Trinity University [Digital Commons @ Trinity](https://digitalcommons.trinity.edu/)

[Psychology Faculty Research](https://digitalcommons.trinity.edu/psych_faculty) **Psychology Department**

2019

Amplification Dynamics of Platy-1 Retrotransposons in the Cebidae Platyrrhine Lineage

J. M. Storer

J. R. Mierl

S. A. Brantley

B. Threeton

Y. Sukharutski

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: [https://digitalcommons.trinity.edu/psych_faculty](https://digitalcommons.trinity.edu/psych_faculty?utm_source=digitalcommons.trinity.edu%2Fpsych_faculty%2F154&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

Part of the Psychology Commons Publication Details Genome Biology and Evolution

Repository Citation

Storer, J. M., Mierl, J. R., Brantley, S. A., Threeton, B., Sukharutski, Y., Rewerts, L. C., St. Romain, C. P, ... Batzer, M. A. (2019). Amplification dynamics of Platy-1 retrotransposons in the Cebidae Platyrrhine lineage. Genome Biology and Evolution, 11(4), 1105-1116. doi: 10.1093/gbe/evz062

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Psychology Department at Digital Commons @ Trinity. It has been accepted for inclusion in Psychology Faculty Research by an authorized administrator of Digital Commons @ Trinity. For more information, please contact [jcostanz@trinity.edu.](mailto:jcostanz@trinity.edu)

Authors

J. M. Storer, J. R. Mierl, S. A. Brantley, B. Threeton, Y. Sukharutski, L. C. Rewerts, C. P. St. Romain, M. M. Foreman, J. N. Baker, J. A. Walker, J. D. Orkin, A. D. Melin, Kimberley A. Phillips, M. K. Konkel, and M. A. Batzer

Amplification Dynamics of Platy-1 Retrotransposons in the Cebidae Platyrrhine Lineage

Jessica M. Storer¹, Jackson R. Mierl¹, Sarah A. Brantley¹, Breanna Threeton¹, Yahor Sukharutski¹, Lydia C. Rewerts¹, Corey P. St. Romain¹, Madeline M. Foreman¹, Jasmine N. Baker¹, Jerilyn A. Walker¹, Joseph D. Orkin², Amanda D. Melin^{2,3}, Kimberley A. Phillips^{4,5}, Miriam K. Konkel^{1,6}, and Mark A. Batzer^{1,*}

¹Department of Biological Sciences, Louisiana State University

2 Department of Anthropology and Archaeology & Department of Medical Genetics, University of Calgary, Alberta, Canada

³ Alberta Children's Hospital Research Institute, N.W. Calgary, Alberta, Canada

4 Department of Psychology, Trinity University

5 Southwest National Primate Research Center, Texas Biomedical Research Institute, San Antonio, Texas

6 Department of Genetics & Biochemistry, Clemson University

*Corresponding author: E-mail: mbatzer@lsu.edu.

Accepted: March 17, 2019

Abstract

Platy-1 elements are Platyrrhine-specific, short interspersed elements originally discovered in the Callithrix jacchus (common marmoset) genome. To date, only the marmoset genome has been analyzed for Platy-1 repeat content. Here, we report full-length Platy-1 insertions in other New World monkey (NWM) genomes (Saimiri boliviensis, squirrel monkey; Cebus imitator, capuchin monkey; and Aotus nancymaae, owl monkey) and analyze the amplification dynamics of lineage-specific Platy-1 insertions. A relatively small number of full-length and lineage-specific Platy-1 elements were found in the squirrel, capuchin, and owl monkey genomes compared with the marmoset genome. In addition, only a few older Platy-1 subfamilies were recovered in this study, with no Platy-1 subfamilies younger than Platy-1-6. By contrast, 62 Platy-1 subfamilies were discovered in the marmoset genome. All of the lineagespecific insertions found in the squirrel and capuchin monkeys were fixed present. However, \sim 15% of the lineage-specific Platy-1 loci in Aotus were polymorphic for insertion presence/absence. In addition, two new Platy-1 subfamilies were identified in the owl monkey genome with low nucleotide divergences compared with their respective consensus sequences, suggesting minimal ongoing retrotransposition in the Aotus genus and no current activity in the Saimiri, Cebus, and Sapajus genera. These comparative analyses highlight the finding that the high number of Platy-1 elements discovered in the marmoset genome is an exception among NWM analyzed thus far, rather than the rule. Future studies are needed to expand upon our knowledge of Platy-1 amplification in other NWM genomes.

Key words: insertion, polymorphism, evolution, subfamilies.

Introduction

Transposable elements (TEs) are discrete pieces of DNA that are able to move from one genomic location to another. These elements can be broadly categorized based on their movement mechanism, either "cut-and-paste" or "copyand-paste." The former category includes DNA transposable elements that mobilize via a DNA intermediate. [\(Hellen and](#page-13-0) [Brookfield 2013](#page-13-0)). The latter category includes retrotransposable elements that move via an RNA intermediate [\(Batzer and](#page-12-0) [Deininger 2002](#page-12-0); [Konkel et al. 2010](#page-13-0)). In primates, retrotransposable nonautonomous short interspersed elements (SINEs) such as Alu elements [\(Houck et al. 1979\)](#page-13-0) and autonomous long interspersed elements (LINEs) called L1s make up roughly 10% and 17% of the genome by mass, respectively [\(Lander](#page-13-0) [et al. 2001;](#page-13-0) [Batzer and Deininger 2002;](#page-12-0) [Richardson et al.](#page-13-0) [2015\)](#page-13-0). The high copy number Δl u elements are \sim 300 base pairs (bp) long with a dimeric structure, separated by a middle A-rich region. These elements are derived from the 7SL RNA, a component of the signal recognition particle [\(Batzer and](#page-12-0) [Deininger 2002](#page-12-0); [Konkel et al. 2010](#page-13-0)). These elements are mobilized via a retrotransposition mechanism called targetprimed reverse transcription (TPRT) [\(Luan et al. 1993](#page-13-0);

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License ([http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/\)](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

[©] The Author(s) 2019. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the Society for Molecular Biology and Evolution.

Storer et al. $\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Storer et al.} \end{array}$

[Morrish et al. 2002](#page-13-0)). However, Alu does not code for the proteins required for TPRT, and must rely on the protein products of L1s for movement [\(Dewannieux et al. 2003](#page-13-0)). Hallmarks of TPRT include a $5'$ and $3'$ flanking target site duplication (TSD), endonuclease cleavage site and a $3'$ Arich tail, allowing for additional elements that mobilize via this mechanism to be identified ([Morrish et al. 2002](#page-13-0)). The manner of Alu mobilization generates random and nonrandom mutations. The nonrandom mutations are termed diagnostic mutations and serve to divide Alu repeats into subfamilies ([Jurka and Smith 1988;](#page-13-0) [Deininger et al. 1992](#page-13-0)). The independent amplification of Alu repeats that occurs in separate lineages may lead to the propagation of new mobile element subfamilies.

New World monkeys (NWM) are a diverse group of primates belonging to the parvorder Platyrrhini. These small to midsized primates are located in Central and South America and belong to one of three families: Cebidae (small, arboreal monkeys), Atelidae (large monkeys with prehensile tails), or Pitheciidae (herbivorous monkeys) ([Schneider and Sampaio](#page-13-0) [2015\)](#page-13-0). Since the first study of NWM cladistics, the phylogeny of NWM has been under debate [\(Ray and Batzer 2005;](#page-13-0) [Ray](#page-13-0) [et al. 2005;](#page-13-0) [Osterholz et al. 2009](#page-13-0)). This is in part due to poor fossil records ([Perez et al. 2013\)](#page-13-0) making divergence times and speciation events difficult to pinpoint. In addition, different morphological and molecular markers have produced conflicting results for some portions of the NWM tree [\(Dumas](#page-13-0) [et al. 2007](#page-13-0); [Pacheco et al. 2010;](#page-13-0) [de Oliveira et al. 2012;](#page-13-0) [Hiroshige et al. 2015;](#page-13-0) [Capozzi et al. 2016](#page-12-0)). However, there is general agreement of the three NWM families as well as the genera included. Within the Cebidae family, there are three clades whose phylogenetic relationship is still being studied: Aotinae, Cebinae, and Callitrichinae [\(Schneider and Sampaio](#page-13-0) [2015](#page-13-0)). Aotinae includes night monkeys, belonging to the Aotus genus, Cebinae includes the extant genus Saimiri and capuchin monkeys which include two extant genera, Cebus and Sapajus (formerly subsumed into one genus, Cebus) [\(Alfaro et al. 2012\)](#page-12-0), and Callitrichinae which includes marmosets (genera Callithrix, Callimico, Cebuella, and Mico) and tamarins (genera Leontopithecus and Saguinus) [\(Garbino and](#page-13-0) [Martins-Junior 2018\)](#page-13-0). While reported divergence times and radiation of these three clades have varied, there is a general consensus that the rapid radiation occurred over a short time of 1–2 Myr. Estimates of when this divergence occurred range from 19.25 Ma [\(Perelman et al. 2011](#page-13-0)) to 23.2 Ma ([Schneider](#page-13-0) [2000](#page-13-0)).

Recently, a new retrotransposable element was discovered in the common marmoset genome and subsequently found to be specific to the Platyrrhini parvorder. Deemed, "Platy-1," these \sim 100 bp elements have the hallmarks of movement via TPRT [\(Konkel et al. 2016](#page-13-0)). In addition, these elements share some structure and sequence similarity with Alu elements, a primate-specific SINE, suggesting that Platy-1 likely originated from an Alu element and is 7SL RNA derived [\(Konkel et al.](#page-13-0) [2016\)](#page-13-0). Roughly 2,200 Platy-1 elements were found in the common marmoset genome [calJac3], prompting a closer look at other NWMs with whole genome sequence data available.

Although once thought to be "junk" DNA [\(Kazazian](#page-13-0) [2011\)](#page-13-0), TEs have had an unexpected influence on primate biology in terms of disease, phenotypes, and evolution. TEs can cause genomic instability via double-stranded breaks [\(Belgnaoui et al. 2006](#page-12-0); [Gasior et al. 2006](#page-13-0)) and nonhomologous recombination [\(Han et al. 2005a](#page-13-0); [Startek et al. 2015\)](#page-13-0), potentially influencing meiosis, depending upon the location of the insertion as well as resulting in the contraction or expansion of genome size. In addition, based on their insertional location, TEs can affect transcriptional control via influencing alternative splicing if inserted into the coding region of a gene, disrupting the formation of a gene product, or influencing the methylation status of the TE's surrounding environment [\(Cordaux and Batzer 2009](#page-13-0)). It is therefore informative to understand the amplification dynamics of mobile elements in order to understand how genomes have evolved, particularly because of parallel evolution in which many mobile elements may be active in multiple lineages simultaneously. Due to parallel evolution, each NWM lineage will have its own unique distribution of not only TE families but also distinctive subfamilies within each family. For example, the discovery of 46 Saimiri lineage-specific Alu subfamilies was recently reported [\(Baker et al. 2017](#page-12-0)), most of which derived from the larger group of established AluTa subfamilies. AluTa subfamilies are specific to NWM as the result of a unique fusion event between two anthropoid AluS subfamilies ([Ray and Batzer 2005](#page-13-0)) and have been used to study phylogenetic relationships.

The purpose of this study was to characterize the Platy-1 elements found in the current genome assemblies of other Platyrrhine primates in order to determine the quantity of Platy-1 elements as well as the amplification dynamics in comparison to the common marmoset genome ([Konkel et al.](#page-13-0) [2016\)](#page-13-0).

Materials and Methods

Platy-1 Lineage Specificity

The capuchin monkey (Cebus imitator), owl monkey (Aotus nancymaae), and squirrel monkey (Saimiri boliviensis) genomes were obtained from NCBI (cebus-Cebus_imitator-1.0; owl-Anan 1.0) and the University of California Santa Cruz (UCSC) genome browser (squirrel-saiBol1). Assembly statistics for each genome are available in [table 1](#page-5-0) and representative photographs for each species are shown in [fig. 1\)](#page-4-0). These genomes were analyzed for Platy-1 elements using RepeatMasker (RepeatMasker-Open-4.0) utilizing a custom library of the 62 Platy-1 subfamilies previously defined [\(Konkel](#page-13-0) [et al. 2016\)](#page-13-0) and all current Alu subfamily consensus sequences obtained from RepBase [\(Jurka et al. 2005\)](#page-13-0). Full-length Platy-1 elements were defined as possessing a start position no less

FIG. 1.—Photographs representative of the NWM used in this study. (A) Cebus capucinus or capuchin monkey, (B) Saimiri boliviensis or squirrel monkey, and (C) Aotus nancymaae or owl monkey [\(Cawthon Lang 2005](#page-12-0)).

than 4 bp and an end position not shorter than two nucleotides prior to the A-tail within the consensus sequence ([Konkel](#page-13-0) [et al. 2016\)](#page-13-0). Full-length elements were extracted from the RepeatMasker output using a custom python script. These elements, along with 600bp of flanking sequence on both the 5' and the 3' ends of the Platy-1 insertion, were compared with the common marmoset (Callithrix jacchus/calJac3) and the remaining NWM genomes using a locally installed version of BLAT [\(Kent 2002](#page-13-0)) to determine lineage specificity. Specificity was determined by visualizing the BLAT alignments using pslPretty and observing a \sim 100 bp gap. For each locus an alignment file was generated in BioEdit [\(Hall 1999\)](#page-13-0) to be used for the design of oligonucleotide primers.

Platy-1 Shared Elements

To analyze shared elements among NWM, the wholegenome aligner mugsy [\(Angiuoli and Salzberg 2011\)](#page-12-0) was utilized. All Platy-1 elements with flanking sequence for each lineage (squirrel, capuchin, and owl monkeys as well as marmoset) were put into one FASTA file. The resulting four FASTA files were then aligned as if they were whole genomes using the whole genome function in mugsy. The output .maf file was visualized using GMAJ (globin.bx.psu.edu/dist/gmaj/; last accessed March 26, 2019) and manually assessed for alignment precision. This analysis proved fruitful for obtaining elements that were shared among all four of the genomes analyzed. However, elements that were computationally predicted to be shared between only two or three of the four genomes, typically had gaps in the sequence assembly of the genome(s) in which the insertion was absent, thus obscuring any potential phylogenetically informative data. To overcome this limitation, for the pool of elements not shared among all

four genomes, we used BLAT followed by a custom python script to obtain orthologous sequences from each genome and then aligned all four sequences for each locus using BioEdit [\(Hall 1999\)](#page-13-0).

Oligonucleotide Primer Design

The loci determined to contain Platy-1 elements unique to each NWM were put into individual files containing the orthologous sequences from marmoset, squirrel monkey, owl monkey, and capuchin monkey genomes. These sequences were aligned using CLUSTALW [\(Thompson et al. 1994](#page-13-0)) and/or MUSCLE ([Edgar 2004](#page-13-0)). Forward and reverse oligonucleotide primers for polymerase chain reaction (PCR) were designed using Primer3 (v.0.4.0) and checked in BioEdit to ensure minimal mismatches to allow for the amplification of a PCR product in all genomes specified. In silico PCR was used to confirm the oligonucleotide primers would amplify only one product in multiple species. The same process was followed for the shared Platy-1 elements [\(supplementary file 1](https://academic.oup.com/gbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/gbe/evz062#supplementary-data), [Supplementary Material](https://academic.oup.com/gbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/gbe/evz062#supplementary-data) online).

DNA Samples

DNA samples are described in [supplementary file 2](https://academic.oup.com/gbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/gbe/evz062#supplementary-data), [Supplementary Material](https://academic.oup.com/gbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/gbe/evz062#supplementary-data) online. Briefly, there were four panels utilized for this study: a NWM panel, a squirrel monkey panel, an owl monkey panel, and a capuchin monkey panel. The NWM panel contained three Old World monkeys (OWM) and sixteen NWM species representing the three NWM families. This DNA panel was used to screen elements for lineage-specificity. The squirrel monkey panel included DNA samples from 32 individuals of the genus Saimiri

Genome Assembly Statistics

NOTE.—The assembly statistics for the NWM genomes used in this study are shown above.

representing five species, the owl monkey panel included DNA samples from 23 individuals of the genus Aotus representing five species, and the capuchin monkey panel included DNA from 14 different capuchin monkeys, 8 Cebus apella, now considered genus Sapajus apella ([Alfaro et al.](#page-12-0) [2012](#page-12-0)), and 6 individuals from genus Cebus including the Cebus imitator sample used as the reference genome.

Polymerase Chain Reaction Amplification

PCR amplification was performed in 25μ reactions containing 25 µg of template DNA, 200 nM of each primer, 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 10 x PCR buffer (1 x : 50 mM KCl; 10 mM Tris–HCl, pH 8.4), 0.2 mM dNTPs, and 1 unit of Taq DNA polymerase. The PCR reaction protocol is as follows: 94° C for 1 min, 32 cycles of denaturation at 94° C for 30s, 30s at the appropriate annealing temperature (typically 57 \textdegree C), extension at 72 \textdegree C for 30 s, followed by a final 72° C extension step for 2 min. Gel electrophoresis was performed on a 2% agarose gel containing $0.2 \mu g/mL$ ethidium bromide for 60 min at 180 V. UV fluorescence was used to visualize the DNA fragments using a BioRad ChemiDoc XRS imaging system (Hercules, CA). If PCR results were weak or unresolved, the PCR reaction was repeated using hot-start with the JumpStart Taq DNA polymerase kit (Sigma Aldrich). Genotypes were recorded in a Microsoft Excel worksheet as (0, 0) homozygous absent, (1, 1) homozygous present, or (1, 0) for heterozygous [\(supple](https://academic.oup.com/gbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/gbe/evz062#supplementary-data)[mentary file 3,](https://academic.oup.com/gbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/gbe/evz062#supplementary-data) [Supplementary Material](https://academic.oup.com/gbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/gbe/evz062#supplementary-data) online).

Age of Platy-1 Elements

The age of the Platy-1 elements was estimated by utilizing the percent divergence of each element to the subfamily consensus sequence, a feature available in the RepeatMasker output. The mutation rate of 0.006024 per base per million years (my) [\(Konkel](#page-13-0) [et al. 2016\)](#page-13-0) was used to estimate the age of the Platy-1 subfamilies. This rate is the composite of the substitution rate of the crown Platyrrhines and the crown Cebidae [\(Perez et al. 2013;](#page-13-0) [Konkel](#page-13-0) [et al. 2016\)](#page-13-0). This mutation rate, along with the equation:

$$
T=D/t,
$$

where D is the percent divergence and t is the substitution rate, was used to calculate the age (T) (my) of the Platy-1 elements ([supplementary file 4](https://academic.oup.com/gbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/gbe/evz062#supplementary-data), [Supplementary Material](https://academic.oup.com/gbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/gbe/evz062#supplementary-data) online).

Results

Lineage-Specific Platy-1 Insertions in NWM

A total of 387, 605 and 335 Platy-1 loci were retrieved from the RepeatMasker analysis of the capuchin [Cebus_imitator-1.0], owl monkey [Anan 1.0], and squirrel monkey [saiBol1] genomes, respectively [\(table 2\)](#page-7-0). Of these, 171, 378, and 158 were determined to be full-length insertions, as previously defined (see Materials and Methods; [Konkel et al. 2016\)](#page-13-0). These values are strikingly low as compared with the 2,268 full-length Platy-1 elements previously identified in marmoset [calJac3] ([Konkel et al. 2016\)](#page-13-0). In the capuchin genome, there were 22 predicted lineage-specific Platy-1 insertions, with 16 insertions conducive to locus-specific PCR [\(table 2,](#page-7-0) [figs. 2](#page-6-0)A and 3[A](#page-7-0)). The squirrel monkey genome had a similarly low number of lineage-specific insertions, 36, with 18 of these analyzed by PCR [\(table 2](#page-7-0), [figs. 2](#page-6-0)B and 3[B](#page-7-0)). With 145 loci, the owl monkey genome had the largest number of lineage-specific insertions of the three NWM genomes investigated. Of these, 119 insertions were analyzed using locus-specific PCR [\(table 2](#page-7-0), [figs. 2](#page-6-0)[C](#page-7-0) and 3C).

Of the capuchin monkey lineage-specific Platy-1 insertions, the majority belonged to the 4a subfamily ([fig. 3](#page-7-0)A). All of the 16 loci subjected to PCR (see Materials and Methods) were homozygous for the presence of the insertion ([supplementary](https://academic.oup.com/gbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/gbe/evz062#supplementary-data) [file 3,](https://academic.oup.com/gbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/gbe/evz062#supplementary-data) [Supplementary Material](https://academic.oup.com/gbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/gbe/evz062#supplementary-data) online). A similar trend was observed for the squirrel monkey lineage-specific insertions, as all 18 PCR-analyzed loci in this lineage were fixed present and the majority of these insertions also belonged to the 4a subfamily [\(supplementary file 3,](https://academic.oup.com/gbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/gbe/evz062#supplementary-data) [Supplementary Material](https://academic.oup.com/gbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/gbe/evz062#supplementary-data) online and [fig. 3](#page-7-0)B). The owl monkey genome had a considerably higher number of lineage-specific insertions, with the majority of the elements being either 4 or 4a subfamily members [\(ta](#page-7-0)[ble 2 and](#page-7-0) [fig. 3](#page-7-0)C). Of the 119 loci analyzed by PCR, 88 were homozygous present, while 31 remained polymorphic for insertion presence/absence among 23 Aotus individuals analyzed [\(supplementary file 3,](https://academic.oup.com/gbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/gbe/evz062#supplementary-data) [Supplementary Material](https://academic.oup.com/gbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/gbe/evz062#supplementary-data) online; [figs. 2](#page-6-0)C and [4](#page-8-0)). The Aotus genus was the only one of four genera in this study to show evidence of ongoing Platy-1 mobilization. Given the rapid radiation of these four genera as discussed in a review article by [Schneider and Sampaio](#page-13-0) [\(2015\),](#page-13-0) our data suggests the emergence of the 4a Platy-1 subfamily approximately between 19 and 20 Ma.

Among the 31 polymorphic loci identified in the owl monkey genome, the allele frequency variation across 23 Aotus

two bands present (254-bp band), while the absence is indicated by the lower of the two bands present (139-bp band). (B) The presence of the Ply4a-27 squirrel monkey specific Platy-1 element is indicated by the higher of the two bands present (355-bp band), while the absence is indicated by the lower of the two bands present (240-bp band). (C) The presence of the U_OM_89423_v3 owl monkey specific Platy-1 element is indicated by the higher of the two bands present (511-bp band), while the absence is indicated by the lower of the two bands present (377-bp band). Lanes: 1: 100-bp ladder; 2: TLE (negative control); 3: Human (HeLa); 4: Chimpanzee; 5: African green monkey; 6: Wooly monkey; 7: White-bellied spider monkey; 8: Black-handed howler monkey; 9: Bolivian red howler monkey; 10: Common marmoset; 11: Pygmy marmoset; 12: Goeldi's marmoset; 13: Red-chested mustached tamarin; 14: Geoffroys saddle-back tamarin; 15–17: Capuchin monkey; 18: Squirrel monkey; 19: Owl monkey; 20: Northern white-faced saki; 21: Bolivian gray titi; 22: 100-bp ladder. The bars above the gel electrophoresis image indicate the following: Blue-Old World Monkey; Gold-NWM; Green-Atelidae; Purple-Cebidae; Red-Callithrichinae; Gray-Pitheciidae. Scientific names of the primates are indicated below the gel images.

FIG. 3.—Predicted lineage-specific Platy-1 elements and PCR analyses. A comparison of the number of the predicted lineage-specific and PCR-analyzed loci is shown, as well as the number of elements belonging to each Platy-1 subfamily in the aforementioned categories. (A) Capuchin monkey, (B) squirrel monkey, and (C) owl monkey. Note the differences in scale between capuchin and squirrel versus owl monkeys.

Table 2

Platy-1 Element Summary

NOTE.—The table shows the total Platy-1 elements extracted from the RepeatMasker output (Total), the full-length elements extracted from the RepeatMasker output (Full-length), the elements that were predicted to be lineage-specific, and full-length loci analyzed using locus specific PCR for each NWM.

individuals revealed a distinct separation between the two recognized groups of owl monkey, red- and gray-necked [\(Menezes et al. 2010](#page-13-0)), for at least three loci. For these loci there was a clear separation of species with (homozygous present) and without (homozygous absent) a Platy-1 insertion [\(supplementary file 3](https://academic.oup.com/gbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/gbe/evz062#supplementary-data), [Supplementary Material](https://academic.oup.com/gbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/gbe/evz062#supplementary-data) online and [fig. 4\)](#page-8-0), reflecting the red-necked (A. nancymaae, A. azarae) and gray-necked (A. lemurinus, A. trivirgatus, A. vociferans) divergence seen in South America [\(Menezes et al. 2010\)](#page-13-0). The majority of the lineage-specific Platy-1 elements discovered in this study were members of previously-defined Platy-1 subfamilies 4 or 4a based on the subfamily consensus sequences reported in [Konkel et al. \(2016\)](#page-13-0). Evidence of recent mobilization within Aotus, and no observed mobilization activity among the Saimiri, Cebus, or Sapajus genera prompted us to construct a sequence alignment of all owl monkey lineagespecific Platy-1 elements ([supplementary file 5](https://academic.oup.com/gbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/gbe/evz062#supplementary-data) and [fig. S1](https://academic.oup.com/gbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/gbe/evz062#supplementary-data), [Supplementary Material](https://academic.oup.com/gbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/gbe/evz062#supplementary-data) online).

After comparing owl monkey-specific loci to the Platy-1-4 consensus sequence reported in [Konkel et al. \(2016\)](#page-13-0), at least two distinct diagnostic mutations occurred since Aotus diverged from the other genera. Among the loci present in the owl monkey genome, there were multiple shared diagnostic mutations at positions 19 (G to C transversion), 26 (T to A transversion), 64 (G to T transversion), 70 (C to G transversion), 79 (C to T transition), and 82 (A to G transition). This newly discovered subfamily was named Platy-1-4b_aotus $(n = 58)$ and is aligned in [\(fig. 5\)](#page-9-0). The nomenclature convention is as follows: this subfamily appears to have derived from Platy-1-4 but had different diagnostic substitutions than Platy-1-4a and was discovered in owl monkey.

Another diagnostic change was identified among Aotusspecific loci, some of which were fixed present while others

A potus Atlanticatus Adustrialidation Adviseringtingtus

FIG. 4.-Polymorphic Platy-1 element in Owl monkeys. A gel image was generated subsequent to a PCR for site U_OM_87201 using primers that utilized the DNA from the owl monkey DNA panel. A band indicating a filled site is 442 bp while an empty site is indicated by a 318-bp band. Lanes: 1: 100 bp ladder; 2: TLE (negative control); 3: Human (HeLa); 4: Common marmoset; 5: Bolivian squirrel monkey; 6: Three-striped owl monkey; 7–15: Nancy Ma's night monkey; 16–21: Noisy owl monkey (Spix's night monkey); 22–24: Azara's night monkey; 25: Panamanian night monkey; 26–27: 100-bp ladder; 28– 30: Three-striped owl monkey. Scientific names of the primates are indicated below the gel images. The bars beneath the gel electrophoresis bands indicate the following: red–red-necked owl monkeys and gray–gray-necked owl monkeys. Note that all of the filled sites on the electrophoresis gel image belong to DNA samples corresponding to red-necked owl monkeys, while empty sites correspond to gray-necked owl monkeys.

were polymorphic. While sharing all diagnostic mutations that compose Platy-1-4b_aotus, there were three additional diagnostic mutations at positions 12 (G to A transition), 62 (A to G transition), and 100 (A to G transition). This new subfamily was termed Platy-1-4b3_aotus ($n = 10$). This follows standard nomenclature for naming repeats, as this subfamily has all the mutations of Platy-1-4b_aotus with 3 additional mutations [\(Batzer et al. 1996;](#page-12-0) [fig. 5](#page-9-0)). Platy-1 mobilization in the Aotus lineage is consistent with the stealth model of SINE amplification dynamics [\(Han et al. 2005b](#page-13-0)) in which a few very old elements remain dormant for millions of years before slowly emerging with active daughter elements.

In the owl, squirrel, and capuchin monkey genomes analyzed in this study, no Platy-1 subfamilies younger than Platy-1-1 to Platy-1-6 were identified in the initial RepeatMasker analysis. This is in contrast to the marmoset genome where 62 subfamilies were discovered and all are present in [calJac3] [\(Konkel et al. 2016](#page-13-0)). As a part of that initial marmoset study, a subset of Platy-1 elements representing the majority (50 of 62) of subfamilies were analyzed by PCR to assess their distribution among NWM species. A graphic illustration of those results is shown in: [\(supplemen](https://academic.oup.com/gbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/gbe/evz062#supplementary-data)[tary file 5](https://academic.oup.com/gbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/gbe/evz062#supplementary-data) and [fig. S2](https://academic.oup.com/gbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/gbe/evz062#supplementary-data)a, [Supplementary Material](https://academic.oup.com/gbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/gbe/evz062#supplementary-data) online). Subfamilies shared among all NWM on this graph belonged to the oldest subfamilies (Platy-1-1 through Platy-1-3) and one insertion specific to all Cebidae belonged to subfamily Platy-1-4a. These data are in agreement with the RepeatMasker analysis performed in this study that identified the subfamily range between 4 and 4a as the source of lineage-specific elements reported here.

FIG. 5.-Platy-1 consensus sequence alignment. The consensus sequences of Platy-1-4 and Platy-1-4a were aligned to the newly discovered 4b_aotus and 4b3_aotus subfamilies discovered via an alignment of all of the full-length Platy-1 elements ascertained from the owl monkey genome. Dots represent a shared nucleotide while diagnostic substitutions are shown as the corrected base.

Divergence of Platy-1 Subfamilies in NWM

Among the Platy-1 subfamilies there is a wide range of nucleotide divergence values from the respective subfamily consensus sequences as identified by RepeatMasker ([fig. 6](#page-10-0)). A higher percent divergence from the respective subfamily consensus sequence is considered generally indicative of the age of the insertion event, as older elements have more time to accumulate random mutations. Mobile elements, on average, accumulate mutations at a neutral rate. As a consequence, the divergence can be used as an estimate of the age of the insertion. [Figure 6](#page-10-0) illustrates that the vast majority of the fulllength Platy-1 elements identified in this study are relatively old. This finding is consistent with the PCR results from the squirrel and capuchin monkey lineage-specific DNA panels in which all the lineage-specific insertions had reached fixation throughout the genus. The average age corresponding with the percent divergence of the predicted lineagespecific Platy-1 insertions reported for the capuchin monkey is 12.4 Ma with a range from 4.8 to 22 Ma, while the average age of the lineage-specific Platy-1 insertions reported for squirrel monkey is 13.2 Ma with a range from 3.2 to 27.7 Ma. Older Platy-1 subfamilies correspond with higher percent divergence and therefore higher average age (e.g., capuchin monkey and squirrel monkey Platy-1-1: 21.8 and 23.4 Ma, respectively) [\(supplementary file 4](https://academic.oup.com/gbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/gbe/evz062#supplementary-data), [Supplementary](https://academic.oup.com/gbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/gbe/evz062#supplementary-data) [Material](https://academic.oup.com/gbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/gbe/evz062#supplementary-data) online). This finding is in sharp contrast to the marmoset genome in which nearly 10% (224/2,268) of the Platy-1 elements reported were nearly identical to their respective consensus sequences and almost 25% had a percent divergence of 1.5% or less [\(Konkel et al. 2016\)](#page-13-0). However, the nucleotide divergences calculated for the older Platy-1 subfamilies discovered in the common marmoset genome are in agreement with the divergence estimates gleaned from the data in this study ([supplementary file 5](https://academic.oup.com/gbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/gbe/evz062#supplementary-data) and [fig. S2](https://academic.oup.com/gbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/gbe/evz062#supplementary-data)b, [Supplementary Material](https://academic.oup.com/gbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/gbe/evz062#supplementary-data) online).

The lower nucleotide divergence values of the Platy-1 insertions found in the owl monkey genome [\(fig. 6](#page-10-0)C) were consistent with more recent insertions and are in agreement with the polymorphic loci found via PCR. The average age of the predicted lineage-specific owl monkey Platy-1 insertions calculated from the percent divergence of the insertion sequence to its respective consensus sequence is 8.5 Ma, with a range from 0 to 25.4 Ma [\(supplementary file 4,](https://academic.oup.com/gbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/gbe/evz062#supplementary-data) [Supplementary](https://academic.oup.com/gbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/gbe/evz062#supplementary-data) [Material](https://academic.oup.com/gbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/gbe/evz062#supplementary-data) online).

It is of note that these age estimates are based on a retrotransposable element that is only \sim 100 bp in length and therefore could represent a fairly broad range. Even a one nucleotide change is equivalent to 1% divergence or \sim 1.66 Myr. However, these results are intended to emphasize that the NWM genomes studied here contain primarily older Platy-1 elements, as compared with the marmoset genome in which the relative divergence values and age estimates illustrate that the marmoset genome contains large quantities of younger elements.

Shared Platy-1 Elements

Over half (127/230; 55%) of the shared elements identified using a mugsy alignment (see Materials and Methods) were found within all four NWM genomes analyzed (marmoset, owl monkey, squirrel monkey, and capuchin monkey). The actual number is likely higher than this data set reflects due to lack of homology across multiple genome assemblies. These data are in agreement with the low number of lineage-specific insertions found in the NWM genomes analyzed in this study.

Five of the loci that were predicted to be lineagespecific in the squirrel monkey and capuchin monkey genomes were experimentally determined by PCR to be shared between the aforementioned genomes. These five

Percent divergence

FIG. 6.—Platy-1 nucleotide divergence rates. Percent divergence from the subfamily consensus sequence of full-length Platy-1 elements. (A) Capuchin monkey Platy-1 elements. (B) Squirrel monkey Platy-1 elements. (C) Owl monkey Platy-1 elements. The percent divergence was recorded from the RepeatMasker output.

loci were fixed present in all individuals representing the Saimiri, Cebus, and Sapajus genera (supplementary files 1 and 3, [Supplementary Material](https://academic.oup.com/gbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/gbe/evz062#supplementary-data) online). These data are consistent with the close established relationship between Saimiri, Cebus, and Sapajus. In addition, these shared elements all belonged to the 4a Platy-1 subfamily, indicating that the age of these elements could reflect the evolutionary divergence time of Saimiri, Cebus, and Sapajus from other Cebids.

Discussion

This study expanded upon the research reported by [Konkel](#page-13-0) [et al. \(2016\)](#page-13-0) by not only recovering Platy-1 insertions unique to other NWM genomes but also analyzing the amplification dynamics of these insertions. It is striking to note that there are a considerably lower number of Platy-1 repeats in owl, capuchin, and squirrel monkeys compared with the expansion and proliferation of Platy-1 insertions seen in the marmoset genome ([Konkel et al. 2016\)](#page-13-0). However, when comparing the three NWM genomes included in this study, there is a larger number of total, full-length, and linage-specific insertions found in the owl monkey genome than in the capuchin and squirrel monkey genomes [\(table 2](#page-7-0)). Platy-1 mobilization in owl monkeys appears to have been relatively quiescent for millions of years, dating back to the 4a subfamily, and only recently resumed with modest retrotransposition activity leading to the origin of two new Aotus lineage-specific subfamilies. By contrast, Platy-1 retrotransposition in capuchin and squirrel monkeys remains quiescent. One possible explanation is polymorphic loci were subject to lineage sorting during speciation, potentially eliminating source drivers for Platy-1 mobilization. This explanation is consistent with the lower overall numbers of Platy-1 elements in the capuchin and squirrel monkey genomes and higher overall percent divergences of the elements from their consensus sequences. In addition, all of the lineage-specific loci ascertained from the capuchin and squirrel monkey genomes were determined to be fixed present. These data indicate negligible recent Platy-1 mobilization in these lineages. This slow propagation is likely not due to a lack of available enzymatic machinery as it has been shown that L1, the element that provides the necessary enzymes for TPRT, has recently amplified in Saimiri among other NWM species [\(Feng et al. 1996](#page-13-0); [Boissinot et al. 2004\)](#page-12-0).

These findings suggest that the extensive proliferation of Platy-1 elements in the common marmoset is the exception, rather than the norm in NWM genomes. Such disparities could be the result of differing effective population sizes after speciation, opposing environmental pressures, or genomic environment of the Platy-1 insertions in the different genera. There are also biological differences that might play a role. For example, marmosets have a unique aspect to their reproduction in that they mostly produce twins. The twinning of marmoset leads to genetic chimeras. This inherent genetic diversity in addition to the rapid reproduction of marmosets may have led to an environment favorable to retrotransposable element propagation. (Consortium 2014; [Harris et al.](#page-13-0) [2014](#page-13-0)). The peak rate of Platy-1 propagation reportedly occurred with the rise of the marmoset ancestor [\(Konkel et al.](#page-13-0) [2016](#page-13-0)) when several Platy-1 subfamilies were active in parallel. In contrast, early Platy-1 evolution likely started with a low number of source elements resulting in very slow mobilization as illustrated by the NWM lineages analyzed in this study.

The polymorphic loci identified in this study that delineate between red- and gray-necked owl monkeys may be particularly useful for medical studies in which species identification is important. Owl monkeys have long been used as an animal model for malaria, with Aotus lemurinus griseimembra as the primary species used that is susceptible to the parasite responsible for causing this particular human malady ([Herrera et al.](#page-13-0) [2002;](#page-13-0) [Moreno-P](#page-13-0)é[rez et al. 2017\)](#page-13-0). While other owl monkey species have varying degrees of malaria susceptibility, the results with A. l. griseimembra have been particularly reproducible. Another owl monkey species, A. vociferans, is also susceptible to infection, but not as widely used as A. l. griseimembra. It is of note that both of these species are considered gray-necked [\(Herrera et al. 2002](#page-13-0)). Having reproducible genetic markers to distinguish between gray- and red-necked owl monkeys may be of value for biomedical studies. Although only a few polymorphic loci were identified in the owl monkey genome, with a small fraction of those showing a clear separation between gray- and red-necked owl monkeys, these markers provide a quick, simple, and unambiguous identification that is not currently available for this organism.

Platy-1 insertions observed in this study were often flanked by an Alu on either the 5' or 3' end of the Platy-1 element, and on rare occasions both sides. As reported by [Konkel et al.](#page-13-0) [\(2016\),](#page-13-0) Platy-1 elements with intact TSDs also possess endonuclease cleavage sites, indicating that Platy-1 elements have the same sequence and/or insertional preference as Alu elements.

Although Platy-1 elements may occasionally highjack Alu movement, Platy-1 elements are present in substantially lower overall numbers than Alu insertions in NWM. For example, a recent study of the Saimiri genome determined that there were 739,636 full-length and 43,201 lineage-specific Alu elements [\(Baker et al. 2017\)](#page-12-0), a much higher number than the full-length and lineage-specific Platy-1 elements analyzed in this study. In addition, the majority of the Platy-1 elements characterized in this study were shared among all four of the NWM genomes studied. This indicates that there are simply not enough phylogenetically informative Platy-1 elements to be able to resolve NWM relationships. Alu elements may provide the key to elucidating NWM relationships as they have previously resolved difficult primate connections ([Ray et al.](#page-13-0) [2005;](#page-13-0) [McLain et al. 2012](#page-13-0), [2013](#page-13-0); [Meyer et al. 2012;](#page-13-0) [Walker](#page-13-0) [et al. 2017](#page-13-0); [Jordan et al. 2018](#page-13-0)). A whole genome comparison of Alu insertion polymorphisms among the four NWM genomes described here may prove useful for elucidating some of the NWM relationships.

It is important to note that the repeats identified in this study were ascertained from the reference genome for all of the species studied. In addition, loci that were analyzed via PCR needed to be in conserved regions of all four genomes for confirmation of lineage-specificity. Sufficient time may have also passed that some of the insertions belonging to older subfamilies have experienced sufficient decay and were not recognized by RepeatMasker in the initial genome screening. It is therefore possible that the number of lineagespecific repeats and overall Platy-1 content in the NWM genomes analyzed is somewhat higher than reported. Undoubtedly, all three genomes have a sharply lower number of Platy-1 elements compared with marmoset.

Supplementary Material

[Supplementary data](https://academic.oup.com/gbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/gbe/evz062#supplementary-data) are available at Genome Biology and Evolution online.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank all the members of the Batzer Lab for their helpful suggestions and constructive criticism. The squirrel monkey genome assembly (Saimiri boliviensis) is provided with the following acknowledgements: We acknowledge the Broad Institute (Cambridge, MA) for the saiBol1 sequencing and assembly. We also acknowledge Hiram Clawson, Chin Li, Brian Raney, Pauline Fujita, Luvina Guruvadoo, Steve Heitner, Brooke Rhead, Greg Roe, and Donna Karolchik for the UCSC squirrel monkey genome browser/initial annotations. The owl monkey genome assembly (Aotus nancymaae) [Anan_1.0] is used with the permission of the Baylor College of Medicine Human Genome Sequencing Center; BCM-HGSC [\(https://www.hgsc.bcm.edu/non-hu](https://www.hgsc.bcm.edu/non-human-primates/owl-monkey-genome-project)[man-primates/owl-monkey-genome-project](https://www.hgsc.bcm.edu/non-human-primates/owl-monkey-genome-project); last accessed March 26, 2019). The capuchin monkey genome (Cebus imitator 1.0 assembly) is provided with the following acknowledgements: Dr Amanda Melin at the University of Calgary, Dr Shoji Kawamura at University of Tokyo, and Dr Wesley Warren, McDonnell Genome Institute, Washington University School of Medicine. A special thank you is also given to the following photographers for their permission to use their NWM images: Jan Ševčík (sevcikphoto@seznam.cz) for their Cebus capucinus image [\(http://www.sevcikphoto.](http://www.sevcikphoto.com/cebus_capucinus_malpa_1.jpg.html) [com/cebus_capucinus_malpa_1.jpg.html](http://www.sevcikphoto.com/cebus_capucinus_malpa_1.jpg.html); last accessed March 26, 2019) and Morten Ross (@mortenross) for their Saimiri boliviensis image [\(http://www.ross.no/communicate/](http://www.ross.no/communicate/2012/11/20/black-capped-squirrel-monkey-saimiri-boliviensis-2/) [2012/11/20/black-capped-squirrel-monkey-saimiri-boliviensis-](http://www.ross.no/communicate/2012/11/20/black-capped-squirrel-monkey-saimiri-boliviensis-2/)[2/](http://www.ross.no/communicate/2012/11/20/black-capped-squirrel-monkey-saimiri-boliviensis-2/); last accessed March 26, 2019). This research was supported by the National Institutes of Health (R01 GM59290 to M.A.B.). The authors also wish to thank the following people and institutions for their generous donation of samples: Dr Frederick H. Sheldon, Curator, and Donna Dittmann of the Louisiana State University Museum of Natural Science Collection of Genetic Resources; The Michale E. Keeling Center for Comparative Medicine and Research, The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Bastrop, TX; The San Diego Zoo Global Biomaterials Review Group, San Diego Zoo Institute for Conservation Research; Dr Link Olson, Curator, and Kyndall Hildebrandt, Genomic Resources Collection Manager, at the University of Alaska Museum of the North Mammal Collection; Dr Verity Mathis, Mammal Collections Manager, Dr Pamela Soltis, and Terry Lott at The University of Florida Board of Trustees—Florida Museum of

Natural History—Genetic Resources Repository; Dr Amanda Melin at the University of Calgary, Dr Wesley Warren, Assistant Director McDonnell Genome Institute, Washington University School of Medicine and Michael Schmidt, McDonnell Genome Institute, Washington University School of Medicine; Christopher C. Conroy, Curator, Mammals Collection at the Museum of Vertebrate Zoology, University of California—Berkeley; Sharon Birks, Genetics Resources Collections Manager at the Burke Museum of Natural History and Culture, University of Washington; Kristof Zyskowski, Collection Manager at the Peabody Museum of Natural History, Yale University, and Dr John A. Vanchiere, Chief, Pediatric Infectious Diseases, Louisiana State University Health Sciences Center—Shreveport; Dr Joseph A. Cook, Mammal Collection, University of New Mexico— Museum of Southwestern Biology, Albuquerque, NM.

Authors' Contributions

J.M.S. performed analyses, computational and benchtop experiments, and wrote the article. J.R.M., Y.S., and S.A.B. analyzed the Aotus and Saimiri, respectively, lineage-specific insertions by performing computational and benchtop analyses. B.T., L.C.R., C.P.S., and M.M.F. performed experiments. J.N.B. and M.K.K. performed computational analyses by ascertaining Platy-1 elements from the Aotus and Saimiri genomes, respectively. A.D.M., J.D.O., and K.A.P. provided samples and edited the article. M.A.B. and J.A.W. designed the research and edited the final article. All authors read and approved the final article.

Literature Cited

- Alfaro JW, Silva JD, Jr, Rylands AB. 2012. How different are robust and gracile capuchin monkeys? An argument for the use of sapajus and cebus. Am J Primatol. 74(4):273–286.
- Angiuoli SV, Salzberg SL. 2011. Mugsy: fast multiple alignment of closely related whole genomes. Bioinformatics 27(3):334–342.
- Baker JN, et al. 2017. Evolution of Alu subfamily structure in the saimiri lineage of New World monkeys. Genome Biol Evol. 9(9):2365–2376.
- Batzer MA, Deininger PL. 2002. Alu repeats and human genomic diversity. Nat Rev Genet. 3(5):370–379.
- Batzer MA, et al. 1996. Standardized nomenclature for Alu repeats. J Mol Evol. 42(1):3–6.
- Belgnaoui SM, Gosden RG, Semmes OJ, Haoudi A. 2006. Human LINE-1 retrotransposon induces DNA damage and apoptosis in cancer cells. Cancer Cell Int. 6:13.
- Boissinot S, Roos C, Furano AV. 2004. Different rates of LINE-1 (L1) retrotransposon amplification and evolution in New World monkeys. J Mol Evol. 58(1):122–130.
- Capozzi O, Archidiacono N, Lorusso N, Stanyon R, Rocchi M. 2016. The 14/15 association as a paradigmatic example of tracing karyotype evolution in New World monkeys. Chromosoma 125(4):747–756.
- Cawthon Lang KA. 2005. Primate factsheets: owl monkey (Aotus) taxonomy, morphology and ecology [cited 2019 Mar 6]. Available from: [http://pin.primate.wisc.edu/factsheets/entry/owl_monkey,](http://pin.primate.wisc.edu/factsheets/entry/owl_monkey) last accessed March 26, 2019.
- Consortium Marmoset Genome Sequencing and Analysis. 2014. The common marmoset genome provides insight into primate biology and evolution. Nat Genet. 46:850–857.
- Cordaux R, Batzer MA. 2009. The impact of retrotransposons on human genome evolution. Nat Rev Genet. 10(10):691–703.
- de Oliveira EH, Neusser M, Muller S. 2012. Chromosome evolution in new world monkeys (Platyrrhini). Cytogenet Genome Res. 137(2- 4):259–272.
- Deininger PL, Batzer MA, Hutchison CA III, Edgell MH. 1992. Master genes in mammalian repetitive DNA amplification. Trends Genet. 8(9):307–311.
- Dewannieux M, Esnault C, Heidmann T. 2003. LINE-mediated retrotransposition of marked Alu sequences. Nat Genet. 35(1):41–48.
- Dumas F, Stanyon R, Sineo L, Stone G, Bigoni F. 2007. Phylogenomics of species from four genera of New World monkeys by flow sorting and reciprocal chromosome painting. BMC Evol Biol. 7(Suppl 2):S11.
- Edgar RC. 2004. MUSCLE: a multiple sequence alignment method with reduced time and space complexity. BMC Bioinformatics 5:113.
- Feng Q, Moran JV, Kazazian HH, Jr, Boeke JD. 1996. Human L1 retrotransposon encodes a conserved endonuclease required for retrotransposition. Cell 87(5):905–916.
- Garbino GST, Martins-Junior AMG. 2018. Phenotypic evolution in marmoset and tamarin monkeys (Cebidae, Callitrichinae) and a revised genuslevel classification. Mol Phylogenet Evol. 118:156–171.
- Gasior SL, Wakeman TP, Xu B, Deininger PL. 2006. The human LINE-1 retrotransposon creates DNA double-strand breaks. J Mol Biol. 357(5):1383–1393.
- Hall TA. 1999. BioEdit: a user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor adn analysis program for Windows 95/98/NT. Nucleic Acids Symp Ser. 41:95–98.
- Han K, et al. 2005a. Genomic rearrangements by LINE-1 insertion-mediated deletion in the human and chimpanzee lineages. Nucleic Acids Res. 33(13):4040–4052.
- Han K, et al. 2005b. Under the genomic radar: the stealth model of Alu amplification. Genome Res. 15(5):655–664.
- Harris RA, et al. 2014. Evolutionary genetics and implications of small size and twinning in callitrichine primates. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 111(4):1467–1472.
- Hellen EH, Brookfield JF. 2013. The diversity of class II transposable elements in mammalian genomes has arisen from ancestral phylogenetic splits during ancient waves of proliferation through the genome. Mol Biol Evol. 30(1):100–108.
- Herrera S, Perlaza BL, Bonelo A, Arevalo-Herrera M. 2002. Aotus monkeys: their great value for anti-malaria vaccines and drug testing. Int J Parasitol. 32(13):1625–1635.
- Hiroshige Y, et al. 2015. Species specificities among primates probed with commercially available fluorescence-based multiplex PCR typing kits. Leg Med. (Tokyo) 17(5):326–333.
- Houck CM, Rinehart FP, Schmid CW. 1979. A ubiquitous family of repeated DNA sequences in the human genome. J Mol Biol. 132(3):289–306.
- Jordan VE, et al. 2018. A computational reconstruction of Papio phylogeny using Alu insertion polymorphisms. Mob DNA. 9:13.
- Jurka J, et al. 2005. Repbase Update, a database of eukaryotic repetitive elements. Cytogenet Genome Res. 110(1-4):462–467.
- Jurka J, Smith T. 1988. A fundamental division in the Alu family of repeated sequences. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 85(13):4775–4778.
- Kazazian H. 2011. Mobile DNA: finding treasure in junk. Upper Saddle River, NJ: FT Press.
- Kent WJ. 2002. BLAT–the BLAST-like alignment tool. Genome Res. 12(4):656–664.
- Konkel MK, et al. 2016. Discovery of a new repeat family in the Callithrix jacchus genome. Genome Res. 26(5):649–659.
- Konkel MK, Walker JA, Batzer MA. 2010. LINEs and SINEs of primate evolution. Evol Anthropol. 19(6):236–249.
- Lander ES, Linton LM, Birren B, Nusbaum C, Zody MC, Baldwin J, Devon K, Dewar K, Doyle M, FitzHugh W, et al. 2001. Initial sequencing and analysis of the human genome. Nature 409(6822):860–921.
- Luan DD, Korman MH, Jakubczak JL, Eickbush TH. 1993. Reverse transcription of R2Bm RNA is primed by a nick at the chromosomal target site: a mechanism for non-LTR retrotransposition. Cell 72(4):595–605.
- McLain AT, et al. 2013. Analysis of western lowland gorilla (Gorilla gorilla gorilla) specific Alu repeats. Mob DNA. 4(1):26.
- McLain AT, et al. 2012. An alu-based phylogeny of lemurs (infraorder: Lemuriformes). PLoS One 7(8):e44035.
- Menezes AN, Bonvicino CR, Seuanez HN. 2010. Identification, classification and evolution of owl monkeys (Aotus, Illiger 1811). BMC Evol Biol. 10:248.
- Meyer TJ, et al. 2012. An Alu-based phylogeny of gibbons (Hylobatidae). Mol Biol Evol. 29(11):3441–3450.
- Moreno-Pérez DA, García-Valiente R, Ibarrola N, Muro A, Patarroyo MA. 2017. The Aotus nancymaae erythrocyte proteome and its importance for biomedical research. J Proteomics. 152:131–137.
- Morrish TA, et al. 2002. DNA repair mediated by endonucleaseindependent LINE-1 retrotransposition. Nat Genet. 31(2):159–165.
- Osterholz M, Walter L, Roos C. 2009. Retropositional events consolidate the branching order among New World monkey genera. Mol Phylogenet Evol. 50(3):507–513.
- Pacheco B, Finzi A, McGee-Estrada K, Sodroski J. 2010. Species-specific inhibition of foamy viruses from South American monkeys by New World Monkey TRIM5a proteins. J Virol. 84(8):4095–4099.
- Perelman P, et al. 2011. A molecular phylogeny of living primates. PLoS Genet. 7(3):e1001342.
- Perez SI, Tejedor MF, Novo NM, Aristide L. 2013. Divergence times and the evolutionary radiation of new world monkeys (Platyrrhini, Primates): an analysis of fossil and molecular data. PLoS One 8(6):e68029.
- Ray DA, Batzer MA. 2005. Tracking Alu evolution in New World primates. BMC Evol Biol. 5:51.
- Ray DA, et al. 2005. Alu insertion loci and platyrrhine primate phylogeny. Mol Phylogenet Evol. 35(1):117–126.
- RepeatMasker-Open-4.0. Available from: [http://www.repeatmasker.org,](http://www.repeatmasker.org) last accessed March 26, 2019.
- Richardson SR, et al. 2015. The influence of LINE-1 and SINE retrotransposons on mammalian genomes. Microbiol Spectr. 3:Mdna3–M0061- 2014.
- Schneider H. 2000. The current status of the New World monkey phylogeny. An Acad Bras Cienc. 72(2):165–172.
- Schneider H, Sampaio I. 2015. The systematics and evolution of New World primates – a review. Mol Phylogenet Evol. 82 Pt B:348–357.
- Startek M, et al. 2015. Genome-wide analyses of LINE-LINE-mediated nonallelic homologous recombination. Nucleic Acids Res. 43(4):2188–2198.
- Thompson JD, Higgins DG, and, Gibson TJ. 1994. CLUSTAL W: improving the sensitivity of progressive multiple sequence alignment through sequence weighting, position-specific gap penalties and weight matrix choice. Nucleic Acids Res. 22(22):4673–4680.
- Walker JA, et al. 2017. Papio baboon species indicative Alu elements. Genome Biol Evol. 9(6):1788–1796.

Associate editor: Richard Cordaux