) with the binding energies sorted from the lowest to highest binding energy were obtained from the molecular docking, and the energies of the representative ligands, **Do**, **9a**, and **9b**, are shown in Figure [3.](#page-6-0) Also, the average and the standard error of each ligand are demonstrated for the representative ligands. The average and the minimum binding energies of all fourteen compounds were evaluated, and their values are represented as the binding energy differences, i.e., the binding energy of each ligand minus the binding energy of **Do**; for both, average and minimum energies are also indicated in Figure [3.](#page-6-0) It is clear that 9a exhibited the lowest difference in binding energy versus other compounds. Figure [4A](#page-6-1)–C overlaid structures show the predicted conformations of representative ligands Do, 9a, and 9b, respectively. Essentially, nine structures of each ligand are superimposed and the ones with the lowest binding energies are highlighted in black, pink, and green, accordingly. Figures [4D](#page-6-1) and [4E](#page-6-1), respectively illustrate the lowest energy structures of 9a and **9b** versus **Do** in $\mathbf{A} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{h} \mathbf{E}$ active site/gorge. Notably, the lowest energy structure of our docked $\mathbf{D} \mathbf{o}$ closely matched that of the reported crystal structure of embedded $\textsf{Do}\,[11].$ $\textsf{Do}\,[11].$ $\textsf{Do}\,[11].$ Specifically, we observed close proximities of the following protein residues with various groups of **Do**: (1) Trp279 to the indanone $\frac{1}{\pi}$ ing of **Do** via π-π interactions at the proposed entrance to the gorge of AChE; (2) PhE330 and Tyr121 to the nitrogen of the piperidine ring of **Do** via cation-π and hydrogen bonding, respectively, in the middle of the gorge; and (3) Trp84 to the benzyl ring via π - π stacking at the bottom of the gorge, as proposed previously [\[11\]](#page-9-13). all cheffies are also indicated in Figure 9. It is clear that sa exhibited are rowest a ecolumply. I igales 4D and 4D, tespectively mash are towest cheigy structures in $\frac{1}{2}$ θ *D* θ means of the proposed entrance to the gorge of θ creations and θ

Figure 3. Summary of binding energies obtained for all compounds reported herein including **Figure 3.** Summary of binding energies obtained for all compounds reported herein including comparative conformations of **Do** versus compounds **9a** and **9b**.

Figure 4. Computational binding of compounds at acetylcholinesterase (AChE). (**A–C**) represent the nine predicted conformations while (**D**,**E**) refer to the lowest energy binding orientations obtained nine predicted conformations while (**D**,**E**) refer to the lowest energy binding orientations obtained by docking. by docking.

2.4. In Vitro AChE/BuChE Inhibition

To determine compound selectivity, both AChE and BuChE inhibitory studies were undertaken. The two assays were conducted using modified Ellmann's procedures [\[26–](#page-10-5)[29\]](#page-10-6). Electric eel AChE (catalog number: C2888-500UN) and equine serum BuChE (catalog number: C4290-1KU) were purchased from (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA). Enzyme aliquots of 6 U/mL were prepared in 20 mM HEPES buffer (Ph = 8.0) containing 0.1% TritonX-100, stored at −20 ◦C until use, when they were thawed and diluted 20X with 100 mM phosphate buffer ($pH = 8.0$). Subsequently, 10 mM Stock solutions of inhibitors (test compounds, galantamine (**Ga**) and **Do**) were prepared in DMSO and then diluted to 0.15 mM through a co-solvent method by adding 145.5 μ L of 0.1 M phosphate buffer (pH = 8.0) and 150 μ L of acetonitrile to 4.5 μ L of 10 mM inhibitor stock solution. 5,5'-dithio-bis-(2-nitrobenzoic acid) or DTNB, also called Ellman's reagent, stock solution of 0.4341 mM in 100 mM phosphate (pH = 8.0 buffer) was also prepared. Finally, depending on the assay, acetylthiocholine or butyrylthiocholine stock solutions (4.124 mM in 100 mM phosphate buffer, pH = 8.0) were made. These stock solutions were used in the ensuing enzymatic reactions in 96 wells. Ultimately, each well comprised a final assay volume of $150 \mu L$ and the following ingredients: 0.34 mM DTNB, 0.02 unit/mL AChE or BuChE, 0.55 mM acetylthiocholine or butyrylthiocholine, and 2 µM inhibitor (except in the case of the control, which had no inhibitor) for AChE or 10 μ M inhibitor (except in the case of the control, which contained no inhibitor) for BuChE. Assays were also carried out

Measurements were run on multiple plates, in triplicates. The substrates acetylthiocholine and butyrylthiocholine were cleaved by their respective enzymes, generating thiol groups detected via their reaction with the colorimetric Ellman's reagent, DTNB. Initial rate measurements were performed at 37 ◦C using a VersaMax microplate reader with SoftMax Pro 5 software (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA, USA) and collecting absorbances at 412 nm every 15 s for 15 min. Percent inhibitions of the enzyme activity due to the presence of test compounds with respect to the control were calculated by the following expression: $[(v_0 - v_i)/v_0] \times 100$, where v_i and v_0 are the rates calculated in the presence and absence of an inhibitor. AChE and BuChE act independently to hydrolyze/deactivate acetylcholine, and their inhibition leads to enhancements in the levels and activity of ACh. Enzyme inhibition data obtained from test and reference molecules are displayed in Figure [5.](#page-7-0) Figure 5.

with a blank solution containing all components except the enzyme and inhibitor so as to account for

Figure 5. Respective enzyme inhibitions upon exposure to test and reference compounds **Figure 5.** Respective enzyme inhibitions upon exposure to test and reference compounds (galantamine or Ga and Do). All compounds were tested at 2 μ M (AChE) using 0.02 U/mL enzyme quantities. Data are expressed as means of the % AChE inhibition \pm SD, n = 3. BuChE: butyrylcholinesterase. butyrylcholinesterase.

3. Results and Discussion 3. Results and Discussion

non-enzymatic reactions.

Overall, 11 4,5-dimethoxy-2-nitrobenzohydrazide or hydrazone plus three 1-(1-benzylpiperidin-Overall, 11 4,5-dimethoxy-2-nitrobenzohydrazide or hydrazone plus three 1-(1-benzylpiperidin-4-yl)ethan-1-one or isonipecotate derivatized small molecules (Figure 1, FWs <500) were synthesized 4-yl)ethan-1-one or isonipecotate derivatized small molecules (Figure [1,](#page-4-0) FWs <500) were synthesized and preliminarily evaluated at 10 μM for free radical scavenging abilities, and at 2 μM for and preliminarily evaluated at 10 µM for free radical scavenging abilities, and at 2 µM for cholinesterase cholinesterase inhibition, using established techniques. Compounds **4a**, **4d**–**g**, and **9b** potently inhibition, using established techniques. Compounds 4a, 4d-g, and 9b potently scavenged radicals (>30%) in the ORAC assay; that is, they performed at or better than ascorbic acid. In fact, **4a**, **4d**, and

4g performed comparable to reference compounds trolox and resveratrol. The ORAC differentiation in antioxidant capability is significant because this assay employs radicals with practical relevance in living organisms.

In terms of cholinergic activity, most analogs poorly inhibited (<10%) AChE and BuChE. The exception was isonipecotates **9a** and **9c**, which modestly inhibited (10–20%) both enzymes. As expected, the reference compounds (**Ga** and **Do**) differentially inhibited AChE (almost 60% for **Ga**, and 98% for **Do**) and BuChE (by about 30% by **Ga**, and 60% for **Do**). Despite the low enzyme inhibitory activities, we were encouraged by a finding that a linear correlation existed when calculated binding free energy (kcal/mol) differences and experimentally derived % AChE binding inhibition differences were plotted, as illustrated in Figure [6.](#page-8-0) This correlation was meaningful because it confirmed that our predictive computational model for AChE binding was on the right path and implied that the designed compounds yielded useful leads whose cholinergic shortfall could be improved by SAR (structure activity studies). We now know that π - π stacking may not be the only essential SAR element for AChE/BuChE inhibition. Rather, a combination of π-π interactions plus H-bonding or polar groups may prove useful.

Figure 6. Free Energy vs. % AChE binding inhibition differences. **Figure 6.** Free Energy vs. % AChE binding inhibition differences.

4. Conclusions 4. Conclusions

Taken together, this preliminary report indicates that we have generated six (4a, 4d, 4e, 4f, 4g, 9b) **9b**) good leads with strong antioxidant and minimal AChE inhibition activities. SAR studies and good leads with strong antioxidant and minimal AChE inhibition activities. SAR studies and additional pharmacological evaluations will be undertaken to determine if these molecules meet our ultimate poly-active molecules design goal. Note that the essence of our approach to drug design is to develop molecules that can modulate synergistic disease pharmacologies—ROS reductions are a good starting point. Regarding any additional experimental details/data (NMR, Mass, etc.), this manuscript is simply a short communication or preliminary report on compounds whose synthesis is already well established and appropriately documented in the included references.

Author Contributions: Banu Rukhsar, Jason Gerding, Cynthia Franklin, and Muziya Nakazwe were involved in the synthesis/cytotoxicity and other in vitro characterizations of the reported compounds; Donald Sikazwe designed the compounds and wrote the manuscript; William Horton and Marianna Török conducted the on the compounds. All authors provided input on the manuscript. antioxidant and cholinesterase experiments; Julian Davis synthesized compounds **9a**–**c**; Kwan H. Cheng carried on the compounds. All authors provided input on the manuscript. out the in silico cholinesterase modeling while Bereket Mochona advised and performed additional in vitro tests

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Padmini, K.; Preethi, P.J.; Divya, M.; Rohini, P.; Lohita, M.; Swetha, K.; Kaladar, P. A review on biological importance of hydrazones. *Int. J. Pharm. Res. Rev.* **2013**, *2*, 43–58.
- 2. Rollas, S.; Kucukguzel, S.G. Biological activities of hydrazine derivatives. *Molecules* **2007**, *12*, 1910–1939. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/12081910) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17960096)
- 3. Hellenbrand, T.; Höfner, G.; Wein, T.; Wanner, K.T. Synthesis of 4-substituted nipecotic acid derivatives and their evaluation as potential GABA uptake inhibitors. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* **2016**, *24*, 2072–2096. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmc.2016.03.038) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27039250)
- 4. Bonina, F.P.; Arenare, L.; Palagiano, F.; Saija, A.; Nava, F.; Trombetta, D.; De Caprariis, P. Synthesis, stability, and pharmacological evaluation of nipecotic acid prodrugs. *J. Pharm. Sci.* **1999**, *88*, 561–567. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/js980302n) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10229650)
- 5. Török, B.; Sood, A.; Bag, S.; Tulsan, R.; Ghosh, S.; Borkin, D.; Kennedy, A.R.; Melanson, M.; Madden, R.; Zhou, W.; et al. Diaryl hydrazones as multifunctional inhibitors of amyloid self-assembly. *Biochemistry* **2013**, *52*, 1137–1148. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/bi3012059) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23346953)
- 6. Silva, A.G.; Zapata-Sudo, G.; Kummerle, A.E.; Fraga, C.A.M.; Barreiro, E.J.; Sudo, R.T. Synthesis and vasodilatory activity of new *N*-acylhydrazones derivatives, designed as LASSBio-294 analogues. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* **2005**, *13*, 3431–3437. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmc.2005.03.003) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15848755)
- 7. Lima, P.C.; Lima, L.M.; Da Silva, K.C.; Léda, P.H.; De Miranda, A.L.; Fraga, C.A.; Barreiro, E.J. Synthesis and analgesic activity of novel *N*-acylarylhydrazones and isosters, derived from natural safrole. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* **2000**, *35*, 187–203. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0223-5234(00)00120-3)
- 8. Belskaya, N.P.; Dehaen, W.; Bakuleva, V.A. Synthesis and properties of hydrazones bearing amide, thioamide and amidine functions. *ARKIVOK* **2010**, *2010*, 275–332.
- 9. Ismail, M.M.; Kamel, M.M.; Mohamed, L.W.; Faggal, S.I. Synthesis of new indole derivatives structurally related to donepezil and their biological evaluation as acetylcholinesterase inhibitors. *Molecules* **2012**, *17*, 4811–4823. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/molecules17054811) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22534665)
- 10. Mangialasche, F.; Solomon, A.; Winblad, B.; Mecocci, P.; Kivipelto, M. Alzheimer's disease: Clinical trials and drug development. *Lancet Neurol.* **2010**, *9*, 702–716. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1474-4422(10)70119-8)
- 11. Kryger, G.; Silman, I.; Sussman, J.L. Structure of acetylcholinesterase complexed with E2020 (Aricept): Implications for the design of new anti-Alzheimer drugs. *Structure* **1999**, *7*, 297–307. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0969-2126(99)80040-9)
- 12. Martorana, A.; Giacalone, V.; Bonsignore, R.; Pace, A.; Gentile, C.; Pibiri, I.; Buscemi, S.; Lauria, A.; Palumbo Piccionello, A. Heterocyclic scaffolds for the treatment of Alzheimer's disease. *Curr. Pharm. Des.* **2016**, *22*, 3971–3995. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.2174/1381612822666160518141650) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27189601)
- 13. Ceccatelli, S.; Christoffer, T.; Zhang, Q.; Ming, C. Mechanisms and modulation of neural cell damage induced by oxidative stress. *Physiol. Behav.* **2007**, *92*, 87–92. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physbeh.2007.05.048) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17628619)
- 14. Uttara, B.; Singh, A.V.; Zamboni, P.; Mahajan, R.T. Oxidative stress and neurodegenerative diseases: A review of upstream and downstream antioxidant therapeutic options. *Curr. Neuropharmacol.* **2009**, *7*, 65–74. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.2174/157015909787602823) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19721819)
- 15. Nakamura, T.; Lipton, S.A. Redox modulation by *S*-nitrosylation contributes to protein misfolding, mitochondrial dynamics, and neuronal synaptic damage in neurodegenerative diseases. *Cell Death Differ.* **2011**, *18*, 1478–1486. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/cdd.2011.65) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21597461)
- 16. Anand, P.; Singh, B. A review on cholinesterase inhibitors for Alzheimer's disease. *Arch. Pharm. Res.* **2013**, *36*, 375–399. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12272-013-0036-3) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23435942)
- 17. Huang, D.; Ou, B.; Prior, R.L. The chemistry behind antioxidant capacity assays. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2005**, *53*, 1841–1856. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jf030723c) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15769103)
- 18. López-Alarcón, C.; Denicola, A. Evaluating the antioxidant capacity of natural products: A review on chemical and cellular-based assays. *Anal. Chim. Acta* **2013**, *763*, 1–10. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aca.2012.11.051) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23340280)
- 19. Huang, D.; Ou, B.; Hampsch-Woodill, M.; Flanagan, J.A.; Prior, R.L. High-throughput assay of oxygen radical absorbance capacity (ORAC) using a multichannel liquid handling system coupled with a microplate flourescence reader in 96-well format. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2002**, *50*, 4437–4444. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jf0201529) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12137457)
- 20. Ellman, G.L.; Courtney, K.D.; Andres, B.J.; Featherstone, R.M. A new and rapid colorimetric determination of acetylcholinesterase activity. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* **1961**, *7*, 88–95. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0006-2952(61)90145-9)
- 21. Cao, G.; Alessio, H.M.; Cutler, R.G. Oxygen-radical absorbance capacity assay for antioxidants. *Free Radic. Biol. Med.* **1993**, *14*, 303–311. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0891-5849(93)90027-R)
- 22. Cumming, G.; Fidler, F.; David, L.V. Error bars in experimental biology. *J. Cell Biol.* **2007**, *177*, 7. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1083/jcb.200611141) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17420288)
- 23. Sanner, M.F. Python: A programming language for software integration and development. *J. Mol. Graph. Model.* **1999**, *17*, 57–61. [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10660911)
- 24. O'Boyle, N.M.; Banck, M.; James, C.A.; Morley, C.; Vandermeersch, T.; Hutchison, G.R. Open Babel: An open chemical toolbox. *J. Cheminform.* **2011**, *3*, 33. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1758-2946-3-33) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21982300)
- 25. Trott, O.; Olson, A.J. AutoDock Vina: Improving the speed and accuracy of docking with a new scoring function, efficient optimization, and multithreading. *J. Comput. Chem.* **2010**, *31*, 455–461. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jcc.21334) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19499576)
- 26. Di, L.; Kerns, E.H. Biological assay challenges from compound solubility: Strategies for bioassay optimization. *Drug Discov. Today* **2006**, *11*, 446–451. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.drudis.2006.03.004) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16635808)
- 27. Ashour, M.B.; Gee, S.J.; Hammock, B.D. Use of a 96-well microplate reader for measuring routine enzyme activities. *Anal. Biochem.* **1987**, *166*, 353–360. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0003-2697(87)90585-9)
- 28. Bag, S.; Tulsan, R.; Sood, A.; Cho, H.; Redjeb, H.; Zhou, W.; LeVine, H., III; Török, B.; Török, M. Sulfonamides as multifunctional agents for Alzheimer's disease. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2015**, *25*, 626–630.
- 29. Bag, S.; Ghosh, S.; Tulsan, R.; Sood, A.; Zhou, W.; Schifone, C.; Foster, M.; LeVine, H., III; Török, B.; Török, M. Design, synthesis and biological activity of multifunctional α,β-unsaturated carbonyl scaffolds for Alzheimers disease. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2013**, *23*, 2614–2618.

© 2017 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license [\(http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/\)](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.).